In The Matter Of:

Karen DePaepe -vs-City of South Bend and Pete Buttigieg, et al.

Gary Horvath
December 17, 2013

Midwest Reporting, Inc.

1448 Lincolnway East
South Bend, Indiana 46613

Original File Horvath Gary 12-17-13.txt

Min-U-Script® with Word Index

CIL	y of South Denu and Pete Duttigleg, et al.		December 17, 2013
	Page 0		Page 3
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA	1	INDEX
	SOUTH BEND DIVISION	2	THE DEPOSITION OF GARY HORVATH
	KAREN DEPAEPE,	3	DIRECT EXAMINATION By Mr. Duerring Page 4
	Plaintiff,	4	* * *
	vs) Case No. 3:13-CV-383	-5	EXHIBITS
	CITY OF SOUTH BEND and PETE	6	Exhibit 1 Page 5
	BUTTIGIEG, Individually and in his official capacity as Mayor of the CITY OF SOUTH BEND,	7	(208.00 The Services Division)
	Defendants.	8	Exhibit 2 Page 33
	**	9	(Position Description, Director of Communications)
	The Deposition of GARY HORVATH	10	Exhibit 3 Page 61 (Computer screen shot of e-mail from Ms. DePaepe to
	Date: Tuesday, December 17, 2013	111	Mr. Horvath)
	Time: 9:07 a.m.	1	* * *
	Place: Baker & Daniels, LLP	12	
	202 South Michigan Street 1400 KeyBank Building South Bend, Indiana 46601	13	•
	South Bend, Indiana 46601	14	
	•	15	
	Called as a witness by the Plaintiff in accordance	16	
	with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for the	17	•
	United States District Court, Northern District of	18	
	Indiana, South Bend Division, pursuant to Notice.	19	
		20	
		21	
	Reported by Angela J. Galipeau, RPR, CSR	22	
	Angela J. Galipeau, RPR, CSR Notary Public, State of Indiana MIDWEST REPORTING, INC.	23	
	1448 Lincolnway East South Bend, Indiana 46613	24	
		25	
	Page 2		Page 4
1	Appearances:	1	GARY HORVATH
2	MR. SCOTT DUERRING Duerring Law Offices	-2	called as a witness by the Plaintiff, having first been
3	Duerring Law Offices 61191 U.S. 31 South South Bend, Indiana 46614	3	duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
-4-	(574) 968-0250	4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	For the Plaintiff;	5	BY MR. DUERRING:
6	MR. EDWARD A. SULLIVAN, III		Q. Can you tell us your name, please?
7	Faegre Baker Daniels, LLP		A. Gary Horvath.
8	1400 Key Bank Building 202 South Michigan Street		Q. And, Mr. Horvath, you have had your deposition taken
9	South Bend, Indiana 46601 (574) 239-1930	9	before, correct, not only just recently in another related
10	edward.sullivan@faegreBD.com	10	case, but probably throughout your career as a law
11	For the Defendents;	11	enforcement officer; is that correct?
12	ALSO PRESENT		A. Yes, I have.
13	Ms. Karen DePaepe.		Q. And that's what we're here for today is an opportunity to
14	* * *	14	question you under oath. And one thing I'm going to ask
15		15	you is if I ask you a question that you don't understand,
16		16	just let me know and I'll be happy to rephrase it in a
17		17	fashion that you're able to understand. Is that okay?
18	· ·	ı	A. Yes, sir.
19			Q. For the record, can you tell me where you're employed and
20		20	what your current rank is and current duties are?
21			A. I'm presently employed at the City of South Bend Police
22		22	Department. My present day rank is division chief, and
		23	where I'm assigned is the services division.
23			Q. Now, you have provided just before we started today a
24		25	document which I'm going to have marked.
25		ڊم	document which I'm going to have market.

Ci	ity o	1 South Bend and Pete Buttigleg, et al.			December 17, 2013
		Page 5	I		Page 7
:	L	(Exhibit 1 marked for identification.)	1		MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, vague, mischaracterizes
		. Which now has been marked as Exhibit 1. I'm going to ask	2		the record. You can answer to your best
3	3	you to take a look that exhibit, please. Let me know when	3		recollection.
4	1	you've had a chance to review it.	1	A	. It was approximately six years ago. I'd have to sit down
] :	5	You've had an opportunity to review Deposition	5		and actually count off the years.
	5	Exhibit 1?	6	О	Okay. So which chief actually was in office at the time
7	7 A	. Yes, sir.	7	_	you became division chief for services?
8	3 Q	. Okay. Now, can you tell me where that came from?	8	Α	. That would have been Chief Boykins.
9		. It came from a file that was on my computer's hard drive,	9		And do you know how long he had been in office?
110		desktop, labeled "Duty Manual South Bend Police	10		. Just a matter of a month or two.
11	L	Department" yesterday.	11	Q	. Do you know what the purpose was for combining the
12	2 Q	. Okay. So essentially it came from the duty manual of the	12	_	services division with the community relations division?
13	3	South Bend Police Department, correct?	13	Α	. He was attempting to cut back one division chief.
14	ı A	. That is correct.	14		. What were your duties as a division chief for community
15	i Q	. Before we had computers, it would be in a binder	15		relations that would be different than the duties you have
16		somewhere?	16		as chief of services?
17	A	Or in stone.	17	A	. As chief of services, I no longer was responsible for
18	Q.	And is that the current version of the South Bend Police	18		crime prevention was one area. I'm not responsible for
19		Department's duty manual that this was derived from?	19		the Crime Stoppers program. I believe those are the two
20		. It's the current duty manual version as of yesterday.	20		that I can think of right off the bat I'm not responsible
21	. Q.	Okay. Just to backtrack a little bit, how long have you	21		for anymore.
22		been employed with the South Bend Police Department?	22	Q.	. Okay. Were there any duties that kind of coexisted with
23		Approximately 34 years.	23		each division?
24		And I think you said your rank was captain or chief?	24	A.	. Training, training is one. I can't remember whether I had
25	A.	Division chief.	25		computer services at that time or whether Chief Kilgore
		Page 6	-		Page 9
	_				Page 8
1	_	How long have you been a division chief?	1		had computer services at that time. That would be about
		Approximately 11 or 12 years.	2	_	the only one I can remember at this time.
4		And has it been the services division that you have been a chief of for that period of time?	3	Q.	Okay. Because I understand under Exhibit 1, one of the
5		No, sir.	5		delineated duties would be training. Actually, there's a paragraph entitled "Training." You're saying that the
6		Can you tell me your, I guess, timeline with respect to	6		former community relations division chief would also have
7	Q.	when were you promoted to being a chief and what	7		training responsibilities?
8		departments have you been the chief over, or divisions I	1	Δ	What's in our duty manual, what you have in front of you,
9		suppose?	9	r.	Exhibit 1, Horvath Exhibit 1, is pretty old
10	Α.	Division chief initially was community relations, and that	io		chronologically; and some of the information that's in
11		would be approximately 11, 12 years ago. And I believe it	11		this particular job description or duties is in error.
12		was February of I'm not exactly sure of the years. The	12	O.	Well, let's go through that then. Which part which
13		year after Tom, Chief Fautz left and Darryl Boykins became	13	Α.	sections of Exhibit 1 are no longer relevant to how things
14		chief, February of that year.	14		are run at the South Bend Police Department?
15		And I'd have to go back in time approximately six	ı	A.	As of today or as of a particular time?
16		years ago or so was when I became division chief in charge	16		Let's talk about today, and then let's work our way back.
17		of both community relations and services. It was a			Right off the bat, number one, promotional procedures.
18		merging of both divisions.	18		The South Bend Police Department/City of South Bend is a
19	Q.	Who was the, if you know, who was the division chief for	19		state of nature. There's no promotional procedure for the
20		services prior to you?	20		sworn and/or civilian personnel.
				\cap	~
21	A.	Richard Kilgore.	21	Ų.	Where are you looking at specifically?
	_	It's my understanding that Chief Fautz, former Chief Fautz	21	A.	Number 1, "Administration."
21	Q.	It's my understanding that Chief Fautz, former Chief Fautz left that position in and around 2007; and Darryl Boykins	22 23	A. Q.	Number 1, "Administration." Okay. And say that for me again so I understand it.
21 22	Q.	It's my understanding that Chief Fautz, former Chief Fautz left that position in and around 2007; and Darryl Boykins took over sometime either late of 2007, beginning of 2008.	22 23	A. Q.	Number 1, "Administration." Okay. And say that for me again so I understand it. Okay. Services chief has no responsibility for
21 22 23	Q.	It's my understanding that Chief Fautz, former Chief Fautz left that position in and around 2007; and Darryl Boykins	22 23	A. Q.	Number 1, "Administration." Okay. And say that for me again so I understand it.

Page 12

Page	9

- 1 Department. We're at what's called a state of nature.
- 2 There's no -- officers electing to be promoted would file
- or submit an officer's report requesting consideration for
- a promotion or assignment to a particular unit or to a
- 5 particular rank, and it would be up to the chief of police
- to fill that particular position.
- 7 Q. Okay. And so essentially, the first sentence of that
- 8 paragraph, number 1 under "Administration," I guess the
- 9 first two sentences are no longer accurate; is that
- 10 correct?
- 11 A. I'd say the first three sentences most likely or more.
- 12 Q. Okay. So you're no longer responsible for coordination
- and dissemination of all information relating to
- 14 promotional procedures, correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. You're no longer required to ensure the testing evaluation
- procedures and the actual promotions occur within the
- guidelines of existing promotional plans, correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- $20\,$ Q. You're no longer responsible to ensure that health and
- pension plans are in accord with current and existing
- 22 statutory regulations?
- 23 A. I have nothing to do with pension plans. I believe
- neither one of those we deal with.
- 25 Q. Are you required to be concerned with any of those matters

- armory and also the employees."
- 2 A. Everything with the exception of all civilian employees.
- There are other civilian employees assigned to other
- 4 divisions.
- 5 Q. What civilian employees are currently assigned to your
- 6 division that you would be responsible as a services
- 7 chief?
- 8 A. Right now I couldn't begin to tell you everybody's name.
- 9 Q. Can you tell me their function?
- 10 A. I could approximately, but civilians that would fall under
- the services division would include any civilians that are
- in training, records, communications. Did I say services
- 13 already? Services.
- 14 Q. What about the --
- 15 A. There's one more, maintenance, motor pool.
- 16 Q. Okay. Are you responsible for the supervision of the entire equipment inventory of the South Bend Police
- Department? Again, I'm quoting from a portion of the
- paragraph 1(B), or B(1).
- 20 A. Yes. I would think -- it says sometimes the word
- 21 "supervision." I guess more approximately it would be
- "oversee" in that there's at least in several cases many
- other layers of supervision underneath me that would
- 24 actually do the direct day-to-day supervision. Oversee I
- 25 think would be in some cases a better term.

Page 10

- as it affects the morale of the police department, which
 - is essentially the next sentence?
- 3 A. As a superior officer in the department, that would be
- probably considered one of my responsibilities as any
 supervising officer would.
- 6 Q. So as it relates to the services division chief, it's not
- other than what any other chief or supervisory person
- 8 would have in the department; is that correct? Is that
- 9 what you're saying?
- 10 A. That I believe would be a correct characterization.
- 11 Q. Is there also a requirement, the next sentence, "He will
- administer the payroll, all purchasing and financial
- matters of the department." Are you responsible for that
- 14 currently?
- 15 A. Yes.

- 16 Q. Do you design and write federal grants as mentioned in the
- next section of that sentence?
- 18 A. Per se I think you asked if I would be writing the federal
- grants. I have other people that would be doing it
- 20 nowadays for me.
- 21 Q. So you supervise those who would be writing the federal grants?
- 23 A. That is correct.
- 24 Q. Also, there's a mention in there, "The supervision of the
- 25 entire equipment inventory, including the motor pool and

- 1 Q. Is there another description of the services division
- chief section of the duty manual that is more accurate than the one that has been provided today?
- 4 A. Not that I was able to find in a word search.
- 5 Q. Generally, how do you know that these are things that
- don't apply any longer? Is there like a memorandum, orwas there any kind of interoffice document generated to
- 8 say these areas of the duty manual are no longer accurate?
- 9 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 10 Q. Then how do you know that they don't apply to you anymore?
- 11 A. Somewhat commonsense. Some are that we do not even perform these duties or are involved in any of these
- 13 duties.
- 14 Q. Are you aware of when the last time the duty manual has
- 15 been revised?
- 16 A. The duty manual is in a constant state of evolution. I
- believe the last document may have been within the last
- two months that we may have signed for.
- 19 Q. Yet it appears it's still not up to date?
- MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes. Go ahead.
- 22 A. Would you rephrase the question?
- 23 Q. Sure. You're apparently telling me as you testify here
- 24 that this document, Exhibit 1, which you indicated you got
- from your computer yesterday, does not accurately reflect

Cli	уо	South Bend and Pete Butugleg, et al.			December 17, 2013
		Page 13			Page 15
1 2		what your current duties are as the services division chief, correct?	1 2		. Is there a difference in your mind between supervising the front desk and overseeing?
3		The document I produced as of this morning contains both	_		Yes.
4		old job description duties as well as current job			. What is the difference?
5		descriptions, kind of a blending of both.	5		Supervise is usually a direct one on one with a certain
6	Q	Okay. You indicated that the duty manual had been revised	6		span of control. Oversee is more global in that I don't
7	•	within the last, I think, two months?	7		stand up there and supervise, look at their use of
8		MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes. Go	8		vacation time, sick time, work product, etc.
9		ahead.	9	Q.	. And do you know when that changed between what is
10		. I don't understand.	10		delineated in Exhibit 1 and what apparently currently
11		When's the last time the duty manual was revised?	11		exists now?
12		. Within the last two months.	12		MR. SULLIVAN: Mischaracterizes. Go ahead.
13	Q.	Okay. And any reason why the revisions you're telling me	13	Α.	. Not exactly. I'm confused on what would you repeat the
14		now is what was accurate to what you do wasn't placed in	14	\sim	question?
15	٨	the most recently revised duty manual?	15	Q.	Sure. Paragraph 3 on the second page, first portion of
16 17	Α.	This particular section of the duty manual was not recently revised.	16 17		that, it says, "Communications. The Services Chief will supervise the front desk."
18	0	Do you know when the last time Section 208.00 of the	18		You just indicated that you oversee the front desk.
19	×	services division of the duty manual was revised?	19		You do not supervise the front desk, further indicated
20	A.	I have no idea.	20		that you define overseeing the front desk as different
21	Q.	Do you know who would have been responsible for revising	21		than supervising the front desk.
22	_	that?	22		So my question was when did it change from
23	A.	No, I don't.	23		supervising the front desk to overseeing the front desk as
24	Q.	All right. We talked about 208.01 B(1). Now let's talk	24		per your testimony?
25		about B(2), "Records." It says, "The Services Chief will	25		MR. SULLIVAN: Same objection. I'm not sure he
_			_		
		Page 14			Page 16
1		be responsible for the updating and efficient performance	1		testified that it changed. That's his view of it.
2	-	of the records section, which encompasses the laboratory,	2		You can answer.
3		data processing and the property clerk."	_	Α.	Who had direct supervision of the front desk evolved and
4		Do you still have that responsibility as described in	4		changed several times over the years. At one time the
5	A	that paragraph I just read? No.	5		front desk used to be the personnel fell under the
7		When did that responsibility extinguish?	6		uniform division. And this is all within the same time
	-	Prior to myself becoming the services division chief.	8		that this particular document was in place. So at one time it was the uniform division, then it went to the
9		On page 2, again, we're going to go up to the top which	9		communications section; and I believe it went to
10	Κ.	has paragraph 3, "Communications. The Services Chief will	10		communications within the last six years.
11		supervise the front desk, standard operating procedures of	11	Q.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
12		both police and fire departments in the communications	12	_	front desk or did you oversee it?
13		sections to ensure proper communications and operations."		A.	Unfortunately I do not know when the front desk fell under
14		Are you responsible as delineated in that paragraph?	14		communications, when that particular change was made. But
15	A.	7 1	15		there was a change probably within the last definitely
16		to do with the fire department. The communications center	16		I know it was within the last six years that change had
17		does dispatching for police, fire, and EMS. Standard	17	^	taken place.
18		operating procedures or requests by the fire department,	18	Ų.	Did you ever supervise the front desk as a services
20		they're responsible for their SOPs, and we implement them through the communications center.	19		division chief?
20 21		So we don't have we don't dictate SOP's of the	20 21		MR. SULLIVAN: Supervise in his understanding of the word as he described it?
22		fire department. We just follow them as it relates to	22	Q.	
23		dispatching their units.	23	_	the language from Exhibit 1 which is from, as I understand
24	Q.	Okay. Do you supervise the front desk?	24		it, the duty manual. I'm not sure whether there's a
25	-	Yes. Oversee.	25		definitional section in the duty manual. So I suppose

Page 17 Page 19 I'll ask. Is there a definitional section in the duty 1 1 manage the front desk versus the desk sergeants who had a manual that would define the word supervise in a common 2 2 more laissez-faire or hands-off approach versus one would 3 fashion so that we all would understand what this meant? 3 have through the communications section. So that was 4 A. I really don't know, sir. I don't believe so. 4 changed. I believe it was within the six-year period. Q. Okay. You've indicated again under the paragraph that SOP 5 Q. Well, I'm trying to get the division chief's, I guess, understanding by the duty manual what he was supposed to 6 of the fire departments is not something that the services do and what he was expected to do. So whether his 7 7 chief is involved in, correct? definition of what supervised means corresponds with what 8 8 A. We don't -- I don't really dictate SOP's of the fire 9 his actual obligations were, I guess we'll have to find department. Communications section or communications 10 out. But I'm just using the word that was given to me in center -- there's some word I use. We just fulfill in our 10 the document, common ordinary meaning of it. 11 11 dispatching duties those particular SOP's. 12 MR. SULLIVAN: That's fine. You guys just 12 Q. Next paragraph 4, "Training. The Services Chief will 13 discussed the meaning of that phrase, and I wanted to 13 supervise, control and evaluate (in liaison with the city 14 know if you were using it in that sense or in another 14 personnel department and the promotion board) police 15 15 applicant screening and training and testing procedures of 16 MR. DUERRING: I am using it in the sense that it 16 recruits." Do you do that still? 17 is used in the duty manual. And if he doesn't know, 17 A. Yes. 18 he can tell me. If he does, he can tell me that. 18 Q. You oversee the ongoing professionalization of members of MR. SULLIVAN: Fair enough. I didn't mean to 19 19 the department by in-service training and other 20 distract you. 20 educational programs? 21 A. Yes. A. After you guys talked back and forth, I don't even know 21 22 Q. Is there anyone else that shares in that responsibility 22 what the question was. 23 BY MR. DUERRING: 23 that I just read? 24 Q. I'm not a hundred percent sure what the last question was 24 A. There's going to be a whole training staff that's either, but let me ask this question: What I'm trying to 25 involved. Page 18 Page 20 1 find out is you have delineated a difference of what your 1 Q. And who oversees or supervises the training staff? Is 2 duties are because you defined your duty as being an that within the services division? 3 overseer of the front desk versus a supervisor of the з A. Yes. 4 4 Q. Does that include both law enforcement employees and 5 I specifically asked you if there was a difference in civilian employees of the department? Do you know what I your mind and you said, yes, the language in the services 6 6 mean by the difference there? 7 manual says -- or in the duty manual says, "The Services 7 A. Yes. 8 Chief will supervise the front desk," which in your mind Q. Sworn officers versus unsworn employees? is, from my understanding and correct me if I'm wrong, is 9 A. That particular sentence would be for both civilian and different than what you do, which is oversee the front 10 10 sworn members. 11 Q. Okay. Second to last sentence, "He will maintain liaison 11 12 And what I'm trying to find out is when did that 12 with other law enforcement agencies in matters of obligation or duty change from supervision to oversight. personnel and training instruction." Is that still within 13 13 the bailiwick of the services chief? 14 And then I think I also asked did you ever view your duty 14 15 to the front desk personnel different from the time you 15 A. Yes, sir. 16 Q. Final sentence, "He will supervise the training file of 16 took the job of chief of services until now? 17 A. As I mentioned, sometime within the last six years, it 17 recruits and ensure that all phases of training are 18 went from -- front desk personnel went from, from what I complete." Is that still within your bailiwick? 18 remember, went from the uniform division, the front desk 19 19 A. Again, as I mentioned before, as in many of the other 20 personnel -- correction, to the front desk sergeants would 20 paragraphs, I'm not sure of the word supervise, which 21 supervise the public safety officers, the people that are 21 means hands-on. Rarely do I have hands-on with any files. I just have to ensure that the process is being done 22 assigned to the front desk. 22 23 Under Karen DePaepe, she had a proposal that better 23 through several other layers of personnel. supervision could be garnered, more quality control could 24 24 Q. Do those layers all exist within the services division? 25 be had by having communications supervisors supervise and 25 A. Yes.

Page 24

_	
Page	21

- 1 Q. Jumping down to paragraph 7, "Services Chief acts as
- 2 liaison between the police department and the personnel
- 3 department to ensure the prompt and efficient handling of
- all personnel matters involving civilian employees of the
- 5 police department."

Is that still an accurate description of your duties

- 7 with respect to civilian employees?
- 8 A. Yes.

6

- 9 Q. It's my understanding that when Karen DePaepe was employed
- as director of communications, she was considered a
- civilian employee; is that correct?
- 12 A. That is correct.
- 13 Q. So she would be one of the employees referred to in
- paragraph 7; is that correct?
- 15 A. That is correct.
- 16 Q. I'm going to ask some questions concerning the
- 17 communications department, or the communications center I
- suppose. When I refer to the word "communications
- center," do you understand what I mean?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. Okay. Can you describe to me what the communications
- center consists of within the South Bend Police
- 23 Department?
- 24 A. The communications center is located on the far east side
- of our building at 701 West Sample, approximately a 2,000

- center would be under the supervision of the servicesdivision chief?
- 3 A. Supervision would be director of the communications
- 5 Q. Oversight would be what, overseeing --
- 6 A. Probably the overall, more of a global view in that I
- 7 would not be supervising the day-to-day activities of all
- 8 the other employees.
- 9 Q. Are there any other division chiefs that would hold any10 type of responsibility over the communications center?
- 11 A. No, sir.

18

- Q. And this responsibility that we just talked about over thecommunications center, did it exist from the time that you
- took the position of division chief or services?
- 15 A. I believe the only change would you repeat the question
- one more time real quick?

 MR. DUERRING: Could you read it back?
 - MR. DUERRING: Could you read it back? (Read back.)
- A. As mentioned earlier in this deposition, there was a change sometime between the start and/or present day time
- 21 as the division chief overseeing the services division as
- regards to the front desk personnel.
- 23 Q. Are the front desk personnel considered part of the
- 24 communications center?
- 25 A. They are now.

Page 22

- square foot section of our 125,000 square foot footprint,
- 2 consisting of approximately 30 employees ranging from
- director of communications to a public service officer
- 4 located at the front desk. Correction, 38 employees, I'm
- 5 sorry, approximately.
- 6 Q. Okay. And did this communications center as you just
- described fall underneath the bailiwick of the servicesdivision chief?
- 9 MR. SULLIVAN: I just have a need to object to
- 10 "bailiwick."
- MR. DUERRING: I'm trying to use commonly known words so we don't --
- MR. SULLIVAN: You're reaching back with that
- word. Go ahead.

 15 A. I wouldn't say bailiwick. I'm not exactly sure what
- bailiwick stands for.
- 17 BY MR. DUERRING:
- 18 Q. It falls within the responsibility of services division chief?
- 20 A. Oversee responsibility, I would think both those terms21 might be appropriate.
- 22 Q. And as I understand your definition of oversee, that does
- not include supervise?
- 24 A. Not -- well, portions would be considered supervision.
- 25 Q. Portions. Okay. What portions of the communications

- 1 Q. When did that change occur?
- -2-A. As I mentioned before, sometime in a six-year period.
- 3 Q. And that change was initiated by Karen DePaepe?
- 4 A. I believe she was the individual that was the champion of that.
- 6 Q. Do you know if that would have been under the Chief
- 7 Boykins administration?
- 8 A. It would be.
- 9 Q. Any other changes that you can think of?
- 10 A. Not right offhand, sir.
- 11 Q. Now, at the time that you took the position of services
- chief, what training program, if any, was in existence to
- train the director of communications to do her job?
- 14 A. I'm sorry. Are you asking from the time I took over or prior to?
- 16 Q. At the time when you took over the position of division
- chief of services, what training program or programs existed to help train and, I guess, continue to train the
- director of communications or whoever held that position?
- 20 A. Prior to me becoming division chief of services, you'd
- have to ask Division Chief Richard Kilgore as to what type of training program was in place or that he initiated as
- regards to the director of communications training.
 - Once I took over, she was encouraged to go to any type of conferences, whether it was state conferences, or

24

Page 28

Page	25
------	----

- 1 any type of APCO conference, or anything she felt
- 2 necessary to increase her knowledge and perform her
- duties. 3

9

- Q. And how was that encouragement communicated to the person who held that position? 5
- 6 A. Several ways. Number one, approving schools that she may
- have gone to, conferences. And, you know, encouragement 7
- in that I was one of many different layers for approval 8
 - for any conference and/or schooling.
- And as far as I remember, I never turned down any 10 conference. And it appears, from what I remember at 11
- 12 least, one to two times a year she would go to some type
- of professional training. 13
- 14 Q. Were there records kept of the training, any kind of
- training that was initiated or taken advantage of by the 15
- director of communications? 16
- 17 A. There probably is, at least as it relates to the retention
- 18 basis for the South Bend Police Department. So it could
- 19 be three years. It could be purged after three years.
- 20 But any type of documentation as it pertains to dollars
- 21 and cents, financial records and schools that she may have
- put in for, we probably have at least three years. 22
- 23 Q. Do you know whether or not those records would have been
- 24 placed in her personnel file?
- 25 A. I don't believe they would be placed in her personnel

- 1 program that she attended.
- Okay. Well, using IDACS as kind of an example, IDACS --2
- do you know what IDACS stands for? 3
- 4 A. I believe it's either Indiana something -- not exactly a
- hundred percent sure. I'm sure if I sat around and
- thought about it I'd get it right, or Google it. 6
- Q. What is IDACS? Can you explain what it is?
- A. It deals with integrated data on the statewide level
- administered through state agencies pushing out
- 10 information on a state and national basis for wants,
- 11 warrants, criminal histories, etc.
- 12 Q. And would you agree with me that the IDACS has a certain
- 13 number of specific protocols dealing with how the 14
 - information is to be used and who has access to that
- 15 information?
- 16 A. There is several -- there's an ever evolving group of
- 17 regulations, rules, etc., that is involving IDACS and the
- 18 dissemination of information.
- Q. That are very specific, correct, as to that program; would 19
- 20 you agree with me?
 - MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, vague. Go ahead.
- A. Very detailed, I would say.
- Q. And would the training that would be given for the IDACS
- 24 use be essentially sponsored by the agencies that oversee
- 25 **IDACS?**

21

Page 26

- 1
- Q. Did you maintain a file that would have kept this 2
- 3 information for this particular position?
- I personally wouldn't have maintained a file on that.
- 5 Q. Would you have supervised or overseen anyone who would
- have maintained that file? 6
- 7 A. As I mentioned earlier in this deposition, there would be
- a financial record as to tuition or registration paid, 8
- 9 dollars -- travel expenses, those types of things, and the
- travel request form where Karen would have actually 10
- 11 requested that certain type of training.
- 12 Q. Okay. Aside from the particular person holding that
- 13 position requesting the permission to attend, I guess, an
- outside conference, were there any in-department programs 14
- that were specifically for the ongoing professionalization 15
- and education of the director of communications? 16
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Can you describe what those programs were?
- 19 A. There are several programs, whether they were just one-day conferences or just half-day, that she would attend that 20
- was locally within the state, and as is directly related 21
- 22 to her job, whether it was dealing with IDACS, emergency
- 23 communications, emergency management, etc.
- 24 But I believe we also as a department invested just
- 25 under \$10,000 in a professional executive leadership

- 1 A. Was that a question? I'm sorry.
- Q: Yes. Would the training that would be relative to the use
- of the IDACS program through the South Bend Police
- Department as administered by the director of
- communications, would that training be something generally 5
- 6 through the agency that regulates IDACS?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And would that be the Indiana State Police?
- 9 A. Yes.

- 10 Q. So the South Bend Police Department then wouldn't have
- 11 anything to do with that training. It would be
 - administered by the State Police, correct?
- 13 A. The State Police would administer -- well, they would
- 14 administer training to an IDACS coordinator within the
- 15 department. And someone, in most cases within the
- 16 department, would do the training of our personnel.
- 17 Q. Who was the IDACS coordinator?
- 18 A. Karen DePaepe.
- 19 Q. Now, specifically you're aware that the department, South
- 20 Bend Police Department -- when I say "department." I'm
- 21 meaning the South Bend Police Department, okay. The
- 22 department had a piece of equipment called the Dynamic
- 23 Reliant recording system. Are you aware of that?
- 24 A. I'm not a hundred percent sure if it was called that, but
- I do know we had -- I believe it was Dynamic Instruments,

	Cit	y of	South Bend and Pete Buttigleg, et al.			December 17, 2013
			Page 29			Page 31
	1		maybe Reliant was the name of the model recording system.	1		responsibilities did you as services chief have over that
	2		Okay. And to your understanding, what did that system do?	2		system?
	3		Recorded analog, voice, radio, phone conversations,	3		A. As I mentioned before, dealing with paying the yearly
	4		transmissions in and out of the communications center, and	4	_	maintenance, which is an accounts payable budgetary item,
	5		other lines within the South Bend Police Department.	5		being informed of the fact if there was a malfunction and
	6	Q.	Okay. And at the time you took the position of services	6		that Steven Campbell & Associates was involved in some
	7		chief, do you know what the department's policy was with	7		sort of repair, in planning on the budgetary replacement
	8		respect to the use of that recording system?	8		of a system that may be starting to age, something to do
	9		MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, vague. Go ahead.	9		with the five-year plan for capital expenditures in the
	10	A.	I guess if it deals with what my interpretation of the	10		future, and probably any type of at least on the
	11		policy if there was a written policy I'm not sure	11		oversight part, and not a day-to-day supervisory part, but
	12	_	exactly your definition of "policy."	12		an oversight of a FOIA type request, subpoenas, etc., were
	13	Q.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	13	_	filled in a timely manner.
	14		asking. So let me approach it from this particular	14	Ç	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	15		standpoint:	15		piece of equipment?
	16		The recording system that we were talking about, what			A. Not that I remember off the top of my head.
	17		department did that fall under with respect to overseeing, maintaining and using?	17	Ç	
	18	٨	It would be the police department.	18		in the training of how it was to be used within the
	20	-		19 20	Δ	department?
	ı	A.		21	Л	A. Training on its use would have been direct training from Steven Campbell & Associates with the administrator of the
	22	_	So that would fall under the services chief's	22		system. Policy and use would be dictated through various
	23	₹.	responsibility as we've talked about, correct?	23		forms and policies of the duty manual and other directives
		A.		24		that could and would have come from the chief of police.
	25		within the services chief's responsibility or oversight,	1	Q	2. As services division chief, did you oversee the training
			Page 30			Page 32
	1		either when I was services chief or prior to.	1		of the director of communications and any part of her use
		0.	Who had direct responsibility of the recording system?	-2	-	of the recording system?
			It would be the director of communications.	3		MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, compound. Go ahead.
			And she answered to you, or that person answered to you,	4	A	A. I guess it's two different questions that you just asked.
	5		correct, as I understand it?	5		Her training, yes.
	6	A.	I guess as it relates to the recording system or in	6		As I mentioned before, her encouragement to attend
	7		relationship to overall everyday duties?	7		professional training, approving professional training
	8	-	As it relates to how you answered the question about 15	8		throughout the years; and the second part was the use
	9		minutes ago when you said you had direct supervision over	9		would have been a direct training from Steven Campbell &
	10		the director of communications.	10	_	Associates in its use.
		A.	As direct supervision of the director of communications,	11	Q	2. Let me ask that again because I don't believe it was
	12		in that particular context, yes, but not with the	12		compound.
	13	\circ	recording system.	13		Did you oversee any training or professionalization
	14 15	Q.	Who would have oversight of that or supervision of that system?	14		for the director of communications as it relates
		Α	It would have been the chief of police, I believe.	15 16		specifically to the use of the recording system that we've been talking about? That's one question.
			And what leads you to that belief?		А	L I believe that the training and its use, I was not
		_	The only time I was involved in the Dynamic Instrument	18	. 1	involved in. I believe it was purchased under Chief
	19		Reliant system is if the bills had to be paid for a	19		Richard Kilgore.
	20		maintenance agreement, or when Karen would tell me that	20	O	2. It's my understanding that the system that was in place at
	21		usually post date as to any type of equipment malfunction	21	_	the time Karen was terminated, or immediately prior to
	22		took place involving the activation of our maintenance	22		Karen being terminated, was purchased sometime in 2004.
- 1	2 3		agreement.	23		And you would have been responsible for procuring or
	24	Q.	So let's go through the specifics then. As it relates to	24		approving the purchase of that equipment in 2004; is that
- 1	0 =		41			

the recording system that we've been talking about, what

25

correct or is that incorrect?

Page 36

y of South Bend and Pete Buttigleg, et al.	D
Pag	ge 33
A. That is incorrect.	1 while Karen DePaepe held that positi
Q. And you're saying Chief Kilgore would have b	peen 2 services division chief
responsible for purchasing the equipment in 200	04? 3 A. Yes.
A. That is correct.	4 Q. While you were Karen DePaepe's supe
MR. SULLIVAN: Let's take a break.	5 division chief, were there any other prin

- (Exhibit 2 marked for identification.) 7 BY MR. DUERRING: 8
- Q. Just so I don't forget, Chief Horvath, I'm going to show 9 you what's been marked for identification purposes as 10
- Exhibit 2. And can you take a look at Exhibit 2 for me? 11 12 Have you had occasion to review Exhibit 2?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.

1

2 3 4

5

6

14 Q. Are you familiar with that exhibit?

(Recess taken.)

- A. I believe I've seen it as it relates to the rewriting of 15
- 16 director of communications' recent job description that
- was produced somewhere in 2012. 17
- 18 Q. Now, do you know whether or not Exhibit 2 represents the
- rewritten description or the former description? 19
- 20 A. It would be the former description.
- 21 Q. Do you know whether or not Exhibit 2 accurately describes
- 22 the position of director of communications at the time
- 23 that Karen DePaepe held that position?
- 24 A. No, sir, not right now I don't.
- 25 Q. Okay. Do you know if there was any position description

- ion and you were
- ervisor as services
- division chief, were there any other primary job functions 5
- 6 other than those that are listed in Exhibit 2 that you are
- 7 aware of?
- 8 A. I believe what you have in front of you, this position 9 description almost makes it look like it's a job position or description as it relates to almost like applying for 10
- 11 the position of director of communications versus all of
- the duties and responsibilities that Karen had when the 12
- 13 two of us worked together.
- 14 Q. Are you aware of any other document or documentation that 15 would describe the duties and responsibilities of director of communications, other than Exhibit 2, that would apply 16
- 17 while Karen DePaepe worked as director of communications?
- 18 A. As I mentioned, not without a search of the records to 19 determine whether there was any other document out there.
- 20 Q. Was Karen DePaepe as director of communications responsible for insuring that all physical resources 21
- housed and used within the department, within the 22
- communications center were repaired and properly 23
- 24 functioning? And that's a paraphrasing of the last 25 paragraph on the first page of that document.
- Page 34
- for the director of communications that would apply during 1
- the period of time that Karen DePaepe held that position?
- 3 A. Not right now I don't.
- Q. Exhibit 2 indicates that the supervisor or the director of communications would be the services division chief; is 5
- that correct? 6
- 7 A. That is correct.
- Q. Is that what your understanding was while you were 8
- services division chief at the time that Karen DePaepe 9
- held that position? 10
- 11 A. I can't be a hundred percent sure due to the fact that 12 this document is at least 13 years old or more.
- 13 Q. Maybe you didn't understand my question.
- At the time that you were services division chief, 14 and Karen DePaepe held the position of director of 15
- 16 communications, did this description apply as it relates
- to you being her supervisor? 17
- 18 A. As I mentioned, as of right now I do not know. And the
- reason why I say that is it could always be one that 19
- supercedes this during her tenure as director of 20
- communications. 21
- The only way I would know that is if I would have a 22
- document search done through HR services division if there 23 was any other documents out there. 24
- 25 Q. Were you the supervisor of the director of communications

- 1 A. It was her duties to report and, I guess, request the
- 2 repair of malfunctions within the communications center.
- The actual physical repairing would most likely be 3 4 somebody else's responsibility.
- Q. Let me ask this question then this way: I'm going to read
- 6 the bottom paragraph of Exhibit 2. It says, "Manages and 7 maintains all physical resources housed and used within
- the communications center to ensure that all subordinates 8
- 9 are probably equipped and equipment failures are properly 10
- identified, repaired, and restored to full operations." 11 Was it the director of communications' responsibility to do that while you were services division chief and
- 12 Karen DePaepe held that position? 13
- It was her responsibility to I would say manage the 14. A.
- repair, but not do the actual repair. 15
- 16 Q. Was it her responsibility to ensure that after the repair was done, the equipment was operating properly? 17
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Now, the Dynamic Instrument recording system that we 20 talked about before our break, you said that you were not
- responsible for its purchase? 21
- A. That is correct.
- Q. Were you involved in any part of its planning and/or 23 selection? 24
- 25 A. Yes.

(City	y of	South Bend and Pete Buttigieg, et al.		December 17, 2013
			Page 37	Τ	Page 39
	1	\circ	What involvement did you have?	1	participation in purchasing that system.
			Mainly working with Karen DePaepe as to the various	2	MR. SULLIVAN: What timeframe?
	3	7 1.	functions the various functionalities of the system, as	3	MR. DUERRING: He indicated he was involved in
	4		well as redundancy that was required to having such a	4	the purchase of the Dynamic Reliant recording system,
1	5		system that's would be used in the communications	5	as far as researching it.
	6		center at 701 West Sample.	6	MR. SULLIVAN: But he wasn't services chief at
1		\cap	Okay. I'm going to back up a little bit. Did you ever	7	that time.
	8	Q.	hold the position of captain of planning and research?	8	MR. DUERRING: I understand. So the answer is
1		Δ	Yes.	وا	either yes or no at the time.
.			Would that be under Darrell Gunn's tenure of chief of	10	MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I'm trying to – at
	11	۷.	police?	11	the time it was purchased?
- 1		Α	Not only under Darrell Gunn, but other individuals.	12	MR. DUERRING: At the time it was purchased.
- 1			While you held that position, were you assigned to	13	MR. SULLIVAN: Go ahead.
- 1	14	۷.	research and purchase research to purchase and	14	THE WITNESS: As I mentioned earlier, I cannot
- 1	15		implementation of an audio recorder to replace the	15	remember whether we had another piece of equipment,
- 1	16		Dictaphone reel-to-reel system?	16	you know, during that process. I really just don't
		Α	I believe I may have assisted back in those days.	17	remember whether we had another piece of equipment
			Okay. And when I'm referring to the Dictaphone	18	between the old reel-to-reel system and the
	19	-	reel-to-reel system, were you familiar with that system?	19	present-day system.
- 1			I know what it looks like. I wasn't familiar in its	20	MR. SULLIVAN: I think his question was did you
- 1	21	24.	operation. I did know what the machine and the media,	21	provide any training at the time that system was
- 1	22		storage media that it was involved in.	22	bought.
- 1		O	And is it accurate to describe that system as basically	23	
- 1	24	-	the system that was being used by the department to record	24	by me. It was by Chief Kilgore was the approving body. I
- (25		dispatches and telephone conversations prior to the	25	don't believe and I don't remember. I really don't
			Page 38		Page 40
	1		purchase of the Dynamic Instrument system?	1	believe I had that much to do with the actual installation
	2	A.	Unfortunately I'm not a hundred percent sure. I keep	- 2	and/or training of this particular item that had to do
	3		thinking again, it's been a long time ago. I thought	3	mainly with the spec'ing, assisting in spec'ing out the
1	4		we had another system in between the DI and the old reel	4	item or the equipment. But the installation was done
	5		to reel. But unfortunately I don't remember. It's been a	5	through a combination of Steven Campbell and with Karen
	6		long time ago.	6	DePaepe.
	7	Q.	So you're saying you don't know what the Dictaphone	7	BY MR. DUERRING:
	8	-	reel-to-reel system did?	8	Q. Okay. When you were involved in the process of having
	9	A.	Yes, I do know what it did.	9	that system installed, purchased and installed by the
1	LO	Q.	What did it do?	10	department the system I'm referring to is the Dynamic
1	.1	A.	It recorded exactly what you had mentioned earlier, but	11	Reliant system. Did you
1	.2		whether there was a we went from a reel to reel right	12	Were you aware of whether or not there was any
13					
lз	.3		to the Dynamic Instrument without any intermediary, I	13	training with regards to making sure that system was in
-17	.3 .4		to the Dynamic Instrument without any intermediary, I don't remember if we had another piece of equipment	13 14	training with regards to making sure that system was in compliance with any federal/state laws regarding
1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1	.4 .5		don't remember if we had another piece of equipment	14 15	compliance with any federal/state laws regarding
1	.4 .5	Q.	don't remember if we had another piece of equipment between the two.	14 15	compliance with any federal/state laws regarding intercepting telephone conversations?
1 1	.5 .6 .7	Q.	don't remember if we had another piece of equipment between the two. Okay. As part of your research, did you look into any federal/state laws regarding compliance with recording of telephone conversations?	14 15 16	compliance with any federal/state laws regarding intercepting telephone conversations? A. I was not involved with any aspect of that. Q. Was there, to your knowledge, any discussion that you were aware of concerning that aspect of the recording system
1 1 1	.5 .6 .7	Q.	don't remember if we had another piece of equipment between the two. Okay. As part of your research, did you look into any federal/state laws regarding compliance with recording of	14 15 16 17	compliance with any federal/state laws regarding intercepting telephone conversations? A. I was not involved with any aspect of that. Q. Was there, to your knowledge, any discussion that you were
1 1 1 1	.4 .5 .6 .7 .8	Q. A. Q.	don't remember if we had another piece of equipment between the two. Okay. As part of your research, did you look into any federal/state laws regarding compliance with recording of telephone conversations? I don't believe I did. When you oversaw the implementation of the Dynamic	14 15 16 17 18 19	compliance with any federal/state laws regarding intercepting telephone conversations? A. I was not involved with any aspect of that. Q. Was there, to your knowledge, any discussion that you were aware of concerning that aspect of the recording system and its use? A. I have no knowledge of that particular aspect.
1 1 1 2	.4 .5 .6 .7 .8	Q. A. Q.	don't remember if we had another piece of equipment between the two. Okay. As part of your research, did you look into any federal/state laws regarding compliance with recording of telephone conversations? I don't believe I did. When you oversaw the implementation of the Dynamic Instrument Reliant recording system, did you provide any	14 15 16 17 18 19	compliance with any federal/state laws regarding intercepting telephone conversations? A. I was not involved with any aspect of that. Q. Was there, to your knowledge, any discussion that you were aware of concerning that aspect of the recording system and its use? A. I have no knowledge of that particular aspect. Q. Do you have any training within with respect to
11 11 12 22	.4 .5 .6 .7 .8	Q. A. Q.	don't remember if we had another piece of equipment between the two. Okay. As part of your research, did you look into any federal/state laws regarding compliance with recording of telephone conversations? I don't believe I did. When you oversaw the implementation of the Dynamic Instrument Reliant recording system, did you provide any training with respect to fcderal/state laws pertaining to	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	compliance with any federal/state laws regarding intercepting telephone conversations? A. I was not involved with any aspect of that. Q. Was there, to your knowledge, any discussion that you were aware of concerning that aspect of the recording system and its use? A. I have no knowledge of that particular aspect. Q. Do you have any training within with respect to federal/state laws regarding the interception of telephone
11 11 12 2 2 2	.4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9	Q. A. Q.	don't remember if we had another piece of equipment between the two. Okay. As part of your research, did you look into any federal/state laws regarding compliance with recording of telephone conversations? I don't believe I did. When you oversaw the implementation of the Dynamic Instrument Reliant recording system, did you provide any training with respect to federal/state laws pertaining to the recording of telephone conversations?	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	compliance with any federal/state laws regarding intercepting telephone conversations? A. I was not involved with any aspect of that. Q. Was there, to your knowledge, any discussion that you were aware of concerning that aspect of the recording system and its use? A. I have no knowledge of that particular aspect. Q. Do you have any training within with respect to
1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2	-4 -5 -6 -7 -8 -9 -10	Q. A. Q.	don't remember if we had another piece of equipment between the two. Okay. As part of your research, did you look into any federal/state laws regarding compliance with recording of telephone conversations? I don't believe I did. When you oversaw the implementation of the Dynamic Instrument Reliant recording system, did you provide any training with respect to fcderal/state laws pertaining to	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	compliance with any federal/state laws regarding intercepting telephone conversations? A. I was not involved with any aspect of that. Q. Was there, to your knowledge, any discussion that you were aware of concerning that aspect of the recording system and its use? A. I have no knowledge of that particular aspect. Q. Do you have any training within with respect to federal/state laws regarding the interception of telephone

25

25

MR. DUERRING: As services chief or any type of

dealing with on a -- I wouldn't call it a day-to-day

Page 41 Page 43 1 basis, but my training as it related to my prior position system to intercept telephone conversations? 1 2 in the police department before becoming lieutenant of A. It was my understanding that the Dynamic Instrument 2 3 research and planning, then captain of research and 3 recording system housed within the communications center, planning. 4 4 that particular system would be used for evidentiary 5 I had worked in the narcotics section of the South 5 purposes, with subpoena requests from places such as the Bend Police Department, detective division, or б prosecutor's office. It could be used for quality 6 7 investigative division, and there I received some training control. It could be used for fulfilling FOIA, Freedom of as it relates to pen registers and federal and state law. 8 Information requests, and for training purposes. Q. Did that training give you any kind of information or 9 Q. In what respects could it be used for quality control? 9 knowledge that there were regulations both federal and 10 10 MR. SULLIVAN: I'm objecting to the extent any of state pertaining to the electronic interception of 11 11 these call for a legal conclusion. I have no telephone conversations? 12 12 objection to you asking him what people did and how MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, calls for a legal 13 they did it. But sometimes it veers into asking sort 13 conclusion. Go ahead. 14 14 of about what was the law. And I don't think that's 15 A. The training that I received, which is in excess of 20 15 what you want. So I'm being cautious in objecting to years ago at least, dealt with the fact that pen registers 16 16 the extent that it calls for a legal conclusion. You 17 were to be treated vastly different than wiretaps or 17 can go ahead and answer. intercept of voice communications, and that voice 18 18 A. As it relates to quality assurance is that there has to be communications through phone lines was primarily done by 19 19 -- a certain percentage of the medical calls that are 20 federal authorities with court orders and/or state 20 furnished through the communications center has to be agencies in conjunction with federal investigations. 21 21 reviewed and take a look at to make sure the quality 22 Q. So as I understand it, your knowledge with respect to what 22 assurance and the correct protocols are performed. you refer to as wiretaps or interception of voice 23 23 Could be used for -- to make sure that the personnel communications was that in order to have that 24 24 is appropriately following the rules and regulations and 25 accomplished, there has to be some kind of warrant 25 giving good quality dispatch. It could also be used as a Page 42 Page 44 obtained; is that correct or incorrect? 1 1 means to make sure that new hires are performing to the 2 MR. SULLIVAN: Would you repeat that? 2 expectations of how the supervisor, you know, dictates as 3 (Read back.) 3 part of the training regimen. That was just on one MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes, calls aspect. It could be for the quality control. 4 4 5 for a legal conclusion, confusing. You can answer. 5 Q. And how though from a logistical standpoint could that be A. I would believe that if the wiretap as I described was to 6 6 accomplished with this system? be used in a criminal investigation based on some type of 7 7 A. That the supervisor -- whether it's the training officer, affidavit filed through a court system. That's how I 8 8 assistant director or director could go and listen to how would interpret the training I had. 9 9 various phone conversations are handled within their BY MR. DUERRING: 10 supervisory role, span of control. 10 11 Q. At the time that you were involved in the research and 11 Q. So basically you're saying that the director -- and you're 12 implementation -- I'm having trouble with that word 12 referring to the director of communications -- could today -- of the system that was used to replace the 13 13 access the recording of an intercepted conversation and 14 Dictaphone reel-to-reel system, what was your 14 listen to that conversation to ensure that the police personnel or the department personnel were handling a call 15 understanding of how a department, if any, of how a 15 16 department could use such a system in recording telephone correctly; is that correct? 16 conversations? 17 17 MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes, MR. SULLIVAN: Object that it calls for any kind vague, overly broad. Go ahead. 18 18 MR. DUERRING: Let go back because I don't want of legal conclusion. You can answer. 19 19 20 Q. Let me clarify. What was your understanding, based upon to mischaracterize, I don't want to be vague, and I 20 21 the training you talked about that you had as a narcotics 21 don't want to be overly broad. 22 officer and any other training that you might have gotten 22 Go back to his answer before that last question. as a law enforcement officer and any other experience that 23 23 (Read back.) 24 you had as a law enforcement officer up to that time with 24 MR. DUERRING: Okay. And then my question after 25 respect to how a department could use such a recording 25 that was?

_	y of South Dend and Pete Dutilgleg, et al.	December 17, 20
	Page 45	Page 4
1	(Read back.)	1 communications department that were placed on a recording
2	MR. SULLIVAN: Same objection. You can answer.	2 system prior to becoming chief of services?
3	A. That would be correct.	3 A. Yes.
4	BY·MR. DUERRING:	4 Q. And prior to becoming chief of services, what lines wer
5	Q. And as far as you understood, that wouldn't violate any of	5 you aware of that were placed on the recording system that
6	the federal and state laws that you were aware of with	6 were outside of the communications department
7	respect to wiretapping or recording voice communications;	7 A. I only knew of a portion of the lines, and it was mainl
8	is that correct?	8 for what was told to me. And the lines that I knew of
وا	MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, calls for a legal	9 would have been the front desk, and that would be the onl
10	conclusion. Go ahead.	one I know a hundred percent positively would have been
11	A. As far as I, you know, as far as I understand, that was	the front desk.
12	within a manager's, supervisor or director's authority	12 Q. Did you ever become aware of any of the division chief
13	based on the fact that all personnel within the	lines being placed on the recording system?
14	communications center were fully aware that all phone	14 MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, vague as to timefram.
15	lines, with the exception of one in the communications	15 A. Depending on what timeframe you're talking about. Wha
16	center, was being taped, which would be pursuant to	lines are recorded and what lines weren't recorded wa
17	regulations and procedures that were in place and written	ever evolving, so I really don't know at what time you're
18	down.	18 talking about.
19	But also, they had the one party rule, that at least	19 Q. Well, the timeframe I said was did you ever become awar
20	one party knows that this phone conversation is being done	of it. So let me is there something about that you di
21	or taped.	21 not understand?
22	Q. So you're saying that there is a written document	22 A. I didn't know whether it was as to what function or where
23	somewhere that sets out that that sets out the	during the timeframe between I guess the
24	requirement that or the information that these phone	24 Q. The timeframe would have been from the day that you becam
25	lines are recorded so that everyone within a particular	a law enforcement officer with the South Bend Police
	into sie ieokada od mai e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	a law ontologistic officer with the bottle bottle follow
	Page 46	Page 4
1		
1	department knows that their line is being recorded?	Department until April of 2012. Did you ever become aware
12	department knows that their line is being recorded? A. I believe it's within the training of all new	Department until April of 2012. Did you ever become aware that any of the division chiefs' lines were placed or ever
3	department knows that their line is being recorded? A. I believe it's within the training of all new communications center hires as part of the training	Department until April of 2012. Did you ever become aware that any of the division chiefs' lines were placed or ever placed on a recording system?
3 4	department knows that their line is being recorded? A. I believe it's within the training of all new communications center hires as part of the training process. And I've been told that everyone from day one	Department until April of 2012. Did you ever become aware that any of the division chiefs' lines were placed or ever placed on a recording system? A. I believe back under Chief Thomas Fautz, there was
2 3 4 5	department knows that their line is being recorded? A. I believe it's within the training of all new communications center hires as part of the training process. And I've been told that everyone from day one within the communications center has been instructed and	 Department until April of 2012. Did you ever become aware that any of the division chiefs' lines were placed or ever placed on a recording system? Å. I believe back under Chief Thomas Fautz, there was discussion as to it was in a conference room sometime.
2 3 4 5 6	department knows that their line is being recorded? A. I believe it's within the training of all new communications center hires as part of the training process. And I've been told that everyone from day one within the communications center has been instructed and trained that all lines other than the break room line	Department until April of 2012. Did you ever become aware that any of the division chiefs' lines were placed or ever placed on a recording system? A. I believe back under Chief Thomas Fautz, there was discussion as to it was in a conference room sometime in the morning. Correction. I don't know what time or
2 3 4 5 6 7	department knows that their line is being recorded? A. I believe it's within the training of all new communications center hires as part of the training process. And I've been told that everyone from day one within the communications center has been instructed and trained that all lines other than the break room line within the center is taped.	Department until April of 2012. Did you ever become aware that any of the division chiefs' lines were placed or ever placed on a recording system? 4 A. I believe back under Chief Thomas Fautz, there was discussion as to it was in a conference room sometime in the morning. Correction. I don't know what time of the day. It was one time during his time as chief that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	department knows that their line is being recorded? A. I believe it's within the training of all new communications center hires as part of the training process. And I've been told that everyone from day one within the communications center has been instructed and trained that all lines other than the break room line within the center is taped. Q. Is that in a document anywhere?	Department until April of 2012. Did you ever become aware that any of the division chiefs' lines were placed or ever placed on a recording system? 4 A. I believe back under Chief Thomas Fautz, there was discussion as to it was in a conference room sometime in the morning. Correction. I don't know what time of the day. It was one time during his time as chief that there was a discussion as to what division chiefs' line
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	department knows that their line is being recorded? A. I believe it's within the training of all new communications center hires as part of the training process. And I've been told that everyone from day one within the communications center has been instructed and trained that all lines other than the break room line within the center is taped. Q. Is that in a document anywhere? A. Unfortunately I don't know right now.	Department until April of 2012. Did you ever become aware that any of the division chiefs' lines were placed or ever placed on a recording system? A. I believe back under Chief Thomas Fautz, there was discussion as to — it was in a conference room sometime in the morning. Correction. I don't know what time of the day. It was one time during his time as chief that there was a discussion as to what division chiefs' line were to be recorded and not recorded.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	department knows that their line is being recorded? A. I believe it's within the training of all new communications center hires as part of the training process. And I've been told that everyone from day one within the communications center has been instructed and trained that all lines other than the break room line within the center is taped. Q. Is that in a document anywhere? A. Unfortunately I don't know right now. Q. So are you telling me that the only lines that were placed	Department until April of 2012. Did you ever become aware that any of the division chiefs' lines were placed or ever placed on a recording system? A. I believe back under Chief Thomas Fautz, there was discussion as to — it was in a conference room sometime in the morning. Correction. I don't know what time of the day. It was one time during his time as chief that there was a discussion as to what division chiefs' line were to be recorded and not recorded. Okay. Were you a part of that discussion?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	department knows that their line is being recorded? A. I believe it's within the training of all new communications center hires as part of the training process. And I've been told that everyone from day one within the communications center has been instructed and trained that all lines other than the break room line within the center is taped. Q. Is that in a document anywhere? A. Unfortunately I don't know right now. Q. So are you telling me that the only lines that were placed that you knew that were placed on the recording system	Department until April of 2012. Did you ever become aware that any of the division chiefs' lines were placed or ever placed on a recording system? A. I believe back under Chief Thomas Fautz, there was discussion as to it was in a conference room sometime in the moming. Correction. I don't know what time of the day. It was one time during his time as chief tha there was a discussion as to what division chiefs' line were to be recorded and not recorded. Q. Okay. Were you a part of that discussion? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	department knows that their line is being recorded? A. I believe it's within the training of all new communications center hires as part of the training process. And I've been told that everyone from day one within the communications center has been instructed and trained that all lines other than the break room line within the center is taped. Q. Is that in a document anywhere? A. Unfortunately I don't know right now. Q. So are you telling me that the only lines that were placed that you knew that were placed on the recording system were lines into the communications department?	Department until April of 2012. Did you ever become aware that any of the division chiefs' lines were placed or ever placed on a recording system? 4 A. I believe back under Chief Thomas Fautz, there was discussion as to it was in a conference room sometime in the morning. Correction. I don't know what time or the day. It was one time during his time as chief that there was a discussion as to what division chiefs' line were to be recorded and not recorded. 10 Q. Okay. Were you a part of that discussion? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Who else was a part of that discussion, to your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	department knows that their line is being recorded? A. I believe it's within the training of all new communications center hires as part of the training process. And I've been told that everyone from day one within the communications center has been instructed and trained that all lines other than the break room line within the center is taped. Q. Is that in a document anywhere? A. Unfortunately I don't know right now. Q. So are you telling me that the only lines that were placed that you knew that were placed on the recording system were lines into the communications department? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes.	Department until April of 2012. Did you ever become aware that any of the division chiefs' lines were placed or ever placed on a recording system? 4 A. I believe back under Chief Thomas Fautz, there was discussion as to it was in a conference room sometime in the morning. Correction. I don't know what time of the day. It was one time during his time as chief that there was a discussion as to what division chiefs' line were to be recorded and not recorded. 10 Q. Okay. Were you a part of that discussion? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Who else was a part of that discussion, to your recollection?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	department knows that their line is being recorded? A. I believe it's within the training of all new communications center hires as part of the training process. And I've been told that everyone from day one within the communications center has been instructed and trained that all lines other than the break room line within the center is taped. Q. Is that in a document anywhere? A. Unfortunately I don't know right now. Q. So are you telling me that the only lines that were placed that you knew that were placed on the recording system were lines into the communications department? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes. A. No, I didn't say that.	Department until April of 2012. Did you ever become aware that any of the division chiefs' lines were placed or ever placed on a recording system? A. I believe back under Chief Thomas Fautz, there was discussion as to — it was in a conference room sometime in the morning. Correction. I don't know what time of the day. It was one time during his time as chief that there was a discussion as to what division chiefs' line were to be recorded and not recorded. Q. Okay. Were you a part of that discussion? A. Yes. Who else was a part of that discussion, to your recollection? A. I believe — well, the chief, myself, Richard Kilgore,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	department knows that their line is being recorded? A. I believe it's within the training of all new communications center hires as part of the training process. And I've been told that everyone from day one within the communications center has been instructed and trained that all lines other than the break room line within the center is taped. Q. Is that in a document anywhere? A. Unfortunately I don't know right now. Q. So are you telling me that the only lines that were placed that you knew that were placed on the recording system were lines into the communications department? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes. A. No, I didn't say that. Q. Were you aware of what phone lines were placed on the	Department until April of 2012. Did you ever become aware that any of the division chiefs' lines were placed or ever placed on a recording system? A. I believe back under Chief Thomas Fautz, there was discussion as to — it was in a conference room sometime in the moming. Correction. I don't know what time of the day. It was one time during his time as chief that there was a discussion as to what division chiefs' line were to be recorded and not recorded. Q. Okay. Were you a part of that discussion? A. Yes. Q. Who else was a part of that discussion, to your recollection? A. I believe — well, the chief, myself, Richard Kilgore, seems like Division Chief Eugene Kyle was there, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	department knows that their line is being recorded? A. I believe it's within the training of all new communications center hires as part of the training process. And I've been told that everyone from day one within the communications center has been instructed and trained that all lines other than the break room line within the center is taped. Q. Is that in a document anywhere? A. Unfortunately I don't know right now. Q. So are you telling me that the only lines that were placed that you knew that were placed on the recording system were lines into the communications department? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes. A. No, I didn't say that. Q. Were you aware of what phone lines were placed on the Dynamic Instruments recording system outside of the	Department until April of 2012. Did you ever become aware that any of the division chiefs' lines were placed or ever placed on a recording system? A. I believe back under Chief Thomas Fautz, there was discussion as to it was in a conference room sometime in the moming. Correction. I don't know what time of the day. It was one time during his time as chief that there was a discussion as to what division chiefs' line were to be recorded and not recorded. Q. Okay. Were you a part of that discussion? A. Yes. Q. Who else was a part of that discussion, to your recollection? A. I believe well, the chief, myself, Richard Kilgore, seems like Division Chief Eugene Kyle was there, and unfortunately I just don't remember the division chief fo
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	department knows that their line is being recorded? A. I believe it's within the training of all new communications center hires as part of the training process. And I've been told that everyone from day one within the communications center has been instructed and trained that all lines other than the break room line within the center is taped. Q. Is that in a document anywhere? A. Unfortunately I don't know right now. Q. So are you telling me that the only lines that were placed that you knew that were placed on the recording system were lines into the communications department? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes. A. No, I didn't say that. Q. Were you aware of what phone lines were placed on the Dynamic Instruments recording system outside of the communications department at any point in time while you	Department until April of 2012. Did you ever become aware that any of the division chiefs' lines were placed or ever placed on a recording system? A. I believe back under Chief Thomas Fautz, there was discussion as to it was in a conference room sometime in the morning. Correction. I don't know what time or the day. It was one time during his time as chief tha there was a discussion as to what division chiefs' line were to be recorded and not recorded. Q. Okay. Were you a part of that discussion? A. Yes. Q. Who else was a part of that discussion, to your recollection? A. I believe well, the chief, myself, Richard Kilgore, seems like Division Chief Eugene Kyle was there, and unfortunately I just don't remember the division chief fo uniform, who it was exactly at that time or whether he was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	department knows that their line is being recorded? A. I believe it's within the training of all new communications center hires as part of the training process. And I've been told that everyone from day one within the communications center has been instructed and trained that all lines other than the break room line within the center is taped. Q. Is that in a document anywhere? A. Unfortunately I don't know right now. Q. So are you telling me that the only lines that were placed that you knew that were placed on the recording system were lines into the communications department? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes. A. No, I didn't say that. Q. Were you aware of what phone lines were placed on the Dynamic Instruments recording system outside of the communications department at any point in time while you were involved in the research or assisting in the purchase	Department until April of 2012. Did you ever become aware that any of the division chiefs' lines were placed or ever placed on a recording system? 4 A. I believe back under Chief Thomas Fautz, there was discussion as to it was in a conference room sometime in the morning. Correction. I don't know what time of the day. It was one time during his time as chief that there was a discussion as to what division chiefs' line were to be recorded and not recorded. Q. Okay. Were you a part of that discussion? A. Yes. Q. Who else was a part of that discussion, to your recollection? A. I believe well, the chief, myself, Richard Kilgore, seems like Division Chief Eugene Kyle was there, and unfortunately I just don't remember the division chief fo uniform, who it was exactly at that time or whether he was there. But it was all the division chiefs and the chief
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	department knows that their line is being recorded? A. I believe it's within the training of all new communications center hires as part of the training process. And I've been told that everyone from day one within the communications center has been instructed and trained that all lines other than the break room line within the center is taped. Q. Is that in a document anywhere? A. Unfortunately I don't know right now. Q. So are you telling me that the only lines that were placed that you knew that were placed on the recording system were lines into the communications department? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes. A. No, I didn't say that. Q. Were you aware of what phone lines were placed on the Dynamic Instruments recording system outside of the communications department at any point in time while you were involved in the research or assisting in the purchase of this particular piece of equipment?	Department until April of 2012. Did you ever become aware that any of the division chiefs' lines were placed or ever placed on a recording system? 4 A. I believe back under Chief Thomas Fautz, there was discussion as to it was in a conference room sometime in the morning. Correction. I don't know what time of the day. It was one time during his time as chief that there was a discussion as to what division chiefs' line were to be recorded and not recorded. 10 Q. Okay. Were you a part of that discussion? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Who else was a part of that discussion, to your recollection? 14 A. I believe well, the chief, myself, Richard Kilgore, seems like Division Chief Eugene Kyle was there, and unfortunately I just don't remember the division chief fo uniform, who it was exactly at that time or whether he was there. But it was all the division chiefs and the chief of police were involved in this conversation.
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	department knows that their line is being recorded? A. I believe it's within the training of all new communications center hires as part of the training process. And I've been told that everyone from day one within the communications center has been instructed and trained that all lines other than the break room line within the center is taped. Q. Is that in a document anywhere? A. Unfortunately I don't know right now. Q. So are you telling me that the only lines that were placed that you knew that were placed on the recording system were lines into the communications department? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes. A. No, I didn't say that. Q. Were you aware of what phone lines were placed on the Dynamic Instruments recording system outside of the communications department at any point in time while you were involved in the research or assisting in the purchase of this particular piece of equipment? A. Unfortunately, that long ago, I don't know. The actual	Department until April of 2012. Did you ever become aware that any of the division chiefs' lines were placed or ever placed on a recording system? 4 A. I believe back under Chief Thomas Fautz, there was discussion as to it was in a conference room sometime in the morning. Correction. I don't know what time of the day. It was one time during his time as chief that there was a discussion as to what division chiefs' line were to be recorded and not recorded. 10 Q. Okay. Were you a part of that discussion? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Who else was a part of that discussion, to your recollection? 14 A. I believe well, the chief, myself, Richard Kilgore, seems like Division Chief Eugene Kyle was there, and unfortunately I just don't remember the division chief for uniform, who it was exactly at that time or whether he was there. But it was all the division chiefs and the chief of police were involved in this conversation. 20 Q. Do you know Jim Hassig?
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	department knows that their line is being recorded? A. I believe it's within the training of all new communications center hires as part of the training process. And I've been told that everyone from day one within the communications center has been instructed and trained that all lines other than the break room line within the center is taped. Q. Is that in a document anywhere? A. Unfortunately I don't know right now. Q. So are you telling me that the only lines that were placed that you knew that were placed on the recording system were lines into the communications department? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes. A. No, I didn't say that. Q. Were you aware of what phone lines were placed on the Dynamic Instruments recording system outside of the communications department at any point in time while you were involved in the research or assisting in the purchase of this particular piece of equipment? A. Unfortunately, that long ago, I don't know. The actual—what lines were to be recorded and not recorded was not	Department until April of 2012. Did you ever become aware that any of the division chiefs' lines were placed or ever placed on a recording system? A. I believe back under Chief Thomas Fautz, there was discussion as to — it was in a conference room sometime in the moming. Correction. I don't know what time of the day. It was one time during his time as chief that there was a discussion as to what division chiefs' line were to be recorded and not recorded. Q. Okay. Were you a part of that discussion? A. Yes. Q. Who else was a part of that discussion, to your recollection? A. I believe — well, the chief, myself, Richard Kilgore, seems like Division Chief Eugene Kyle was there, and unfortunately I just don't remember the division chief for uniform, who it was exactly at that time or whether he was there. But it was all the division chiefs and the chief of police were involved in this conversation. Q. Do you know Jim Hassig? A. Yes.
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 100 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	department knows that their line is being recorded? A. I believe it's within the training of all new communications center hires as part of the training process. And I've been told that everyone from day one within the communications center has been instructed and trained that all lines other than the break room line within the center is taped. Q. Is that in a document anywhere? A. Unfortunately I don't know right now. Q. So are you telling me that the only lines that were placed that you knew that were placed on the recording system were lines into the communications department? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes. A. No, I didn't say that. Q. Were you aware of what phone lines were placed on the Dynamic Instruments recording system outside of the communications department at any point in time while you were involved in the research or assisting in the purchase of this particular piece of equipment? A. Unfortunately, that long ago, I don't know. The actual—what lines were to be recorded and not recorded was not part of my decision making or I was not part of what line	that any of the division chiefs' lines were placed or ever placed on a recording system? A. I believe back under Chief Thomas Fautz, there was discussion as to it was in a conference room sometime in the morning. Correction. I don't know what time or the day. It was one time during his time as chief that there was a discussion as to what division chiefs' line were to be recorded and not recorded. Q. Okay. Were you a part of that discussion? A. Yes. Q. Who else was a part of that discussion, to your recollection? A. I believe well, the chief, myself, Richard Kilgore, seems like Division Chief Eugene Kyle was there, and unfortunately I just don't remember the division chief fo uniform, who it was exactly at that time or whether he was there. But it was all the division chiefs and the chie of police were involved in this conversation. Q. Do you know Jim Hassig? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	department knows that their line is being recorded? A. I believe it's within the training of all new communications center hires as part of the training process. And I've been told that everyone from day one within the communications center has been instructed and trained that all lines other than the break room line within the center is taped. Q. Is that in a document anywhere? A. Unfortunately I don't know right now. Q. So are you telling me that the only lines that were placed that you knew that were placed on the recording system were lines into the communications department? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes. A. No, I didn't say that. Q. Were you aware of what phone lines were placed on the Dynamic Instruments recording system outside of the communications department at any point in time while you were involved in the research or assisting in the purchase of this particular piece of equipment? A. Unfortunately, that long ago, I don't know. The actual—what lines were to be recorded and not recorded was not part of my decision making or I was not part of what line is to be recorded and which one wasn't during the initial	1 Department until April of 2012. Did you ever become aware 2 that any of the division chiefs' lines were placed or ever 3 placed on a recording system? 4 A. I believe back under Chief Thomas Fautz, there was 5 discussion as to it was in a conference room sometime 6 in the morning. Correction. I don't know what time or 7 the day. It was one time during his time as chief tha 8 there was a discussion as to what division chiefs' line 9 were to be recorded and not recorded. 10 Q. Okay. Were you a part of that discussion? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Who else was a part of that discussion, to your 13 recollection? 14 A. I believe well, the chief, myself, Richard Kilgore, 15 seems like Division Chief Eugene Kyle was there, and 16 unfortunately I just don't remember the division chief fo 17 uniform, who it was exactly at that time or whether he was 18 there. But it was all the division chiefs and the chie 19 of police were involved in this conversation. 20 Q. Do you know Jim Hassig? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Would that be who you would be referring to? 23 A. I really don't know. There were several people that held
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	department knows that their line is being recorded? A. I believe it's within the training of all new communications center hires as part of the training process. And I've been told that everyone from day one within the communications center has been instructed and trained that all lines other than the break room line within the center is taped. Q. Is that in a document anywhere? A. Unfortunately I don't know right now. Q. So are you telling me that the only lines that were placed that you knew that were placed on the recording system were lines into the communications department? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes. A. No, I didn't say that. Q. Were you aware of what phone lines were placed on the Dynamic Instruments recording system outside of the communications department at any point in time while you were involved in the research or assisting in the purchase of this particular piece of equipment? A. Unfortunately, that long ago, I don't know. The actual—what lines were to be recorded and not recorded was not part of my decision making or I was not part of what line	that any of the division chiefs' lines were placed or ever placed on a recording system? A. I believe back under Chief Thomas Fautz, there was discussion as to it was in a conference room sometime in the morning. Correction. I don't know what time or the day. It was one time during his time as chief that there was a discussion as to what division chiefs' line were to be recorded and not recorded. Q. Okay. Were you a part of that discussion? A. Yes. Q. Who else was a part of that discussion, to your recollection? A. I believe well, the chief, myself, Richard Kilgore, seems like Division Chief Eugene Kyle was there, and unfortunately I just don't remember the division chief fo uniform, who it was exactly at that time or whether he was there. But it was all the division chiefs and the chie of police were involved in this conversation. Q. Do you know Jim Hassig? A. Yes.

1 A. I'm pretty sure he was there.

2 O. Was Steve Richmond there?

Page 49

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

25

12

13

14

15

Page 51

3 A. No. 4 Q. As a result of this meeting, what happened with respect to the issue of whether or not division chiefs' lines were 5 going to be placed on a recording system? 7 A. It appeared to me that Chief Fautz was having a conversation with all the division chiefs and asking the 8 division chiefs if they wanted their lines taped or not 9 taped for a variety of reasons, such as administrative, 10 personnel problems, handling complaints, etc. 11 12 O. Okay. I understand that. But what was the result of that 13 conference? 14 A. The result was that --MR. SULLIVAN: Just objection, lack of 15 foundation; but you can go ahead and answer. 16 17 Q. Again, answer only if you know. A. The only thing -- the final outcome, I don't know what the 18 final outcome was. The only thing I do know is that I was 19 20 asked if I wanted my line taped, and I said no, or at 21 least gave a no answer that I wanted it taped. 22 I do remember at one time the conversation that Gene Kyle had mentioned it would be okay if his line was taped, 23 but I don't know whether it was or wasn't. 24 25 I really don't know what the answer of the uniform Page 50 division chief, whether his ultimately became taped or not 1 2 and/or Richard Kilgore. There could have been 3 conversations afterwards. All I can be sure of is that 4 Gene Kyle had no problem with his line being taped, and I saw no need for mine to be taped. 5 Q. And you're talking about what Gene Kyle said or didn't say 6 is based upon your recollection, correct? 7 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And at that time you were -- what was your position? 10 A. Division chief community relations. 11 Q. Were there any other lines aside from the front desk lines 12 that you had personal knowledge that were placed on a recording system at the time that you became division 13 chief of services? 14 15 A. Personal knowledge, no. 16 Q. Did you at any time know whether or not the telephone line 16 17 or lines assigned to the records clerk or records division 17 was ever placed on the recording system? 18 19 A. Sometime in either 2011 or 2012 I was made aware that the 20 answering point of the records clerk was placed -- was on 21 the system. 22 Q. How did you become aware? 23 A. In a document showing the lines that were presently being taped through the DI system. 24 25 Q. So is it safe to say or accurate to say that you weren't

aware or you didn't have any personal knowledge of how those lines became placed on the recording system or the circumstances under which those lines were placed on the recording system?

MR. SULLIVAN: Compound. Go ahead.

- A. What lines were taped, what lines were ultimately taped was -- always told to me was between an agreement or arrangement between the director of communications and the chief of police. And we weren't involved in that particular -- what lines were taped and which ones weren't.
- 12 Q. So even though you were the supervisor of the director of 13 communications, you had no participation within which the 14 line was placed on that recording system?
- A. I'd been told by the director of communications on more 15 16 than one occasion which line was taped and which ones 17 weren't was always between the chief of police and her. And whether I as the division chief of services or my 18 19 predecessor, that it was -- that's how it was and how it 20 was to be.
- 21 Q. In your understanding of the situation, did the director 22 of communications have authority to place a line on a recording system without any other intervention or any 23 other direction? 24

MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, calls for a legal

Page 52

conclusion. Go ahead.

A. Would you say that again? I must have missed a word. 3 MR. DUERRING: Would you read that back? 4

(Read back.)

A. Intervention and direction, intervention could mean a 5 physical intervention. Could Karen DePaepe physically do 6 it? I don't know if she had the ability to be able to 7 physically do it herself. Direction, could she have 8 9 actually done it without being directed by someone, I really don't know what her skill level is in being able to 10 11 do it.

> Only thing that I had always been told was that it was the chief of police who had sole responsibility to determine what lines were to be recorded and not recorded. And that was mainly my understanding from Chief Fautz through the one conversation that we had as well as conversations I had with Karen DePaepe.

- 18 Q. To your knowledge, is that anywhere described in writing? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, vague. Go ahead. 19
- A. I have no idea, unless there's something that's any 20 21 type of directive between the chief of police and the director of communications. 22
- Q. Prior to Karen DePaepe's termination, did you ever have 23 24 occasion to request that she listen to any recorded phone conversations that had been intercepted by the recording

- 1		Page 53		Page 55
		rage 55		rage 55
:	L	system?	1	Q. Do you ever recall around that period of time asking Diane
:	2 A	. I'm sorry. Could you say that	2	
3		(Read back.)	3	
4	ı A	. I'm sure for disciplinary, whether I asked for anything	4	A. As of while we sit here X number of years ago, I'm
5		that relates to quality control or a complaint by a	5	
		uniform officer or any type of instance, I'm sure during		
		those four years her and I had conversations about to look	7	
8		at investigate a complaint, etc.	8	•
2		If I hadn't, for sure there would have been several,	وا	
10		probably hundreds of subpoenas and FOIA requests that came	1	Q. Do you know who the records clerk was at that time?
11		through, requests to pull things off the DI system.		A. No, I have no idea who you're talking about.
12	_			2 Q. So it's safe to say that you would not have known whether
13	_	process. You said that probably over the number of years	13	
14		that you and Karen worked together, there were instances	14	
15		where you did that, where you asked that she listen to		A. Again, like as I mentioned to you already, doesn't ring a
16		intercepted phone conversations in response to a	16	
17		complaint.	17	
18	3 A.	ent	18	
19		Okay. Do you recall whether or not any of those instances		
20	_	had been referred to the Office of Professional Standards?		A. Yes.
21	. A.	As of right now I do not know if any of them reached that	21	Q. She was a records clerk?
22		level.		A. Either records clerk or data entry.
23	Q.	Do you recall whether or not there was any documentation		Q. Do you ever remember being involved in a citizen's
24	_	that would have been generated to document such a process?	24	
25	A.	There's a variety of forms that one would fill out to be	25	A. As we sit here right now, doesn't ring a bell.
		·	4	0 .
		Page 54		Page 56
1		able to request the removal of taped conversations and/or	1	Q. And you don't recall asking Diane Gish to have Karen
2		able to request the removal of taped conversations and/or officers' reports that may be involved.	-2-	Q. And you don't recall asking Diane Gish to have Karen DePaepe listen to intercepted phone calls on the line
3	Q.	able to request the removal of taped conversations and/or officers reports that may be involved. Do you recall whether or not you executed or filled out	-2- 3	Q. And you don't recall asking Diane Gish to have Karen DePaepe listen to intercepted phone calls on the line assigned to Karen Yauck?
3	Q.	able to request the removal of taped conversations and/or officers' reports that may be involved. Do you recall whether or not you executed or filled out any of those forms in any of these situations that you	3	Q. And you don't recall asking Diane Gish to have Karen DePaepe listen to intercepted phone calls on the line assigned to Karen Yauck? A. Not that I remember.
2 3 4 5	Q.	able to request the removal of taped conversations and/or officers' reports that may be involved. Do you recall whether or not you executed or filled out any of those forms in any of these situations that you would request this to occur?	3 4 5	Q. And you don't recall asking Diane Gish to have Karen DePaepe listen to intercepted phone calls on the line assigned to Karen Yauck? A. Not that I remember. Q. And you don't recall signing a disciplinary report or
2 3 4 5	Q.	able to request the removal of taped conversations and/or officers' reports that may be involved. Do you recall whether or not you executed or filled out any of those forms in any of these situations that you would request this to occur? I don't believe that I was involved in filling out the	3 4 5 6	Q. And you don't recall asking Diane Gish to have Karen DePaepe listen to intercepted phone calls on the line assigned to Karen Yauck? A. Not that I remember. Q. And you don't recall signing a disciplinary report or disciplinary form that subsequently disciplined Karen
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	able to request the removal of taped conversations and/or officers' reports that may be involved. Do you recall whether or not you executed or filled out any of those forms in any of these situations that you would request this to occur? I don't believe that I was involved in filling out the official documentation. If Karen and I were involved in	3 4 5 6 7	Q. And you don't recall asking Diane Gish to have Karen DePaepe listen to intercepted phone calls on the line assigned to Karen Yauck? A. Not that I remember. Q. And you don't recall signing a disciplinary report or disciplinary form that subsequently disciplined Karen Yauck for what the intercepted phone conversations
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A.	able to request the removal of taped conversations and/or officers' reports that may be involved. Do you recall whether or not you executed or filled out any of those forms in any of these situations that you would request this to occur? I don't believe that I was involved in filling out the official documentation. If Karen and I were involved in any type of complaint, it usually would be she would bring	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And you don't recall asking Diane Gish to have Karen DePaepe listen to intercepted phone calls on the line assigned to Karen Yauck? A. Not that I remember. Q. And you don't recall signing a disciplinary report or disciplinary form that subsequently disciplined Karen Yauck for what the intercepted phone conversations revealed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	able to request the removal of taped conversations and/or officers' reports that may be involved. Do you recall whether or not you executed or filled out any of those forms in any of these situations that you would request this to occur? I don't believe that I was involved in filling out the official documentation. If Karen and I were involved in any type of complaint, it usually would be she would bring it to me. If there was a complaint, I would have her	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And you don't recall asking Diane Gish to have Karen DePaepe listen to intercepted phone calls on the line assigned to Karen Yauck? A. Not that I remember. Q. And you don't recall signing a disciplinary report or disciplinary form that subsequently disciplined Karen Yauck for what the intercepted phone conversations revealed? A. Not that I remember.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A.	able to request the removal of taped conversations and/or officers' reports that may be involved. Do you recall whether or not you executed or filled out any of those forms in any of these situations that you would request this to occur? I don't believe that I was involved in filling out the official documentation. If Karen and I were involved in any type of complaint, it usually would be she would bring it to me. If there was a complaint, I would have her document it in conjunction with an officer's report; and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. And you don't recall asking Diane Gish to have Karen DePaepe listen to intercepted phone calls on the line assigned to Karen Yauck? A. Not that I remember. Q. And you don't recall signing a disciplinary report or disciplinary form that subsequently disciplined Karen Yauck for what the intercepted phone conversations revealed? A. Not that I remember. Q. Do you know or did you know whether or not Karen Yauck
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	able to request the removal of taped conversations and/or officers' reports that may be involved. Do you recall whether or not you executed or filled out any of those forms in any of these situations that you would request this to occur? I don't believe that I was involved in filling out the official documentation. If Karen and I were involved in any type of complaint, it usually would be she would bring it to me. If there was a complaint, I would have her document it in conjunction with an officer's report; and she would furnish a DVD or cassette on that particular	2 - 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. And you don't recall asking Diane Gish to have Karen DePaepe listen to intercepted phone calls on the line assigned to Karen Yauck? A. Not that I remember. Q. And you don't recall signing a disciplinary report or disciplinary form that subsequently disciplined Karen Yauck for what the intercepted phone conversations revealed? A. Not that I remember. Q. Do you know or did you know whether or not Karen Yauck consented to having the line assigned to her placed on a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	able to request the removal of taped conversations and/or officers' reports that may be involved. Do you recall whether or not you executed or filled out any of those forms in any of these situations that you would request this to occur? I don't believe that I was involved in filling out the official documentation. If Karen and I were involved in any type of complaint, it usually would be she would bring it to me. If there was a complaint, I would have her document it in conjunction with an officer's report; and she would furnish a DVD or cassette on that particular complaint and what she had found out.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. And you don't recall asking Diane Gish to have Karen DePaepe listen to intercepted phone calls on the line assigned to Karen Yauck? A. Not that I remember. Q. And you don't recall signing a disciplinary report or disciplinary form that subsequently disciplined Karen Yauck for what the intercepted phone conversations revealed? A. Not that I remember. Q. Do you know or did you know whether or not Karen Yauck consented to having the line assigned to her placed on a recording system?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A.	able to request the removal of taped conversations and/or officers' reports that may be involved. Do you recall whether or not you executed or filled out any of those forms in any of these situations that you would request this to occur? I don't believe that I was involved in filling out the official documentation. If Karen and I were involved in any type of complaint, it usually would be she would bring it to me. If there was a complaint, I would have her document it in conjunction with an officer's report; and she would furnish a DVD or cassette on that particular complaint and what she had found out. Would you obtain a subpoena for her to furnish that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. And you don't recall asking Diane Gish to have Karen DePaepe listen to intercepted phone calls on the line assigned to Karen Yauck? A. Not that I remember. Q. And you don't recall signing a disciplinary report or disciplinary form that subsequently disciplined Karen Yauck for what the intercepted phone conversations revealed? A. Not that I remember. Q. Do you know or did you know whether or not Karen Yauck consented to having the line assigned to her placed on a recording system? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, asked and answered. Go
22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133	Q. Q.	able to request the removal of taped conversations and/or officers' reports that may be involved. Do you recall whether or not you executed or filled out any of those forms in any of these situations that you would request this to occur? I don't believe that I was involved in filling out the official documentation. If Karen and I were involved in any type of complaint, it usually would be she would bring it to me. If there was a complaint, I would have her document it in conjunction with an officer's report; and she would furnish a DVD or cassette on that particular complaint and what she had found out. Would you obtain a subpoena for her to furnish that recorded conversation?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. And you don't recall asking Diane Gish to have Karen DePaepe listen to intercepted phone calls on the line assigned to Karen Yauck? A. Not that I remember. Q. And you don't recall signing a disciplinary report or disciplinary form that subsequently disciplined Karen Yauck for what the intercepted phone conversations revealed? A. Not that I remember. Q. Do you know or did you know whether or not Karen Yauck consented to having the line assigned to her placed on a recording system? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, asked and answered. Go ahead.
22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144	Q. Q. A.	able to request the removal of taped conversations and/or officers' reports that may be involved. Do you recall whether or not you executed or filled out any of those forms in any of these situations that you would request this to occur? I don't believe that I was involved in filling out the official documentation. If Karen and I were involved in any type of complaint, it usually would be she would bring it to me. If there was a complaint, I would have her document it in conjunction with an officer's report; and she would furnish a DVD or cassette on that particular complaint and what she had found out. Would you obtain a subpoena for her to furnish that recorded conversation? No, never.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. And you don't recall asking Diane Gish to have Karen DePaepe listen to intercepted phone calls on the line assigned to Karen Yauck? A. Not that I remember. Q. And you don't recall signing a disciplinary report or disciplinary form that subsequently disciplined Karen Yauck for what the intercepted phone conversations revealed? A. Not that I remember. Q. Do you know or did you know whether or not Karen Yauck consented to having the line assigned to her placed on a recording system? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, asked and answered. Go ahead. A. I really don't know whether Karen Yauck's phone line was
22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155	Q. Q. A. Q.	able to request the removal of taped conversations and/or officers' reports that may be involved. Do you recall whether or not you executed or filled out any of those forms in any of these situations that you would request this to occur? I don't believe that I was involved in filling out the official documentation. If Karen and I were involved in any type of complaint, it usually would be she would bring it to me. If there was a complaint, I would have her document it in conjunction with an officer's report; and she would furnish a DVD or cassette on that particular complaint and what she had found out. Would you obtain a subpoena for her to furnish that recorded conversation? No, never.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And you don't recall asking Diane Gish to have Karen DePaepe listen to intercepted phone calls on the line assigned to Karen Yauck? A. Not that I remember. Q. And you don't recall signing a disciplinary report or disciplinary form that subsequently disciplined Karen Yauck for what the intercepted phone conversations revealed? A. Not that I remember. Q. Do you know or did you know whether or not Karen Yauck consented to having the line assigned to her placed on a recording system? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, asked and answered. Go ahead. A. I really don't know whether Karen Yauck's phone line was on the recording system.
22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177	Q. A. A. A. A.	able to request the removal of taped conversations and/or officers' reports that may be involved. Do you recall whether or not you executed or filled out any of those forms in any of these situations that you would request this to occur? I don't believe that I was involved in filling out the official documentation. If Karen and I were involved in any type of complaint, it usually would be she would bring it to me. If there was a complaint, I would have her document it in conjunction with an officer's report; and she would furnish a DVD or cassette on that particular complaint and what she had found out. Would you obtain a subpoena for her to furnish that recorded conversation? No, never.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And you don't recall asking Diane Gish to have Karen DePaepe listen to intercepted phone calls on the line assigned to Karen Yauck? A. Not that I remember. Q. And you don't recall signing a disciplinary report or disciplinary form that subsequently disciplined Karen Yauck for what the intercepted phone conversations revealed? A. Not that I remember. Q. Do you know or did you know whether or not Karen Yauck consented to having the line assigned to her placed on a recording system? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, asked and answered. Go ahead. A. I really don't know whether Karen Yauck's phone line was on the recording system. Q. Did you prepare any written policy or operating guidelines
22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155	Q. A. Q. Q. Q.	able to request the removal of taped conversations and/or officers' reports that may be involved. Do you recall whether or not you executed or filled out any of those forms in any of these situations that you would request this to occur? I don't believe that I was involved in filling out the official documentation. If Karen and I were involved in any type of complaint, it usually would be she would bring it to me. If there was a complaint, I would have her document it in conjunction with an officer's report; and she would furnish a DVD or cassette on that particular complaint and what she had found out. Would you obtain a subpoena for her to furnish that recorded conversation? No, never. Would you obtain a court order in order to do that? No, never.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And you don't recall asking Diane Gish to have Karen DePaepe listen to intercepted phone calls on the line assigned to Karen Yauck? A. Not that I remember. Q. And you don't recall signing a disciplinary report or disciplinary form that subsequently disciplined Karen Yauck for what the intercepted phone conversations revealed? A. Not that I remember. Q. Do you know or did you know whether or not Karen Yauck consented to having the line assigned to her placed on a recording system? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, asked and answered. Go ahead. A. I really don't know whether Karen Yauck's phone line was on the recording system. Q. Did you prepare any written policy or operating guidelines to the communications center personnel regarding the usage
22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 18	Q. A. Q. Q. Q.	able to request the removal of taped conversations and/or officers' reports that may be involved. Do you recall whether or not you executed or filled out any of those forms in any of these situations that you would request this to occur? I don't believe that I was involved in filling out the official documentation. If Karen and I were involved in any type of complaint, it usually would be she would bring it to me. If there was a complaint, I would have her document it in conjunction with an officer's report; and she would furnish a DVD or cassette on that particular complaint and what she had found out. Would you obtain a subpoena for her to furnish that recorded conversation? No, never. Would you obtain a court order in order to do that? No, never. And would this involve any conversations on phone lines that were outside of the communications department?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. And you don't recall asking Diane Gish to have Karen DePaepe listen to intercepted phone calls on the line assigned to Karen Yauck? A. Not that I remember. Q. And you don't recall signing a disciplinary report or disciplinary form that subsequently disciplined Karen Yauck for what the intercepted phone conversations revealed? A. Not that I remember. Q. Do you know or did you know whether or not Karen Yauck consented to having the line assigned to her placed on a recording system? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, asked and answered. Go ahead. A. I really don't know whether Karen Yauck's phone line was on the recording system. Q. Did you prepare any written policy or operating guidelines to the communications center personnel regarding the usage of the recording system?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A. A. Q. A.	able to request the removal of taped conversations and/or officers' reports that may be involved. Do you recall whether or not you executed or filled out any of those forms in any of these situations that you would request this to occur? I don't believe that I was involved in filling out the official documentation. If Karen and I were involved in any type of complaint, it usually would be she would bring it to me. If there was a complaint, I would have her document it in conjunction with an officer's report; and she would furnish a DVD or cassette on that particular complaint and what she had found out. Would you obtain a subpoena for her to furnish that recorded conversation? No, never. Would you obtain a court order in order to do that? No, never. And would this involve any conversations on phone lines that were outside of the communications department? Not that I remember.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And you don't recall asking Diane Gish to have Karen DePaepe listen to intercepted phone calls on the line assigned to Karen Yauck? A. Not that I remember. Q. And you don't recall signing a disciplinary report or disciplinary form that subsequently disciplined Karen Yauck for what the intercepted phone conversations revealed? A. Not that I remember. Q. Do you know or did you know whether or not Karen Yauck consented to having the line assigned to her placed on a recording system? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, asked and answered. Go ahead. A. I really don't know whether Karen Yauck's phone line was on the recording system. Q. Did you prepare any written policy or operating guidelines to the communications center personnel regarding the usage of the recording system? A. No.
2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q. Q.	able to request the removal of taped conversations and/or officers' reports that may be involved. Do you recall whether or not you executed or filled out any of those forms in any of these situations that you would request this to occur? I don't believe that I was involved in filling out the official documentation. If Karen and I were involved in any type of complaint, it usually would be she would bring it to me. If there was a complaint, I would have her document it in conjunction with an officer's report; and she would furnish a DVD or cassette on that particular complaint and what she had found out. Would you obtain a subpoena for her to furnish that recorded conversation? No, never. Would you obtain a court order in order to do that? No, never. And would this involve any conversations on phone lines that were outside of the communications department? Not that I remember. I direct your attention to sometime between I think it	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And you don't recall asking Diane Gish to have Karen DePaepe listen to intercepted phone calls on the line assigned to Karen Yauck? A. Not that I remember. Q. And you don't recall signing a disciplinary report or disciplinary form that subsequently disciplined Karen Yauck for what the intercepted phone conversations revealed? A. Not that I remember. Q. Do you know or did you know whether or not Karen Yauck consented to having the line assigned to her placed on a recording system? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, asked and answered. Go ahead. A. I really don't know whether Karen Yauck's phone line was on the recording system. Q. Did you prepare any written policy or operating guidelines to the communications center personnel regarding the usage of the recording system? A. No. Q. As director of communications, was Karen DePaepe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q. Q. A. Q.	able to request the removal of taped conversations and/or officers' reports that may be involved. Do you recall whether or not you executed or filled out any of those forms in any of these situations that you would request this to occur? I don't believe that I was involved in filling out the official documentation. If Karen and I were involved in any type of complaint, it usually would be she would bring it to me. If there was a complaint, I would have her document it in conjunction with an officer's report; and she would furnish a DVD or cassette on that particular complaint and what she had found out. Would you obtain a subpoena for her to furnish that recorded conversation? No, never. Would you obtain a court order in order to do that? No, never. And would this involve any conversations on phone lines that were outside of the communications department? Not that I remember. I direct your attention to sometime between I think it would be October/November of 2011. Did you have occasion	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And you don't recall asking Diane Gish to have Karen DePaepe listen to intercepted phone calls on the line assigned to Karen Yauck? A. Not that I remember. Q. And you don't recall signing a disciplinary report or disciplinary form that subsequently disciplined Karen Yauck for what the intercepted phone conversations revealed? A. Not that I remember. Q. Do you know or did you know whether or not Karen Yauck consented to having the line assigned to her placed on a recording system? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, asked and answered. Go ahead. A. I really don't know whether Karen Yauck's phone line was on the recording system. Q. Did you prepare any written policy or operating guidelines to the communications center personnel regarding the usage of the recording system? A. No. Q. As director of communications, was Karen DePaepe authorized full access to the recording system?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. Q. A. Q.	able to request the removal of taped conversations and/or officers' reports that may be involved. Do you recall whether or not you executed or filled out any of those forms in any of these situations that you would request this to occur? I don't believe that I was involved in filling out the official documentation. If Karen and I were involved in any type of complaint, it usually would be she would bring it to me. If there was a complaint, I would have her document it in conjunction with an officer's report; and she would furnish a DVD or cassette on that particular complaint and what she had found out. Would you obtain a subpoena for her to furnish that recorded conversation? No, never. Would you obtain a court order in order to do that? No, never. And would this involve any conversations on phone lines that were outside of the communications department? Not that I remember. I direct your attention to sometime between I think it	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And you don't recall asking Diane Gish to have Karen DePaepe listen to intercepted phone calls on the line assigned to Karen Yauck? A. Not that I remember. Q. And you don't recall signing a disciplinary report or disciplinary form that subsequently disciplined Karen Yauck for what the intercepted phone conversations revealed? A. Not that I remember. Q. Do you know or did you know whether or not Karen Yauck consented to having the line assigned to her placed on a recording system? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, asked and answered. Go ahead. A. I really don't know whether Karen Yauck's phone line was on the recording system. Q. Did you prepare any written policy or operating guidelines to the communications center personnel regarding the usage of the recording system? A. No. Q. As director of communications, was Karen DePaepe authorized full access to the recording system? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, calls for a legal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q. A. Q. Q. A. Q.	able to request the removal of taped conversations and/or officers' reports that may be involved. Do you recall whether or not you executed or filled out any of those forms in any of these situations that you would request this to occur? I don't believe that I was involved in filling out the official documentation. If Karen and I were involved in any type of complaint, it usually would be she would bring it to me. If there was a complaint, I would have her document it in conjunction with an officer's report; and she would furnish a DVD or cassette on that particular complaint and what she had found out. Would you obtain a subpoena for her to furnish that recorded conversation? No, never. Would you obtain a court order in order to do that? No, never. And would this involve any conversations on phone lines that were outside of the communications department? Not that I remember. I direct your attention to sometime between I think it would be October/November of 2011. Did you have occasion to become aware of a citizen's complaint that involved the records clerk for the department?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. And you don't recall asking Diane Gish to have Karen DePaepe listen to intercepted phone calls on the line assigned to Karen Yauck? A. Not that I remember. Q. And you don't recall signing a disciplinary report or disciplinary form that subsequently disciplined Karen Yauck for what the intercepted phone conversations revealed? A. Not that I remember. Q. Do you know or did you know whether or not Karen Yauck consented to having the line assigned to her placed on a recording system? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, asked and answered. Go ahead. A. I really don't know whether Karen Yauck's phone line was on the recording system. Q. Did you prepare any written policy or operating guidelines to the communications center personnel regarding the usage of the recording system? A. No. Q. As director of communications, was Karen DePaepe authorized full access to the recording system? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, calls for a legal

Page 60

City of South Bend and Pete Buttigieg, et al. Page 57 1 Q. So that's a yes, as it pertains to her duties? correct, from the system to the phone line? 1 MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, asked and answered. He 2 A. Back in that time -- there's two different ways. It was 3 gave you the answer. Go ahead. 3 an evolution. It changed when we rewired our building or A. As it pertains to her dutics, she had the authority as it renovated our building. 4 But basically, it's a copper line. When it gets to related to her duties to access and use the recording 5 5 6 6 the copper analog line, it actually gets to the punchdown block, approximately a foot or two feet away from the Q. As services division chief, did you ever provide Karen DePaepe with any written policy regarding the usage of the 8 recording system. recording system? Again, like I said, phone lines as well as radio 9 circuits are analog lines also that go to the punchdown 10 A. No. 10 11 Q. As services division chief, did you take any steps to 11 block. At certain times it could be digital as it relates 12 ensure that the director of communications was using the 12 to radio, but always analog as it relates to phone. 13 recording system in compliance with federal and state Okay. Let me ask it this way: Did you ever participate 13 laws? 14 in the process whereby a particular phone line was added 15 A. As me myself as services chief, no. to the recording system? 15 16 Q. Did you or were you aware of what the capacity of this 16 A. No. 17 recording system was with respect to how many lines it 17 Q. Did you ever witness such a process occurring? could record at any one time? 18 A. No. 18 19 A. I believe it was somewhere in the neighborhood of a 19 Q. Did you ever speak to anyone at any time who was familiar maximum of 48 total phone and/or radio circuits. with that process? 20 20 21 Q. Do you know how many incoming phone lines to the 21 A. The only thing I remember was that Barb Holleman, the department were in existence at the time that this system chief's administrative assistant, had mentioned that she 22 22 23 was implemented? 23 was, I guess, the only person that could be involved in 24 A. No. It would be a number in the hundreds. changing lines under the direction of the chief of police. 24 25 Q. So apparently in no way was this recording system 25 And so whether as to what lines or when a line got Page 58 1 purchased to ensure the recording of all phone lines 1 changed or how a line got changed, it was pretty much coming into the department; is that correct? hands-off to anyone and everyone other than the chief's 2 2 3 A. That is correct. office and the director of communications. 3 Q. Mechanically do you know how the system -- maybe 4 4 Q. Okay. Let me be a little more specific on this question. mechanically isn't the right word to use these days. Regardless of how it got hooked up to the system, is it 5 5 6 Logistically do you know how the system, in fact, acquired 6 your understanding that if a phone line was being recorded 7 and stored telephone conversations? 7 by the system, that conversation that it intercepted was A. Vaguely now. I used to know it a lot better during its first stored on a hard drive and then subsequently 8 8 inception. There are copper lines that go to a punchdown 9 downloaded to a compact disk for long-term storage? Do 9 10 block in close proximity to the system. Lines come from a io you have any knowledge about that process? 11 variety of sources, whether it's console, whether it's 11 A. That process would be hard drive to either a cassette or to a DVD. I know there are some limitations in the 12 from central electronics bank, whether it's from various 12 system, and I can't tell you exactly where phone 13 circuits or switches in the wire rooms, communications 13 14 rooms that go to a particular punchdown block in close 14 conversations via analog lines could go to -- could only 15 proximity to the Dynamic Instrument recorder and DVD 15 go to a cassette. 16 recorder. 16 I really don't know whether they could become a wave These various circuits, lines go into the system. 17 17 file and be converted to digital media. I don't know what There's a redundant recording for failsafe backup hard the limitations were. 18 18

the hard drives.

19

20

21

23

24

25

drives, and there's capabilities of burning DVDs for

archival storage as well as for evidentiary purposes off

understand you to have said, and tell me whether it's

correct or not, in order for a particular phone line to be

placed on a recording system, it had to be hardwired,

22 Q. Okay. So as I understand it, to describe what I

20

21

22

24

19 Q. Would it be accurate to say that Karen DePaepe would

MR. SULLIVAN: Just object to the word "expert,"

if there's any legal conclusion. Go ahead.

probably be the expert on that process?

23 A. At that particular time, she would be the most

25 Q. Was it your understanding that once a phone line was

knowledgeable about the system.

(CIT	y of South Bend and Pete Buttigieg, et al.		December 17, 2013
		Page 61		Page 63
	1	placed on a Reliant recording system that the recording	1	any other individuals with respect to that process?
	2	system would record 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, 365	2	MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, vague, no foundation or
.	3	days a year any noise that occurred on that phone line?	3	personal knowledge. You can answer.
1		A. I'm not sure I think the noise would be based on a		MR. DUERRING: That's why I framed it within his
	5	certain type of threshold, so	5	knowledge.
	6	Q. Let me correct that. Any conversation that would have		A. No. I really have no knowledge as to what lines were
	7	occurred on that phone line would have been recorded 24	7	taped unless it was through someone mentioning it to me in
1	8	hours a day, 7 days a week, 365 days a year?	8	passing so to speak or in that one meeting with Chief
	9	A. Any conversation that was detectable, I would think that	9	Fautz and other division chiefs.
	10	would be an accurate statement. And I have to	10	BY MR. DUERRING:
	11	MR. SULLIVAN: Let's take a break.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
- 1	12	MR. DUERRING: Absolutely. (Recess taken.)	12	has been referred to as the law enforcement exception of
- 1	13 14	(Exhibit 3 marked for identification.)	13	the Federal Wiretap Act? A. No.
- 1	±∓ 15	(Read back.)	1	Q. Have you ever heard of that exception before me just
- 1	16	BY MR. DUERRING:	16	mentioning that today?
- 1	17	Q. Were you aware of any I'm going to use the word	17	MR. SULLIVAN: Objection to the extent it calls
	18	warnings — of any kind of warnings or notations being	18	for a legal conclusion or invades the attorney/client
	19	placed on phone lines that had been placed on the	19	privilege. And I'd instruct the witness not to
	20	recording system?	20	answer to anything he may have learned in
:	21	MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, vague. Go ahead.	21	communications with counsel.
:	22	A. I'm not sure how I could get a warning. Something like an	22	MR. DUERRING: I asked him if he ever heard of
- 1	23	alarm or	23	that phrase. That's a yes or no answer. I didn't
- 1		Q. Let me ask you this: If a phone line was on the recording	24	ask him where he got it from, and you've already
- 2	25	system and you were using it, did it beep which would	25	instructed him not to answer.
- 1				
-		Page 62		Page 64
-	1		1	
-	1 2	indicate to someone that the phone that the	1 -2-	MR. SULLIVAN: All right. To the extent it
-	2	indicate to someone that the phone that the conversation was being recorded?	-2-	MR. SULLIVAN: All right. To the extent it reveals the content of privileged communications.
-	2	indicate to someone that the phone that the	-2-	MR. SULLIVAN: All right. To the extent it
	2	indicate to someone that the phone that the conversation was being recorded? A. Is that what you mean? I completely took it as some other	2 3 4	MR. SULLIVAN: All right. To the extent it reveals the content of privileged communications. A. I'm not real sure what the phrase even means.
	2 3 4 5	indicate to someone that the phone that the conversation was being recorded? A. Is that what you mean? I completely took it as some other means. I don't believe there's any type of auditory	2 3 4 5	MR. SULLIVAN: All right. To the extent it reveals the content of privileged communications. A. I'm not real sure what the phrase even means. BY MR. DUERRING:
	2 3 4 5	indicate to someone that the phone that the conversation was being recorded? A. Is that what you mean? I completely took it as some other means. I don't believe there's any type of auditory beeps. Q. Is there any kind of light that would flash or indicator that would flash on the phone itself that would indicate	2 3 4 5 6	MR. SULLIVAN: All right. To the extent it reveals the content of privileged communications. A. I'm not real sure what the phrase even means. BY MR. DUERRING: Q. Okay. Fair enough. A. Or how you use it and how I understand it, I'm sorry. Q. Well, I'm not using it other than to ask you have you ever
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	indicate to someone that the phone that the conversation was being recorded? A. Is that what you mean? I completely took it as some other means. I don't believe there's any type of auditory beeps. Q. Is there any kind of light that would flash or indicator that would flash on the phone itself that would indicate to the user that the conversation was being recorded?	3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. SULLIVAN: All right. To the extent it reveals the content of privileged communications. A. I'm not real sure what the phrase even means. BY MR. DUERRING: Q. Okay. Fair enough. A. Or how you use it and how I understand it, I'm sorry. Q. Well, I'm not using it other than to ask you have you ever heard of that phrase or do you have any understanding of
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	indicate to someone that the phone that the conversation was being recorded? A. Is that what you mean? I completely took it as some other means. I don't believe there's any type of auditory beeps. Q. Is there any kind of light that would flash or indicator that would flash on the phone itself that would indicate to the user that the conversation was being recorded? A. Not to my knowledge.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. SULLIVAN: All right. To the extent it reveals the content of privileged communications. A. I'm not real sure what the phrase even means. BY MR. DUERRING: Q. Okay. Fair enough. A. Or how you use it and how I understand it, I'm sorry. Q. Well, I'm not using it other than to ask you have you ever heard of that phrase or do you have any understanding of what that — have you ever heard of that phrase before?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	indicate to someone that the phone that the conversation was being recorded? A. Is that what you mean? I completely took it as some other means. I don't believe there's any type of auditory beeps. Q. Is there any kind of light that would flash or indicator that would flash on the phone itself that would indicate to the user that the conversation was being recorded? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Was there any kind of sticky note placed on the phone or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. SULLIVAN: All right. To the extent it reveals the content of privileged communications. A. I'm not real sure what the phrase even means. BY MR. DUERRING: Q. Okay. Fair enough. A. Or how you use it and how I understand it, I'm sorry. Q. Well, I'm not using it other than to ask you have you ever heard of that phrase or do you have any understanding of what that have you ever heard of that phrase before? A. I've heard the word "exception." How it's being used, I'm
1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	indicate to someone that the phone that the conversation was being recorded? A. Is that what you mean? I completely took it as some other means. I don't believe there's any type of auditory beeps. Q. Is there any kind of light that would flash or indicator that would flash on the phone itself that would indicate to the user that the conversation was being recorded? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Was there any kind of sticky note placed on the phone or label placed on a phone that was attached to a recorded	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. SULLIVAN: All right. To the extent it reveals the content of privileged communications. A. I'm not real sure what the phrase even means. BY MR. DUERRING: Q. Okay. Fair enough. A. Or how you use it and how I understand it, I'm sorry. Q. Well, I'm not using it other than to ask you have you ever heard of that phrase or do you have any understanding of what that have you ever heard of that phrase before? A. I've heard the word "exception." How it's being used, I'm not real sure it's how I rnay have ever heard it.
1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	indicate to someone that the phone that the conversation was being recorded? A. Is that what you mean? I completely took it as some other means. I don't believe there's any type of auditory beeps. Q. Is there any kind of light that would flash or indicator that would flash on the phone itself that would indicate to the user that the conversation was being recorded? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Was there any kind of sticky note placed on the phone or label placed on a phone that was attached to a recorded line that would warn the user that any conversations on	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. SULLIVAN: All right. To the extent it reveals the content of privileged communications. A. I'm not real sure what the phrase even means. BY MR. DUERRING: Q. Okay. Fair enough. A. Or how you use it and how I understand it, I'm sorry. Q. Well, I'm not using it other than to ask you have you ever heard of that phrase or do you have any understanding of what that have you ever heard of that phrase before? A. I've heard the word "exception." How it's being used, I'm not real sure it's how I may have ever heard it. Q. Have you heard that word exception in the context of the
1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	indicate to someone that the phone that the conversation was being recorded? A. Is that what you mean? I completely took it as some other means. I don't believe there's any type of auditory beeps. Q. Is there any kind of light that would flash or indicator that would flash on the phone itself that would indicate to the user that the conversation was being recorded? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Was there any kind of sticky note placed on the phone or label placed on a phone that was attached to a recorded line that would warn the user that any conversations on that phone would be recorded?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. SULLIVAN: All right. To the extent it reveals the content of privileged communications. A. I'm not real sure what the phrase even means. BY MR. DUERRING: Q. Okay. Fair enough. A. Or how you use it and how I understand it, I'm sorry. Q. Well, I'm not using it other than to ask you have you ever heard of that phrase or do you have any understanding of what that — have you ever heard of that phrase before? A. I've heard the word "exception." How it's being used, I'm not real sure it's how I rnay have ever heard it. Q. Have you heard that word exception in the context of the Federal Wiretap Act?
1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	indicate to someone that the phone that the conversation was being recorded? A. Is that what you mean? I completely took it as some other means. I don't believe there's any type of auditory beeps. Q. Is there any kind of light that would flash or indicator that would flash on the phone itself that would indicate to the user that the conversation was being recorded? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Was there any kind of sticky note placed on the phone or label placed on a phone that was attached to a recorded line that would warn the user that any conversations on that phone would be recorded? A. Not to my knowledge.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. SULLIVAN: All right. To the extent it reveals the content of privileged communications. A. I'm not real sure what the phrase even means. BY MR. DUERRING: Q. Okay. Fair enough. A. Or how you use it and how I understand it, I'm sorry. Q. Well, I'm not using it other than to ask you have you ever heard of that phrase or do you have any understanding of what that — have you ever heard of that phrase before? A. I've heard the word "exception." How it's being used, I'm not real sure it's how I rnay have ever heard it. Q. Have you heard that word exception in the context of the Federal Wiretap Act? A. In what timeframe?
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	indicate to someone that the phone that the conversation was being recorded? A. Is that what you mean? I completely took it as some other means. I don't believe there's any type of auditory beeps. Q. Is there any kind of light that would flash or indicator that would flash on the phone itself that would indicate to the user that the conversation was being recorded? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Was there any kind of sticky note placed on the phone or label placed on a phone that was attached to a recorded line that would warn the user that any conversations on that phone would be recorded?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. SULLIVAN: All right. To the extent it reveals the content of privileged communications. A. I'm not real sure what the phrase even means. BY MR. DUERRING: Q. Okay. Fair enough. A. Or how you use it and how I understand it, I'm sorry. Q. Well, I'm not using it other than to ask you have you ever heard of that phrase or do you have any understanding of what that have you ever heard of that phrase before? A. I've heard the word "exception." How it's being used, I'm not real sure it's how I rnay have ever heard it. Q. Have you heard that word exception in the context of the Federal Wiretap Act? A. In what timeframe? Q. Between the time that you became a law enforcement officer
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	indicate to someone that the phone that the conversation was being recorded? A. Is that what you mean? I completely took it as some other means. I don't believe there's any type of auditory beeps. Q. Is there any kind of light that would flash or indicator that would flash on the phone itself that would indicate to the user that the conversation was being recorded? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Was there any kind of sticky note placed on the phone or label placed on a phone that was attached to a recorded line that would warn the user that any conversations on that phone would be recorded? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Is it your understanding based upon basing this on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. SULLIVAN: All right. To the extent it reveals the content of privileged communications. A. I'm not real sure what the phrase even means. BY MR. DUERRING: Q. Okay. Fair enough. A. Or how you use it and how I understand it, I'm sorry. Q. Well, I'm not using it other than to ask you have you ever heard of that phrase or do you have any understanding of what that — have you ever heard of that phrase before? A. I've heard the word "exception." How it's being used, I'm not real sure it's how I rnay have ever heard it. Q. Have you heard that word exception in the context of the Federal Wiretap Act? A. In what timeframe?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	indicate to someone that the phone that the conversation was being recorded? A. Is that what you mean? I completely took it as some other means. I don't believe there's any type of auditory beeps. Q. Is there any kind of light that would flash or indicator that would flash on the phone itself that would indicate to the user that the conversation was being recorded? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Was there any kind of sticky note placed on the phone or label placed on a phone that was attached to a recorded line that would warn the user that any conversations on that phone would be recorded? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Is it your understanding based upon basing this on earlier discussions we've had on the record here that phone lines outside of the communications department would only be recorded upon the consent of the person assigned	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. SULLIVAN: All right. To the extent it reveals the content of privileged communications. A. I'm not real sure what the phrase even means. BY MR. DUERRING: Q. Okay. Fair enough. A. Or how you use it and how I understand it, I'm sorry. Q. Well, I'm not using it other than to ask you have you ever heard of that phrase or do you have any understanding of what that have you ever heard of that phrase before? A. I've heard the word "exception." How it's being used, I'm not real sure it's how I rnay have ever heard it. Q. Have you heard that word exception in the context of the Federal Wiretap Act? A. In what timeframe? Q. Between the time that you became a law enforcement officer and the time that Karen DePaepe was discharged in April of
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	indicate to someone that the phone that the conversation was being recorded? A. Is that what you mean? I completely took it as some other means. I don't believe there's any type of auditory beeps. Q. Is there any kind of light that would flash or indicator that would flash on the phone itself that would indicate to the user that the conversation was being recorded? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Was there any kind of sticky note placed on the phone or label placed on a phone that was attached to a recorded line that would warn the user that any conversations on that phone would be recorded? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Is it your understanding based upon basing this on earlier discussions we've had on the record here that phone lines outside of the communications department would only be recorded upon the consent of the person assigned to that phone line?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. SULLIVAN: All right. To the extent it reveals the content of privileged communications. A. I'm not real sure what the phrase even means. BY MR. DUERRING: Q. Okay. Fair enough. A. Or how you use it and how I understand it, I'm sorry. Q. Well, I'm not using it other than to ask you have you ever heard of that phrase or do you have any understanding of what that — have you ever heard of that phrase before? A. I've heard the word "exception." How it's being used, I'm not real sure it's how I rnay have ever heard it. Q. Have you heard that word exception in the context of the Federal Wiretap Act? A. In what timeframe? Q. Between the time that you became a law enforcement officer and the time that Karen DePaepe was discharged in April of 2011 — '12. A. Only as to, again, your definition of the word exception and what I believe it could be, it would have only been —
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	indicate to someone that the phone that the conversation was being recorded? A. Is that what you mean? I completely took it as some other means. I don't believe there's any type of auditory beeps. Q. Is there any kind of light that would flash or indicator that would flash on the phone itself that would indicate to the user that the conversation was being recorded? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Was there any kind of sticky note placed on the phone or label placed on a phone that was attached to a recorded line that would warn the user that any conversations on that phone would be recorded? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Is it your understanding based upon basing this on earlier discussions we've had on the record here that phone lines outside of the communications department would only be recorded upon the consent of the person assigned to that phone line? A. I didn't say that.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. SULLIVAN: All right. To the extent it reveals the content of privileged communications. A. I'm not real sure what the phrase even means. BY MR. DUERRING: Q. Okay. Fair enough. A. Or how you use it and how I understand it, I'm sorry. Q. Well, I'm not using it other than to ask you have you ever heard of that phrase or do you have any understanding of what that have you ever heard of that phrase before? A. I've heard the word "exception." How it's being used, I'm not real sure it's how I rnay have ever heard it. Q. Have you heard that word exception in the context of the Federal Wiretap Act? A. In what timeframe? Q. Between the time that you became a law enforcement officer and the time that Karen DePaepe was discharged in April of 2011 '12. A. Only as to, again, your definition of the word exception and what I believe it could be, it would have only been MR. SULLIVAN: Hold on. Have you ever heard the
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	indicate to someone that the phone that the conversation was being recorded? A. Is that what you mean? I completely took it as some other means. I don't believe there's any type of auditory beeps. Q. Is there any kind of light that would flash or indicator that would flash on the phone itself that would indicate to the user that the conversation was being recorded? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Was there any kind of sticky note placed on the phone or label placed on a phone that was attached to a recorded line that would warn the user that any conversations on that phone would be recorded? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Is it your understanding based upon basing this on earlier discussions we've had on the record here that phone lines outside of the communications department would only be recorded upon the consent of the person assigned to that phone line? A. I didn't say that. Q. Okay. What did you say regarding that issue?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. SULLIVAN: All right. To the extent it reveals the content of privileged communications. A. I'm not real sure what the phrase even means. BY MR. DUERRING: Q. Okay. Fair enough. A. Or how you use it and how I understand it, I'm sorry. Q. Well, I'm not using it other than to ask you have you ever heard of that phrase or do you have any understanding of what that have you ever heard of that phrase before? A. I've heard the word "exception." How it's being used, I'm not real sure it's how I rnay have ever heard it. Q. Have you heard that word exception in the context of the Federal Wiretap Act? A. In what timeframe? Q. Between the time that you became a law enforcement officer and the time that Karen DePaepe was discharged in April of 2011 '12. A. Only as to, again, your definition of the word exception and what I believe it could be, it would have only been MR. SULLIVAN: Hold on. Have you ever heard the phrase?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	indicate to someone that the phone that the conversation was being recorded? A. Is that what you mean? I completely took it as some other means. I don't believe there's any type of auditory beeps. Q. Is there any kind of light that would flash or indicator that would flash on the phone itself that would indicate to the user that the conversation was being recorded? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Was there any kind of sticky note placed on the phone or label placed on a phone that was attached to a recorded line that would warn the user that any conversations on that phone would be recorded? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Is it your understanding based upon basing this on earlier discussions we've had on the record here that phone lines outside of the communications department would only be recorded upon the consent of the person assigned to that phone line? A. I didn't say that. Q. Okay. What did you say regarding that issue? A. I said any phone lines outside of the communications	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. SULLIVAN: All right. To the extent it reveals the content of privileged communications. A. I'm not real sure what the phrase even means. BY MR. DUERRING: Q. Okay. Fair enough. A. Or how you use it and how I understand it, I'm sorry. Q. Well, I'm not using it other than to ask you have you ever heard of that phrase or do you have any understanding of what that have you ever heard of that phrase before? A. I've heard the word "exception." How it's being used, I'm not real sure it's how I rnay have ever heard it. Q. Have you heard that word exception in the context of the Federal Wiretap Act? A. In what timeframe? Q. Between the time that you became a law enforcement officer and the time that Karen DePaepe was discharged in April of 2011 '12. A. Only as to, again, your definition of the word exception and what I believe it could be, it would have only been MR. SULLIVAN: Hold on. Have you ever heard the phrase? Q. You're making this too complicated, Chief.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 23	indicate to someone that the phone that the conversation was being recorded? A. Is that what you mean? I completely took it as some other means. I don't believe there's any type of auditory beeps. Q. Is there any kind of light that would flash or indicator that would flash on the phone itself that would indicate to the user that the conversation was being recorded? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Was there any kind of sticky note placed on the phone or label placed on a phone that was attached to a recorded line that would warn the user that any conversations on that phone would be recorded? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Is it your understanding based upon basing this on earlier discussions we've had on the record here that phone lines outside of the communications department would only be recorded upon the consent of the person assigned to that phone line? A. I didn't say that. Q. Okay. What did you say regarding that issue? A. I said any phone lines outside of the communications center would have been through an agreement with the chief	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. SULLIVAN: All right. To the extent it reveals the content of privileged communications. A. I'm not real sure what the phrase even means. BY MR. DUERRING: Q. Okay. Fair enough. A. Or how you use it and how I understand it, I'm sorry. Q. Well, I'm not using it other than to ask you have you ever heard of that phrase or do you have any understanding of what that have you ever heard of that phrase before? A. I've heard the word "exception." How it's being used, I'm not real sure it's how I rnay have ever heard it. Q. Have you heard that word exception in the context of the Federal Wiretap Act? A. In what timeframe? Q. Between the time that you became a law enforcement officer and the time that Karen DePaepe was discharged in April of 2011 '12. A. Only as to, again, your definition of the word exception and what I believe it could be, it would have only been MR. SULLIVAN: Hold on. Have you ever heard the phrase? Q. You're making this too complicated, Chief. A. I guess, if anything, self-taught during that timeframe.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	indicate to someone that the phone that the conversation was being recorded? A. Is that what you mean? I completely took it as some other means. I don't believe there's any type of auditory beeps. Q. Is there any kind of light that would flash or indicator that would flash on the phone itself that would indicate to the user that the conversation was being recorded? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Was there any kind of sticky note placed on the phone or label placed on a phone that was attached to a recorded line that would warn the user that any conversations on that phone would be recorded? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Is it your understanding based upon basing this on earlier discussions we've had on the record here that phone lines outside of the communications department would only be recorded upon the consent of the person assigned to that phone line? A. I didn't say that. Q. Okay. What did you say regarding that issue? A. I said any phone lines outside of the communications	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. SULLIVAN: All right. To the extent it reveals the content of privileged communications. A. I'm not real sure what the phrase even means. BY MR. DUERRING: Q. Okay. Fair enough. A. Or how you use it and how I understand it, I'm sorry. Q. Well, I'm not using it other than to ask you have you ever heard of that phrase or do you have any understanding of what that have you ever heard of that phrase before? A. I've heard the word "exception." How it's being used, I'm not real sure it's how I rnay have ever heard it. Q. Have you heard that word exception in the context of the Federal Wiretap Act? A. In what timeframe? Q. Between the time that you became a law enforcement officer and the time that Karen DePaepe was discharged in April of 2011 '12. A. Only as to, again, your definition of the word exception and what I believe it could be, it would have only been MR. SULLIVAN: Hold on. Have you ever heard the phrase? Q. You're making this too complicated, Chief.

	y of South Bend and Pete Buttigleg, et al.			December 17, 2013
	Page 65	T		Page 67
1	speak.	1		the top of the little black window?
2	Q. And so understanding this is your own studies, what have			Yes.
3	your own studies revealed to you with respect to the use	3		Okay. Can you read that?
4	of that word as it relates to the Federal Wiretap Act?			Yes.
5	MR. SULLIVAN: Object to the extent it calls for	5		And then it has a line that has "file," "edit," "view,"
6	a legal conclusion. Go ahead.	6	ν.	"actions," "tools," "window," "help." Do you see that? I
	A. Again, what you're considering the word exception, what I	7		think they commonly refer to that as part of the toolbar.
8	thought it could be, exception would be that law	8	Α	I believe I can read some of it.
9	enforcement agencies for a variety of reasons could, and	9		Okay. What part?
10	depending on state law, record certain lines,	1		I think it says it may say "view," but it's awful hard
11	conversations for certain reasons in its normal, everyday	11		to read.
12	course of performing its function.	12	0	And then there's the next line that I see the word
13	Q. Is it safe to say, Chief, that if you would have thought	13	χ.	"close," and I can read "forward" I think are the two
14	that the department's use of the recording system violated	14		words. Can you read close and forward on the third line?
15	the Federal Wiretap Act, that you would have advised		Α	There's some of that. Then there's another word that's
16	someone of that fact? And I'm talking right now, say,	16	1	completely obliterated.
17	prior to December 31, 2011.	1	0	Okay. Can you read close?
18	MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, calls for a legal			Yes.
19	conclusion, improper hypothetical. Go ahead.			Can you read forward?
1	A. If I knew that there was any type of violation, either of		-	Yes.
21	our duty manual, state or federal law, it would have been	1		The next line it has some words. Can you read those
22	my duty to inform a combination of my superior or	22	χ.	words?
23	supervisor as well as the corporate counsel, legal or city		Α.	Yes.
24	attorney, as to the fact that such violation was being			"Mail," "properties," and "personalize," correct?
25	performed.			I think that's what that last word could be.
	r.			Table Mark William Mark World Could be.
	Page 66			
	rage of			Page 68
1	Q. Did you ever do so?	1	Q.	
1 2		1 2	Q.	Okay. And then there's the next line that says, "From: Karen DePaepe." - Can you read that?
1	Q. Did you ever do so?	-2	-	Okay. And then there's the next line that says, "From: Karen DePaepe." Can you read that?
2	Q. Did you ever do so? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection to the extent it calls	-2	-	Okay. And then there's the next line that says, "From:
2 3	Q. Did you ever do so? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection to the extent it calls for him to reveal any communications he had with	2 3	Ā.	Okay. And then there's the next line that says, "From: Karen DePaepe." Can you read that? I can't read the word "Karen," but I can definitely read "DePaepe."
2 3	Q. Did you ever do so? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection to the extent it calls for him to reveal any communications he had with legal counsel for the city.	2 3 4 5	A. Q.	Okay. And then there's the next line that says, "From: Karen DePaepe." Can you read that? I can't read the word "Karen," but I can definitely read
2 3 4 5	Q. Did you ever do so? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection to the extent it calls for him to reveal any communications he had with legal counsel for the city. MR. DUERRING: I'm talking about prior to	2 3 4 5 6	A. Q. A.	Okay. And then there's the next line that says, "From: Karen DePaepe." Can you read that? ————————————————————————————————————
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Did you ever do so? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection to the extent it calls for him to reveal any communications he had with legal counsel for the city. MR. DUERRING: I'm talking about prior to December 31, 2011. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I'm talking about.	2 3 4 5 6	A. Q. A.	Okay. And then there's the next line that says, "From: Karen DePaepe." Can you read that? I can't read the word "Karen," but I can definitely read "DePaepe." Okay. Were there any other DePaepes in the department? Not that I know of.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Did you ever do so? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection to the extent it calls for him to reveal any communications he had with legal counsel for the city. MR. DUERRING: I'm talking about prior to December 31, 2011.	-2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Q. A. Q.	Okay. And then there's the next line that says, "From: Karen DePaepe." Can you read that? I can't read the word "Karen," but I can definitely read "DePaepe." Okay. Were there any other DePaepes in the department? Not that I know of. And then on that same line it goes over to the right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Did you ever do so? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection to the extent it calls for him to reveal any communications he had with legal counsel for the city. MR. DUERRING: I'm talking about prior to December 31, 2011. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I'm talking about. You can answer to the extent you don't reveal any	-2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A. Q. A.	Okay. And then there's the next line that says, "From: Karen DePaepe." Can you read that? ————————————————————————————————————
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Did you ever do so? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection to the extent it calls for him to reveal any communications he had with legal counsel for the city. MR. DUERRING: I'm talking about prior to December 31, 2011. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I'm talking about. You can answer to the extent you don't reveal any communications you may have had with the city attorney's office.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A. Q. Q.	Okay. And then there's the next line that says, "From: Karen DePaepe." Can you read that? I can't read the word "Karen," but I can definitely read "DePaepe." Okay. Were there any other DePaepes in the department? Not that I know of. And then on that same line it goes over to the right. There's a date, 8-29-2011, 11:47 a.m. Do you read that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Did you ever do so? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection to the extent it calls for him to reveal any communications he had with legal counsel for the city. MR. DUERRING: I'm talking about prior to December 31, 2011. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I'm talking about. You can answer to the extent you don't reveal any communications you may have had with the city	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Okay. And then there's the next line that says, "From: Karen DePaepe." Can you read that? I can't read the word "Karen," but I can definitely read "DePaepe." Okay. Were there any other DePaepes in the department? Not that I know of. And then on that same line it goes over to the right. There's a date, 8-29-2011, 11:47 a.m. Do you read that? No. Is there any part you can read of that? I can't read the 8.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Did you ever do so? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection to the extent it calls for him to reveal any communications he had with legal counsel for the city. MR. DUERRING: I'm talking about prior to December 31, 2011. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I'm talking about. You can answer to the extent you don't reveal any communications you may have had with the city attorney's office. A. Prior to December 31, 2011, I have no knowledge of any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. Q.	Okay. And then there's the next line that says, "From: Karen DePaepe." Can you read that? I can't read the word "Karen," but I can definitely read "DePaepe." Okay. Were there any other DePaepes in the department? Not that I know of. And then on that same line it goes over to the right. There's a date, 8-29-2011, 11:47 a.m. Do you read that? No. Is there any part you can read of that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Did you ever do so? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection to the extent it calls for him to reveal any communications he had with legal counsel for the city. MR. DUERRING: I'm talking about prior to December 31, 2011. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I'm talking about. You can answer to the extent you don't reveal any communications you may have had with the city attorney's office. A. Prior to December 31, 2011, I have no knowledge of any violation or knew that a violation was taking place.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Okay. And then there's the next line that says, "From: Karen DePaepe." Can you read that? I can't read the word "Karen," but I can definitely read "DePaepe." Okay. Were there any other DePaepes in the department? Not that I know of. And then on that same line it goes over to the right. There's a date, 8-29-2011, 11:47 a.m. Do you read that? No. Is there any part you can read of that? I can't read the 8. Okay. The next line says "to." Can you read your name? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Did you ever do so? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection to the extent it calls for him to reveal any communications he had with legal counsel for the city. MR. DUERRING: I'm talking about prior to December 31, 2011. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I'm talking about. You can answer to the extent you don't reveal any communications you may have had with the city attorney's office. A. Prior to December 31, 2011, I have no knowledge of any violation or knew that a violation was taking place. BY MR. DUERRING:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Okay. And then there's the next line that says, "From: Karen DePaepe." Can you read that? I can't read the word "Karen," but I can definitely read "DePaepe." Okay. Were there any other DePaepes in the department? Not that I know of. And then on that same line it goes over to the right. There's a date, 8-29-2011, 11:47 a.m. Do you read that? No. Is there any part you can read of that? I can't read the 8. Okay. The next line says "to." Can you read your name?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Did you ever do so? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection to the extent it calls for him to reveal any communications he had with legal counsel for the city. MR. DUERRING: I'm talking about prior to December 31, 2011. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I'm talking about. You can answer to the extent you don't reveal any communications you may have had with the city attorney's office. A. Prior to December 31, 2011, I have no knowledge of any violation or knew that a violation was taking place. BY MR. DUERRING: Q. Chief, I'm going to show you what's been marked as Exhibit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Okay. And then there's the next line that says, "From: Karen DePaepe." Can you read that? I can't read the word "Karen," but I can definitely read "DePaepe." Okay. Were there any other DePaepes in the department? Not that I know of. And then on that same line it goes over to the right. There's a date, 8-29-2011, 11:47 a.m. Do you read that? No. Is there any part you can read of that? I can't read the 8. Okay. The next line says "to." Can you read your name? Yes. Okay. And the next line it says, "Subject: Forward
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Did you ever do so? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection to the extent it calls for him to reveal any communications he had with legal counsel for the city. MR. DUERRING: I'm talking about prior to December 31, 2011. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I'm talking about. You can answer to the extent you don't reveal any communications you may have had with the city attorney's office. A. Prior to December 31, 2011, I have no knowledge of any violation or knew that a violation was taking place. BY MR. DUERRING: Q. Chief, I'm going to show you what's been marked as Exhibit 3. I understand that it is somewhat difficult to read.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Okay. And then there's the next line that says, "From: Karen DePaepe." Can you read that? I can't read the word "Karen," but I can definitely read "DePaepe." Okay. Were there any other DePaepes in the department? Not that I know of. And then on that same line it goes over to the right. There's a date, 8-29-2011, 11:47 a.m. Do you read that? No. Is there any part you can read of that? I can't read the 8. Okay. The next line says "to." Can you read your name? Yes. Okay. And the next line it says, "Subject: Forward ballpark figures for a new recording system." Can you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Did you ever do so? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection to the extent it calls for him to reveal any communications he had with legal counsel for the city. MR. DUERRING: I'm talking about prior to December 31, 2011. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I'm talking about. You can answer to the extent you don't reveal any communications you may have had with the city attorney's office. A. Prior to December 31, 2011, I have no knowledge of any violation or knew that a violation was taking place. BY MR. DUERRING: Q. Chief, I'm going to show you what's been marked as Exhibit 3. I understand that it is somewhat difficult to read. But with my eyes, if I can read it, anybody can read it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Okay. And then there's the next line that says, "From: Karen DePaepe." Can you read that? I can't read the word "Karen," but I can definitely read "DePaepe." Okay. Were there any other DePaepes in the department? Not that I know of. And then on that same line it goes over to the right. There's a date, 8-29-2011, 11:47 a.m. Do you read that? No. Is there any part you can read of that? I can't read the 8. Okay. The next line says "to." Can you read your name? Yes. Okay. And the next line it says, "Subject: Forward ballpark figures for a new recording system." Can you read that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Did you ever do so? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection to the extent it calls for him to reveal any communications he had with legal counsel for the city. MR. DUERRING: I'm talking about prior to December 31, 2011. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I'm talking about. You can answer to the extent you don't reveal any communications you may have had with the city attorney's office. A. Prior to December 31, 2011, I have no knowledge of any violation or knew that a violation was taking place. BY MR. DUERRING: Q. Chief, I'm going to show you what's been marked as Exhibit 3. I understand that it is somewhat difficult to read. But with my eyes, if I can read it, anybody can read it. If you could review Exhibit 3, and if you could let me know when you're complete with that review. A. I guess I'm finished trying to review it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Okay. And then there's the next line that says, "From: Karen DePaepe." Can you read that? I can't read the word "Karen," but I can definitely read "DePaepe." Okay. Were there any other DePaepes in the department? Not that I know of. And then on that same line it goes over to the right. There's a date, 8-29-2011, 11:47 a.m. Do you read that? No. Is there any part you can read of that? I can't read the 8. Okay. The next line says "to." Can you read your name? Yes. Okay. And the next line it says, "Subject: Forward ballpark figures for a new recording system." Can you read that? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Did you ever do so? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection to the extent it calls for him to reveal any communications he had with legal counsel for the city. MR. DUERRING: I'm talking about prior to December 31, 2011. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I'm talking about. You can answer to the extent you don't reveal any communications you may have had with the city attorney's office. A. Prior to December 31, 2011, I have no knowledge of any violation or knew that a violation was taking place. BY MR. DUERRING: Q. Chief, I'm going to show you what's been marked as Exhibit 3. I understand that it is somewhat difficult to read. But with my eyes, if I can read it, anybody can read it. If you could review Exhibit 3, and if you could let me know when you're complete with that review.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Okay. And then there's the next line that says, "From: Karen DePaepe." Can you read that? I can't read the word "Karen," but I can definitely read "DePaepe." Okay. Were there any other DePaepes in the department? Not that I know of. And then on that same line it goes over to the right. There's a date, 8-29-2011, 11:47 a.m. Do you read that? No. Is there any part you can read of that? I can't read the 8. Okay. The next line says "to." Can you read your name? Yes. Okay. And the next line it says, "Subject: Forward ballpark figures for a new recording system." Can you read that? Yes. Getting down into what I think we refer to as the body of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Did you ever do so? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection to the extent it calls for him to reveal any communications he had with legal counsel for the city. MR. DUERRING: I'm talking about prior to December 31, 2011. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I'm talking about. You can answer to the extent you don't reveal any communications you may have had with the city attorney's office. A. Prior to December 31, 2011, I have no knowledge of any violation or knew that a violation was taking place. BY MR. DUERRING: Q. Chief, I'm going to show you what's been marked as Exhibit 3. I understand that it is somewhat difficult to read. But with my eyes, if I can read it, anybody can read it. If you could review Exhibit 3, and if you could let me know when you're complete with that review. A. I guess I'm finished trying to review it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Okay. And then there's the next line that says, "From: Karen DePaepe." Can you read that? I can't read the word "Karen," but I can definitely read "DePaepe." Okay. Were there any other DePaepes in the department? Not that I know of. And then on that same line it goes over to the right. There's a date, 8-29-2011, 11:47 a.m. Do you read that? No. Is there any part you can read of that? I can't read the 8. Okay. The next line says "to." Can you read your name? Yes. Okay. And the next line it says, "Subject: Forward ballpark figures for a new recording system." Can you read that? Yes. Getting down into what I think we refer to as the body of it, can you read the word "Chief"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Did you ever do so? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection to the extent it calls for him to reveal any communications he had with legal counsel for the city. MR. DUERRING: I'm talking about prior to December 31, 2011. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I'm talking about. You can answer to the extent you don't reveal any communications you may have had with the city attorney's office. A. Prior to December 31, 2011, I have no knowledge of any violation or knew that a violation was taking place. BY MR. DUERRING: Q. Chief, I'm going to show you what's been marked as Exhibit 3. I understand that it is somewhat difficult to read. But with my eyes, if I can read it, anybody can read it. If you could review Exhibit 3, and if you could let me know when you're complete with that review. A. I guess I'm finished trying to review it. Q. Okay. Well, do you need more time?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Okay. And then there's the next line that says, "From: Karen DePaepe." Can you read that? I can't read the word "Karen," but I can definitely read "DePaepe." Okay. Were there any other DePaepes in the department? Not that I know of. And then on that same line it goes over to the right. There's a date, 8-29-2011, 11:47 a.m. Do you read that? No. Is there any part you can read of that? I can't read the 8. Okay. The next line says "to." Can you read your name? Yes. Okay. And the next line it says, "Subject: Forward ballpark figures for a new recording system." Can you read that? Yes. Getting down into what I think we refer to as the body of it, can you read the word "Chief"? There's another line above that I can't read. Something
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Did you ever do so? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection to the extent it calls for him to reveal any communications he had with legal counsel for the city. MR. DUERRING: I'm talking about prior to December 31, 2011. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I'm talking about. You can answer to the extent you don't reveal any communications you may have had with the city attorney's office. A. Prior to December 31, 2011, I have no knowledge of any violation or knew that a violation was taking place. BY MR. DUERRING: Q. Chief, I'm going to show you what's been marked as Exhibit 3. I understand that it is somewhat difficult to read. But with my eyes, if I can read it, anybody can read it. If you could review Exhibit 3, and if you could let me know when you're complete with that review. A. I guess I'm finished trying to review it. Q. Okay. Well, do you need more time? A. No. I just can't read the first page, a lot of it. Q. Well, let me go through that and see if we can decipher it. What part let me start from the little black	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Okay. And then there's the next line that says, "From: Karen DePaepe." Can you read that? I can't read the word "Karen," but I can definitely read "DePaepe." Okay. Were there any other DePaepes in the department? Not that I know of. And then on that same line it goes over to the right. There's a date, 8-29-2011, 11:47 a.m. Do you read that? No. Is there any part you can read of that? I can't read the 8. Okay. The next line says "to." Can you read your name? Yes. Okay. And the next line it says, "Subject: Forward ballpark figures for a new recording system." Can you read that? Yes. Getting down into what I think we refer to as the body of it, can you read the word "Chief"? There's another line above that I can't read. Something about "ball" something "recording system." There's another part it looks like "attachments." I can't read all that one.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Did you ever do so? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection to the extent it calls for him to reveal any communications he had with legal counsel for the city. MR. DUERRING: I'm talking about prior to December 31, 2011. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I'm talking about. You can answer to the extent you don't reveal any communications you may have had with the city attorney's office. A. Prior to December 31, 2011, I have no knowledge of any violation or knew that a violation was taking place. BY MR. DUERRING: Q. Chief, I'm going to show you what's been marked as Exhibit 3. I understand that it is somewhat difficult to read. But with my eyes, if I can read it, anybody can read it. If you could review Exhibit 3, and if you could let me know when you're complete with that review. A. I guess I'm finished trying to review it. Q. Okay. Well, do you need more time? A. No. I just can't read the first page, a lot of it. Q. Well, let me go through that and see if we can decipher it. What part let me start from the little black window here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Okay. And then there's the next line that says, "From: Karen DePaepe." Can you read that? I can't read the word "Karen," but I can definitely read "DePaepe." Okay. Were there any other DePaepes in the department? Not that I know of. And then on that same line it goes over to the right. There's a date, 8-29-2011, 11:47 a.m. Do you read that? No. Is there any part you can read of that? I can't read the 8. Okay. The next line says "to." Can you read your name? Yes. Okay. And the next line it says, "Subject: Forward ballpark figures for a new recording system." Can you read that? Yes. Getting down into what I think we refer to as the body of it, can you read the word "Chief"? There's another line above that I can't read. Something about "ball" something "recording system." There's another part it looks like "attachments." I can't read
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Did you ever do so? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection to the extent it calls for him to reveal any communications he had with legal counsel for the city. MR. DUERRING: I'm talking about prior to December 31, 2011. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I'm talking about. You can answer to the extent you don't reveal any communications you may have had with the city attorney's office. A. Prior to December 31, 2011, I have no knowledge of any violation or knew that a violation was taking place. BY MR. DUERRING: Q. Chief, I'm going to show you what's been marked as Exhibit 3. I understand that it is somewhat difficult to read. But with my eyes, if I can read it, anybody can read it. If you could review Exhibit 3, and if you could let me know when you're complete with that review. A. I guess I'm finished trying to review it. Q. Okay. Well, do you need more time? A. No. I just can't read the first page, a lot of it. Q. Well, let me go through that and see if we can decipher it. What part let me start from the little black	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Okay. And then there's the next line that says, "From: Karen DePaepe." Can you read that? I can't read the word "Karen," but I can definitely read "DePaepe." Okay. Were there any other DePaepes in the department? Not that I know of. And then on that same line it goes over to the right. There's a date, 8-29-2011, 11:47 a.m. Do you read that? No. Is there any part you can read of that? I can't read the 8. Okay. The next line says "to." Can you read your name? Yes. Okay. And the next line it says, "Subject: Forward ballpark figures for a new recording system." Can you read that? Yes. Getting down into what I think we refer to as the body of it, can you read the word "Chief"? There's another line above that I can't read. Something about "ball" something "recording system." There's another part it looks like "attachments." I can't read all that one.

City	y oi	South Bend and Pete Buttigleg, et al.			December 17, 2013
		Page 69			Page 71
1	0.	On the right-hand side?	1	. A	. Yes.
		I can't read all of that.			. Do you still have that departmental assigned computer?
		"Forward ballpark proposals or figures for a new recording			. No.
4	_	system."	4	Q	. Do you know what happened to it?
5	A.	If that's what you say it says, I don't know.			. Don't have a clue. It was taken and probably recycled.
6	Q.	Okay. Well, below that then does it say "Chief"?			. Do you remember when that was?
7	A.	Yes.	7	Α	. This year.
8	Q.	And below that, "These are the figures Dennis from"	8	Q	. Did you receive a directive at the time, either the
9		MR. SULLIVAN: "These were."	9		associated wiretap case was filed or this case was filed
10	Q.	"These were the figures Dennis from Nice Systems sent me	10		to preserve any electronically stored information?
11		in the attached e-mail. Also attached are the recorded			. Yes.
12		audio lines, Karen." Can you read that?			. Did you act on that directive?
		Yes, I believe I can make that out.			. The directive was sent to City IT for them to do exactly
	Q.	Okay. And then way down on the bottom, apparently under	14		what you said.
15		this system there's a notation of what is attached. It	15		Do you know whether or not your e-mail from August 29,
16		says "message," then it says, "Ballpark figures for"	16		2011, would have been preserved pursuant to that
17		Then it says, "Recorded audio L" Do you see that?	17		directive?
1		Yes.	18		. I have no idea whether it was.
1	Q.	This appears to be an e-mail from Karen DePaepe to you.	19		. Do you know where your e-mail is stored?
20		Would you agree with that or disagree with that?	1		. Not on my computer. I don't know where it is, but it
		I would agree that that's what it would appear to be.	21		would not be on my computer.
	-	Do you recall receiving this e-mail from Karen?		Q	Is there a central server that would be the repository for
		Vaguely. What vaguely do you recall?	23		such information?
		I remember the reason I do remember getting it, but not	24		MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, lack of foundation. Go ahead.
25	л.	11cmemoer the reason 1 do remember getting it, but not	25		ancau.
			1		
		Page 70			Page 72
1			1	A	
1 2		really acting upon it due to the fact that well,			I really don't know where the City of South Bend stores
2		really acting upon it due to the fact that well, portions I acted upon, portions I didn't. I do remember	-2		I really don't know where the City of South Bend stores their archived e-mail.
1		really acting upon it due to the fact that well,	-2 3	Q	I really don't know where the City of South Bend stores their archived e-mail. It's not in some cloud, is it?
2 3		really acting upon it due to the fact that well, portions Tacted upon, portions I didn't. I do remember the reason why I was why I received it is that we were	-2 3	Q A	I really don't know where the City of South Bend stores their archived e-mail. It's not in some cloud, is it? It very likely is. I know they're moving toward it.
2 3 4		really acting upon it due to the fact that well, portions I acted upon, portions I didn't. I do remember the reason why I was why I received it is that we were in budgetary cycles. And we were looking at replacing the	-2 3 4	Q A	I really don't know where the City of South Bend stores their archived e-mail. It's not in some cloud, is it?
2 3 4 5 6		really acting upon it due to the fact that well, portions I acted upon, portions I didn't. I do remember the reason why I was why I received it is that we were in budgetary cycles. And we were looking at replacing the recording system and we needed budgetary figures for a new	-2 3 4 5	Q	I really don't know where the City of South Bend stores their archived e-mail. It's not in some cloud, is it? It very likely is. I know they're moving toward it. That's why I said I don't know where it's being stored as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	really acting upon it due to the fact that well, portions I acted upon, portions I didn't. I do remember the reason why I was why I received it is that we were in budgetary cycles. And we were looking at replacing the recording system and we needed budgetary figures for a new system to include in the 2012 budget as a capital item. And do you recall receiving the recorded audio line portion?	-2 3 4 5 6 7	Q A	I really don't know where the City of South Bend stores their archived e-mail. It's not in some cloud, is it? It very likely is. I know they're moving toward it. That's why I said I don't know where it's being stored as of right now.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A.	really acting upon it due to the fact that well, portions I acted upon, portions I didn't. I do remember the reason why I was why I received it is that we were in budgetary cycles. And we were looking at replacing the recording system and we needed budgetary figures for a new system to include in the 2012 budget as a capital item. And do you recall receiving the recorded audio line portion? No, I don't recall that.	-2 3 4 5 6 7	Q A Q A	I really don't know where the City of South Bend stores their archived e-mail. It's not in some cloud, is it? It very likely is. I know they're moving toward it. That's why I said I don't know where it's being stored as of right now. That opens up all new ethical issues.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A.	really acting upon it due to the fact that well, portions I acted upon, portions I didn't. I do remember the reason why I was why I received it is that we were in budgetary cycles. And we were looking at replacing the recording system and we needed budgetary figures for a new system to include in the 2012 budget as a capital item. And do you recall receiving the recorded audio line portion? No, I don't recall that. Do you recall why the recorded audio line portion would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A Q A	I really don't know where the City of South Bend stores their archived e-mail. It's not in some cloud, is it? It very likely is. I know they're moving toward it. That's why I said I don't know where it's being stored as of right now. That opens up all new ethical issues. But everything's going that way.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A.	really acting upon it due to the fact that well, portions I acted upon, portions I didn't. I do remember the reason why I was why I received it is that we were in budgetary cycles. And we were looking at replacing the recording system and we needed budgetary figures for a new system to include in the 2012 budget as a capital item. And do you recall receiving the recorded audio line portion? No, I don't recall that. Do you recall why the recorded audio line portion would have been attached to this e-mail?	-2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A Q A	I really don't know where the City of South Bend stores their archived e-mail. It's not in some cloud, is it? It very likely is. I know they're moving toward it. That's why I said I don't know where it's being stored as of right now. That opens up all new ethical issues. But everything's going that way. Did you ever take any actions to delete any of your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A.	really acting upon it due to the fact that well, portions I acted upon, portions I didn't. I do remember the reason why I was why I received it is that we were in budgetary cycles. And we were looking at replacing the recording system and we needed budgetary figures for a new system to include in the 2012 budget as a capital item. And do you recall receiving the recorded audio line portion? No, I don't recall that. Do you recall why the recorded audio line portion would have been attached to this e-mail? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, lack of foundation,	-2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A Q A	I really don't know where the City of South Bend stores their archived e-mail. It's not in some cloud, is it? It very likely is. I know they're moving toward it. That's why I said I don't know where it's being stored as of right now. That opens up all new ethical issues. But everything's going that way. Did you ever take any actions to delete any of your e-mails that you would have received from Karen DePacpe?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. A. Q.	really acting upon it due to the fact that well, portions I acted upon, portions I didn't. I do remember the reason why I was why I received it is that we were in budgetary cycles. And we were looking at replacing the recording system and we needed budgetary figures for a new system to include in the 2012 budget as a capital item. And do you recall receiving the recorded audio line portion? No, I don't recall that. Do you recall why the recorded audio line portion would have been attached to this e-mail? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, lack of foundation, personal knowledge. Go ahead.	-2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q A Q A	I really don't know where the City of South Bend stores their archived e-mail. It's not in some cloud, is it? It very likely is. I know they're moving toward it. That's why I said I don't know where it's being stored as of right now. That opens up all new ethical issues. But everything's going that way. Did you ever take any actions to delete any of your e-mails that you would have received from Karen DePaepe? I'm sure I deleted e-mails sometime, you know, in our long association. Okay. Do you know specifically whether you deleted this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q.	really acting upon it due to the fact that well, portions I acted upon, portions I didn't. I do remember the reason why I was why I received it is that we were in budgetary cycles. And we were looking at replacing the recording system and we needed budgetary figures for a new system to include in the 2012 budget as a capital item. And do you recall receiving the recorded audio line portion? No, I don't recall that. Do you recall why the recorded audio line portion would have been attached to this e-mail? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, lack of foundation, personal knowledge. Go ahead. The only thing I I really don't know exactly why at	-2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q A Q A	I really don't know where the City of South Bend stores their archived e-mail. It's not in some cloud, is it? It very likely is. I know they're moving toward it. That's why I said I don't know where it's being stored as of right now. That opens up all new ethical issues. But everything's going that way. Did you ever take any actions to delete any of your e-mails that you would have received from Karen DePacpe? I'm sure I deleted e-mails sometime, you know, in our long association. Okay. Do you know specifically whether you deleted this particular e-mail that's referenced in Exhibit 3?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q.	really acting upon it due to the fact that well, portions I acted upon, portions I didn't. I do remember the reason why I was why I received it is that we were in budgetary cycles. And we were looking at replacing the recording system and we needed budgetary figures for a new system to include in the 2012 budget as a capital item. And do you recall receiving the recorded audio line portion? No, I don't recall that. Do you recall why the recorded audio line portion would have been attached to this e-mail? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, lack of foundation, personal knowledge. Go ahead. The only thing I I really don't know exactly why at this particular point, but we were Karen and I had	-2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q A Q A	I really don't know where the City of South Bend stores their archived e-mail. It's not in some cloud, is it? It very likely is. I know they're moving toward it. That's why I said I don't know where it's being stored as of right now. That opens up all new ethical issues. But everything's going that way. Did you ever take any actions to delete any of your e-mails that you would have received from Karen DePacpe? I'm sure I deleted e-mails sometime, you know, in our long association. Okay. Do you know specifically whether you deleted this particular e-mail that's referenced in Exhibit 3? I have no idea as we sit here whether I deleted it or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q.	really acting upon it due to the fact that well, portions I acted upon, portions I didn't. I do remember the reason why I was why I received it is that we were in budgetary cycles. And we were looking at replacing the recording system and we needed budgetary figures for a new system to include in the 2012 budget as a capital item. And do you recall receiving the recorded audio line portion? No, I don't recall that. Do you recall why the recorded audio line portion would have been attached to this e-mail? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, lack of foundation, personal knowledge. Go ahead. The only thing I I really don't know exactly why at this particular point, but we were Karen and I had well, we hadn't been involved in the fact that the city	-2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q A Q A A Q A	I really don't know where the City of South Bend stores their archived e-mail. It's not in some cloud, is it? It very likely is. I know they're moving toward it. That's why I said I don't know where it's being stored as of right now. That opens up all new ethical issues. But everything's going that way. Did you ever take any actions to delete any of your e-mails that you would have received from Karen DePaepe? I'm sure I deleted e-mails sometime, you know, in our long association. Okay. Do you know specifically whether you deleted this particular e-mail that's referenced in Exhibit 3? I have no idea as we sit here whether I deleted it or whether it's even in archival with the City of South Bend.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q.	really acting upon it due to the fact that well, portions I acted upon, portions I didn't. I do remember the reason why I was why I received it is that we were in budgetary cycles. And we were looking at replacing the recording system and we needed budgetary figures for a new system to include in the 2012 budget as a capital item. And do you recall receiving the recorded audio line portion? No, I don't recall that. Do you recall why the recorded audio line portion would have been attached to this e-mail? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, lack of foundation, personal knowledge. Go ahead. The only thing I I really don't know exactly why at this particular point, but we were Karen and I had well, we hadn't been involved in the fact that the city was going from a Centrex based phone system to a VoIP	-2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q A Q A A Q A	I really don't know where the City of South Bend stores their archived e-mail. It's not in some cloud, is it? It very likely is. I know they're moving toward it. That's why I said I don't know where it's being stored as of right now. That opens up all new ethical issues. But everything's going that way. Did you ever take any actions to delete any of your e-mails that you would have received from Karen DePacpe? I'm sure I deleted e-mails sometime, you know, in our long association. Okay. Do you know specifically whether you deleted this particular e-mail that's referenced in Exhibit 3? I have no idea as we sit here whether I deleted it or whether it's even in archival with the City of South Bend. Now, referring to the list that's attached to Exhibit 3,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q.	really acting upon it due to the fact that well, portions I acted upon, portions I didn't. I do remember the reason why I was why I received it is that we were in budgetary cycles. And we were looking at replacing the recording system and we needed budgetary figures for a new system to include in the 2012 budget as a capital item. And do you recall receiving the recorded audio line portion? No, I don't recall that. Do you recall why the recorded audio line portion would have been attached to this e-mail? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, lack of foundation, personal knowledge. Go ahead. The only thing I I really don't know exactly why at this particular point, but we were Karen and I had well, we hadn't been involved in the fact that the city was going from a Centrex based phone system to a VoIP base. And these audio lines would be used later in the	-2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q A A Q A	I really don't know where the City of South Bend stores their archived e-mail. It's not in some cloud, is it? It very likely is. I know they're moving toward it. That's why I said I don't know where it's being stored as of right now. That opens up all new ethical issues. But everything's going that way. Did you ever take any actions to delete any of your e-mails that you would have received from Karen DePaepe? I'm sure I deleted e-mails sometime, you know, in our long association. Okay. Do you know specifically whether you deleted this particular e-mail that's referenced in Exhibit 3? I have no idea as we sit here whether I deleted it or whether it's even in archival with the City of South Bend. Now, referring to the list that's attached to Exhibit 3, if you want to go back to it would be on the third page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q.	really acting upon it due to the fact that well, portions I acted upon, portions I didn't. I do remember the reason why I was why I received it is that we were in budgetary cycles. And we were looking at replacing the recording system and we needed budgetary figures for a new system to include in the 2012 budget as a capital item. And do you recall receiving the recorded audio line portion? No, I don't recall that. Do you recall why the recorded audio line portion would have been attached to this e-mail? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, lack of foundation, personal knowledge. Go ahead. The only thing I I really don't know exactly why at this particular point, but we were Karen and I had well, we hadn't been involved in the fact that the city was going from a Centrex based phone system to a VoIP base. And these audio lines would be used later in the year for configuring our system.	-2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q A Q A A Q A	I really don't know where the City of South Bend stores their archived e-mail. It's not in some cloud, is it? It very likely is. I know they're moving toward it. That's why I said I don't know where it's being stored as of right now. That opens up all new ethical issues. But everything's going that way. Did you ever take any actions to delete any of your e-mails that you would have received from Karen DePaepe? I'm sure I deleted e-mails sometime, you know, in our long association. Okay. Do you know specifically whether you deleted this particular e-mail that's referenced in Exhibit 3? I have no idea as we sit here whether I deleted it or whether it's even in archival with the City of South Bend. Now, referring to the list that's attached to Exhibit 3, if you want to go back to it would be on the third page of this exhibit. It is entitled "Recorded Telephone"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q.	really acting upon it due to the fact that well, portions I acted upon, portions I didn't. I do remember the reason why I was why I received it is that we were in budgetary cycles. And we were looking at replacing the recording system and we needed budgetary figures for a new system to include in the 2012 budget as a capital item. And do you recall receiving the recorded audio line portion? No, I don't recall that. Do you recall why the recorded audio line portion would have been attached to this e-mail? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, lack of foundation, personal knowledge. Go ahead. The only thing I I really don't know exactly why at this particular point, but we were Karen and I had well, we hadn't been involved in the fact that the city was going from a Centrex based phone system to a VoIP base. And these audio lines would be used later in the year for configuring our system. Okay.	-2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q A Q A Q	I really don't know where the City of South Bend stores their archived e-mail. It's not in some cloud, is it? It very likely is. I know they're moving toward it. That's why I said I don't know where it's being stored as of right now. That opens up all new ethical issues. But everything's going that way. Did you ever take any actions to delete any of your e-mails that you would have received from Karen DePacpe? I'm sure I deleted e-mails sometime, you know, in our long association. Okay. Do you know specifically whether you deleted this particular e-mail that's referenced in Exhibit 3? I have no idea as we sit here whether I deleted it or whether it's even in archival with the City of South Bend. Now, referring to the list that's attached to Exhibit 3, if you want to go back to it would be on the third page of this exhibit. It is entitled "Recorded Telephone Lines." Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q.	really acting upon it due to the fact that well, portions I acted upon, portions I didn't. I do remember the reason why I was why I received it is that we were in budgetary cycles. And we were looking at replacing the recording system and we needed budgetary figures for a new system to include in the 2012 budget as a capital item. And do you recall receiving the recorded audio line portion? No, I don't recall that. Do you recall why the recorded audio line portion would have been attached to this e-mail? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, lack of foundation, personal knowledge. Go ahead. The only thing I I really don't know exactly why at this particular point, but we were Karen and I had well, we hadn't been involved in the fact that the city was going from a Centrex based phone system to a VoIP base. And these audio lines would be used later in the year for configuring our system. Okay. That would be the only reason I would think that she would	-2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q A Q A A Q	I really don't know where the City of South Bend stores their archived e-mail. It's not in some cloud, is it? It very likely is. I know they're moving toward it. That's why I said I don't know where it's being stored as of right now. That opens up all new ethical issues. But everything's going that way. Did you ever take any actions to delete any of your e-mails that you would have received from Karen DePaepe? I'm sure I deleted e-mails sometime, you know, in our long association. Okay. Do you know specifically whether you deleted this particular e-mail that's referenced in Exhibit 3? I have no idea as we sit here whether I deleted it or whether it's even in archival with the City of South Bend. Now, referring to the list that's attached to Exhibit 3, if you want to go back to it would be on the third page of this exhibit. It is entitled "Recorded Telephone Lines." Do you see that? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A.	really acting upon it due to the fact that well, portions I acted upon, portions I didn't. I do remember the reason why I was why I received it is that we were in budgetary cycles. And we were looking at replacing the recording system and we needed budgetary figures for a new system to include in the 2012 budget as a capital item. And do you recall receiving the recorded audio line portion? No, I don't recall that. Do you recall why the recorded audio line portion would have been attached to this e-mail? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, lack of foundation, personal knowledge. Go ahead. The only thing I I really don't know exactly why at this particular point, but we were Karen and I had well, we hadn't been involved in the fact that the city was going from a Centrex based phone system to a VoIP base. And these audio lines would be used later in the year for configuring our system. Okay. That would be the only reason I would think that she would send them to mc.	-2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	I really don't know where the City of South Bend stores their archived e-mail. It's not in some cloud, is it? It very likely is. I know they're moving toward it. That's why I said I don't know where it's being stored as of right now. That opens up all new ethical issues. But everything's going that way. Did you ever take any actions to delete any of your e-mails that you would have received from Karen DePaepe? I'm sure I deleted e-mails sometime, you know, in our long association. Okay. Do you know specifically whether you deleted this particular e-mail that's referenced in Exhibit 3? I have no idea as we sit here whether I deleted it or whether it's even in archival with the City of South Bend. Now, referring to the list that's attached to Exhibit 3, if you want to go back to it would be on the third page of this exhibit. It is entitled "Recorded Telephone Lines." Do you see that? Yes. And it consists of two pages, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. Q.	really acting upon it due to the fact that well, portions I acted upon, portions I didn't. I do remember the reason why I was why I received it is that we were in budgetary cycles. And we were looking at replacing the recording system and we needed budgetary figures for a new system to include in the 2012 budget as a capital item. And do you recall receiving the recorded audio line portion? No, I don't recall that. Do you recall why the recorded audio line portion would have been attached to this e-mail? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, lack of foundation, personal knowledge. Go ahead. The only thing I I really don't know exactly why at this particular point, but we were Karen and I hadwell, we hadn't been involved in the fact that the city was going from a Centrex based phone system to a VoIP base. And these audio lines would be used later in the year for configuring our system. Okay. That would be the only reason I would think that she would send them to me. This would have been sent to your e-mail that you would	-2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	I really don't know where the City of South Bend stores their archived e-mail. It's not in some cloud, is it? It very likely is. I know they're moving toward it. That's why I said I don't know where it's being stored as of right now. That opens up all new ethical issues. But everything's going that way. Did you ever take any actions to delete any of your e-mails that you would have received from Karen DePacpe? I'm sure I deleted e-mails sometime, you know, in our long association. Okay. Do you know specifically whether you deleted this particular e-mail that's referenced in Exhibit 3? I have no idea as we sit here whether I deleted it or whether it's even in archival with the City of South Bend. Now, referring to the list that's attached to Exhibit 3, if you want to go back to it would be on the third page of this exhibit. It is entitled "Recorded Telephone Lines." Do you see that? Yes. And it consists of two pages, correct? That is correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. Q.	really acting upon it due to the fact that well, portions I acted upon, portions I didn't. I do remember the reason why I was why I received it is that we were in budgetary cycles. And we were looking at replacing the recording system and we needed budgetary figures for a new system to include in the 2012 budget as a capital item. And do you recall receiving the recorded audio line portion? No, I don't recall that. Do you recall why the recorded audio line portion would have been attached to this e-mail? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, lack of foundation, personal knowledge. Go ahead. The only thing I I really don't know exactly why at this particular point, but we were Karen and I had well, we hadn't been involved in the fact that the city was going from a Centrex based phone system to a VoIP base. And these audio lines would be used later in the year for configuring our system. Okay. That would be the only reason I would think that she would send them to mc.	-2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	I really don't know where the City of South Bend stores their archived e-mail. It's not in some cloud, is it? It very likely is. I know they're moving toward it. That's why I said I don't know where it's being stored as of right now. That opens up all new ethical issues. But everything's going that way. Did you ever take any actions to delete any of your e-mails that you would have received from Karen DePaepe? I'm sure I deleted e-mails sometime, you know, in our long association. Okay. Do you know specifically whether you deleted this particular e-mail that's referenced in Exhibit 3? I have no idea as we sit here whether I deleted it or whether it's even in archival with the City of South Bend. Now, referring to the list that's attached to Exhibit 3, if you want to go back to it would be on the third page of this exhibit. It is entitled "Recorded Telephone Lines." Do you see that? Yes. And it consists of two pages, correct?

Cit	y of South Bend and Pete Buttigieg, et al.		December 17, 2013
	Page 73		Page 75
1	fact, the same thing that was attached to the e-mail	1	to be any place, if I kept a copy, I would have put it
2	Exhibit 3. I'll just interpose that objection.	2	into a file that would have involved it might even be
3	BY MR. DUERRING:	3	under the VoIP system because that's what the meeting was
4	Q. Did there come a point in time after August 29, 2011, and	4	all about, the transition of hundreds of phone lines,
5	December 31, 2011, that you learned that the list that I'm	5	analog phone lines, circuits, etc., to a city-managed VoIP
6	referring to, this two-page list, did in fact represent	6	system.
7	the recorded telephone lines of the department?	7	MR. SULLIVAN: Counsel, was it called "rex" with
8	A. A similar list, whether it's the same list or not, was	8	an X at the end?
9	shown to me by Diana Scott in October of 2011. Whether	9	THE WITNESS: I don't know. It's either "call
10	it's the same list or not, I can't tell you yes or no.	10	RX" or I don't think it's rex like a dinosaur, but
11	The only thing I can tell you is it appears that these two	11	I think it's RX. I could be wrong.
12	pages are not printed at the same time in my opinion.	12	MR. SULLIVAN: 1 just wanted to know the sound at
13	Q. Whether the pages were printed at the same time, my	13	the end of it. You were trying to make an X sound at
14	question was whether or not you became aware during that	14	the end?
15	timeframe that this was an accurate compilation or list of	15	THE WITNESS: Yes, I believe that's what it is.
16	phone lines being recorded by the system at the	16	MR. DUERRING: I thought it was "rec," short for
17	department?	17	recording.
18	A. I have no idea whether it is an accurate representation of	18	MR. SULLIVAN: Maybe that's
19	all phone lines recorded.	19	THE WITNESS: I don't know. I'm not involved in
20	Q. Okay. You indicated that at some point in time Diane	20	the system at all.
21	Scott showed you a list, correct?	21	BY MR. DUERRING:
1	A. That is correct.	22	Q. Okay. Who attended that meeting besides yourself?
23	Q. And that would have been at a meeting that Karen DePaepe		A. As I mentioned, there was individuals from
24	was not able to attend due to the fact she was on family	24	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
25	medical leave; is that correct?	25	"who," I'm talking about names. Give me
	Page 74		Page 76
	Page 74		Page 76
	A. That is correct.		A. I don't know their names.
2	A. That is correct. Q. And do you know about what time that meeting would have	2	A. I don't know their names. Q. You don't know anybody's name who attended?
2	A. That is correct. Q. And do you know about what time that meeting would have occurred?	3	A. I don't know their names.Q. You don't know anybody's name who attended?A. I was trying to explain about the vendors. I don't know
2 3 4	A. That is correct. Q. And do you know about what time that meeting would have occurred? A. October of 2011.	3	 A. I don't know their names. Q. You don't know anybody's name who attended? A. I was trying to explain about the vendors. I don't know their name.
2	 A. That is correct. Q. And do you know about what time that meeting would have occurred? A. October of 2011. Q. Okay. Now, what did you do with the list that she showed 	3	 A. I don't know their names. Q. You don't know anybody's name who attended? A. I was trying to explain about the vendors. I don't know their name. Q. Of the people who attended that you did know, can you tell
2 3 4 5	A. That is correct. Q. And do you know about what time that meeting would have occurred? A. October of 2011.	2 3 4 5 6	 A. I don't know their names. Q. You don't know anybody's name who attended? A. I was trying to explain about the vendors. I don't know their name. Q. Of the people who attended that you did know, can you tell me those?
2 3 4 5 6	 A. That is correct. Q. And do you know about what time that meeting would have occurred? A. October of 2011. Q. Okay. Now, what did you do with the list that she showed you at that meeting? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. I don't know their names. Q. You don't know anybody's name who attended? A. I was trying to explain about the vendors. I don't know their name. Q. Of the people who attended that you did know, can you tell
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. That is correct. Q. And do you know about what time that meeting would have occurred? A. October of 2011. Q. Okay. Now, what did you do with the list that she showed you at that meeting? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes. Go 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. I don't know their names. Q. You don't know anybody's name who attended? A. I was trying to explain about the vendors. I don't know their name. Q. Of the people who attended that you did know, can you tell me those? A. I believe Keith Crain from City IT.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. That is correct. Q. And do you know about what time that meeting would have occurred? A. October of 2011. Q. Okay. Now, what did you do with the list that she showed you at that meeting? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes. Go ahead. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I don't know their names. Q. You don't know anybody's name who attended? A. I was trying to explain about the vendors. I don't know their name. Q. Of the people who attended that you did know, can you tell me those? A. I believe Keith Crain from City IT. Q. Is that C-r-a-i-n or K-r
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. That is correct. Q. And do you know about what time that meeting would have occurred? A. October of 2011. Q. Okay. Now, what did you do with the list that she showed you at that meeting? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes. Go ahead. A. The list wasn't shown only to me. The list was shared 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. I don't know their names. Q. You don't know anybody's name who attended? A. I was trying to explain about the vendors. I don't know their name. Q. Of the people who attended that you did know, can you tell me those? A. I believe Keith Crain from City IT. Q. Is that C-r-a-i-n or K-r A. C-r-a-i-n.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. That is correct. Q. And do you know about what time that meeting would have occurred? A. October of 2011. Q. Okay. Now, what did you do with the list that she showed you at that meeting? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes. Go ahead. A. The list wasn't shown only to me. The list was shared with City IT, call recs, representatives, vendors, and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. I don't know their names. Q. You don't know anybody's name who attended? A. I was trying to explain about the vendors. I don't know their name. Q. Of the people who attended that you did know, can you tell me those? A. I believe Keith Crain from City IT. Q. Is that C-r-a-i-n or K-r A. C-r-a-i-n. Q. That's City IT?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. That is correct. Q. And do you know about what time that meeting would have occurred? A. October of 2011. Q. Okay. Now, what did you do with the list that she showed you at that meeting? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes. Go ahead. A. The list wasn't shown only to me. The list was shared with City IT, call recs, representatives, vendors, and other individuals that were in the meeting as a representation of what was or may be recorded at that particular point in time. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. I don't know their names. Q. You don't know anybody's name who attended? A. I was trying to explain about the vendors. I don't know their name. Q. Of the people who attended that you did know, can you tell me those? A. I believe Keith Crain from City IT. Q. Is that C-r-a-i-n or K-r A. C-r-a-i-n. Q. That's City IT? A. Right. Q. Okay. A. Shawn Dehaney or Delahanty, something like that. He's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. That is correct. Q. And do you know about what time that meeting would have occurred? A. October of 2011. Q. Okay. Now, what did you do with the list that she showed you at that meeting? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes. Go ahead. A. The list wasn't shown only to me. The list was shared with City IT, call recs, representatives, vendors, and other individuals that were in the meeting as a representation of what was or may be recorded at that particular point in time. Q. So it was distributed among everybody at the meeting, is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. I don't know their names. Q. You don't know anybody's name who attended? A. I was trying to explain about the vendors. I don't know their name. Q. Of the people who attended that you did know, can you tell me those? A. I believe Keith Crain from City IT. Q. Is that C-r-a-i-n or K-r A. C-r-a-i-n. Q. That's City IT? A. Right. Q. Okay. A. Shawn Dehaney or Delahanty, something like that. He's from City IT. Diana Scott, myself.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. That is correct. Q. And do you know about what time that meeting would have occurred? A. October of 2011. Q. Okay. Now, what did you do with the list that she showed you at that meeting? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes. Go ahead. A. The list wasn't shown only to me. The list was shared with City IT, call recs, representatives, vendors, and other individuals that were in the meeting as a representation of what was or may be recorded at that particular point in time. Q. So it was distributed among everybody at the meeting, is that what you're saying? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 .8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I don't know their names. Q. You don't know anybody's name who attended? A. I was trying to explain about the vendors. I don't know their name. Q. Of the people who attended that you did know, can you tell me those? A. I believe Keith Crain from City IT. Q. Is that C-r-a-i-n or K-r A. C-r-a-i-n. Q. That's City IT? A. Right. Q. Okay. A. Shawn Dehaney or Delahanty, something like that. He's from City IT. Diana Scott, myself. Q. Is it Diana or Diane?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. That is correct. Q. And do you know about what time that meeting would have occurred? A. October of 2011. Q. Okay. Now, what did you do with the list that she showed you at that meeting? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes. Go ahead. A. The list wasn't shown only to me. The list was shared with City IT, call recs, representatives, vendors, and other individuals that were in the meeting as a representation of what was or may be recorded at that particular point in time. Q. So it was distributed among everybody at the meeting, is that what you're saying? A. There were multiple copies made. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 .8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. I don't know their names. Q. You don't know anybody's name who attended? A. I was trying to explain about the vendors. I don't know their name. Q. Of the people who attended that you did know, can you tell me those? A. I believe Keith Crain from City IT. Q. Is that C-r-a-i-n or K-r A. C-r-a-i-n. Q. That's City IT? A. Right. Q. Okay. A. Shawn Dehaney or Delahanty, something like that. He's from City IT. Diana Scott, myself. Q. Is it Diana or Diane? A. Diana Scott.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. That is correct. Q. And do you know about what time that meeting would have occurred? A. October of 2011. Q. Okay. Now, what did you do with the list that she showed you at that meeting? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes. Go ahead. A. The list wasn't shown only to me. The list was shared with City IT, call recs, representatives, vendors, and other individuals that were in the meeting as a representation of what was or may be recorded at that particular point in time. Q. So it was distributed among everybody at the meeting, is that what you're saying? A. There were multiple copies made. Q. Were you given a copy? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 .8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. I don't know their names. Q. You don't know anybody's name who attended? A. I was trying to explain about the vendors. I don't know their name. Q. Of the people who attended that you did know, can you tell me those? A. I believe Keith Crain from City IT. Q. Is that C-r-a-i-n or K-r A. C-r-a-i-n. Q. That's City IT? A. Right. Q. Okay. A. Shawn Dehaney or Delahanty, something like that. He's from City IT. Diana Scott, myself. Q. Is it Diana or Diane? A. Diana Scott. Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. That is correct. Q. And do you know about what time that meeting would have occurred? A. October of 2011. Q. Okay. Now, what did you do with the list that she showed you at that meeting? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes. Go ahead. A. The list wasn't shown only to me. The list was shared with City IT, call recs, representatives, vendors, and other individuals that were in the meeting as a representation of what was or may be recorded at that particular point in time. Q. So it was distributed among everybody at the meeting, is that what you're saying? A. There were multiple copies made. Q. Were you given a copy? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I don't know their names. Q. You don't know anybody's name who attended? A. I was trying to explain about the vendors. I don't know their name. Q. Of the people who attended that you did know, can you tell me those? A. I believe Keith Crain from City IT. Q. Is that C-r-a-i-n or K-r A. C-r-a-i-n. Q. That's City IT? A. Right. Q. Okay. A. Shawn Dehaney or Delahanty, something like that. He's from City IT. Diana Scott, myself. Q. Is it Diana or Diane? A. Diana Scott. Q. Okay. A. Myself, Captain Phil Trent, Barb Holleman. That's the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. That is correct. Q. And do you know about what time that meeting would have occurred? A. October of 2011. Q. Okay. Now, what did you do with the list that she showed you at that meeting? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes. Go ahead. A. The list wasn't shown only to me. The list was shared with City IT, call recs, representatives, vendors, and other individuals that were in the meeting as a representation of what was or may be recorded at that particular point in time. Q. So it was distributed among everybody at the meeting, is that what you're saying? A. There were multiple copies made. Q. Were you given a copy? A. Yes. Q. What did you do with your copy? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 . 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I don't know their names. Q. You don't know anybody's name who attended? A. I was trying to explain about the vendors. I don't know their name. Q. Of the people who attended that you did know, can you tell me those? A. I believe Keith Crain from City IT. Q. Is that C-r-a-i-n or K-r A. C-r-a-i-n. Q. That's City IT? A. Right. Q. Okay. A. Shawn Dehaney or Delahanty, something like that. He's from City IT. Diana Scott, myself. Q. Is it Diana or Diane? A. Diana Scott. Q. Okay. A. Myself, Captain Phil Trent, Barb Holleman. That's the only people I can remember. There may have been other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. That is correct. Q. And do you know about what time that meeting would have occurred? A. October of 2011. Q. Okay. Now, what did you do with the list that she showed you at that meeting? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes. Go ahead. A. The list wasn't shown only to me. The list was shared with City IT, call recs, representatives, vendors, and other individuals that were in the meeting as a representation of what was or may be recorded at that particular point in time. Q. So it was distributed among everybody at the meeting, is that what you're saying? A. There were multiple copies made. Q. Were you given a copy? A. Yes. Q. What did you do with your copy? A. It may have gone into a file regarding the call recs 	2 3 4 5 6 7 .8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. I don't know their names. Q. You don't know anybody's name who attended? A. I was trying to explain about the vendors. I don't know their name. Q. Of the people who attended that you did know, can you tell me those? A. I believe Keith Crain from City IT. Q. Is that C-r-a-i-n or K-r A. C-r-a-i-n. Q. That's City IT? A. Right. Q. Okay. A. Shawn Dehaney or Delahanty, something like that. He's from City IT. Diana Scott, myself. Q. Is it Diana or Diane? A. Diana Scott. Q. Okay. A. Myself, Captain Phil Trent, Barb Holleman. That's the only people I can remember. There may have been other people. Right now I just don't remember anyone else.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. That is correct. Q. And do you know about what time that meeting would have occurred? A. October of 2011. Q. Okay. Now, what did you do with the list that she showed you at that meeting? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes. Go ahead. A. The list wasn't shown only to me. The list was shared with City IT, call recs, representatives, vendors, and other individuals that were in the meeting as a representation of what was or may be recorded at that particular point in time. Q. So it was distributed among everybody at the meeting, is that what you're saying? A. There were multiple copies made. Q. Were you given a copy? A. Yes. Q. What did you do with your copy? A. It may have gone into a file regarding the call recs system. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 .8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I don't know their names. Q. You don't know anybody's name who attended? A. I was trying to explain about the vendors. I don't know their name. Q. Of the people who attended that you did know, can you tell me those? A. I believe Keith Crain from City IT. Q. Is that C-r-a-i-n or K-r A. C-r-a-i-n. Q. That's City IT? A. Right. Q. Okay. A. Shawn Dehaney or Delahanty, something like that. He's from City IT. Diana Scott, myself. Q. Is it Diana or Diane? A. Diana Scott. Q. Okay. A. Myself, Captain Phil Trent, Barb Holleman. That's the only people I can remember. There may have been other people. Right now I just don't remember anyone else. Q. Okay. And you testified that this list was multiple
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. That is correct. Q. And do you know about what time that meeting would have occurred? A. October of 2011. Q. Okay. Now, what did you do with the list that she showed you at that meeting? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes. Go ahead. A. The list wasn't shown only to me. The list was shared with City IT, call recs, representatives, vendors, and other individuals that were in the meeting as a representation of what was or may be recorded at that particular point in time. Q. So it was distributed among everybody at the meeting, is that what you're saying? A. There were multiple copies made. Q. Were you given a copy? A. Yes. Q. What did you do with your copy? A. It may have gone into a file regarding the call recs system. Q. The what? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 .8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I don't know their names. Q. You don't know anybody's name who attended? A. I was trying to explain about the vendors. I don't know their name. Q. Of the people who attended that you did know, can you tell me those? A. I believe Keith Crain from City IT. Q. Is that C-r-a-i-n or K-r A. C-r-a-i-n. Q. That's City IT? A. Right. Q. Okay. A. Shawn Dehaney or Delahanty, something like that. He's from City IT. Diana Scott, myself. Q. Is it Diana or Diane? A. Diana Scott. Q. Okay. A. Myself, Captain Phil Trent, Barb Holleman. That's the only people I can remember. There may have been other people. Right now I just don't remember anyone else. Q. Okay. And you testified that this list was multiple copies were made of the list that Diane Scott had and were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. That is correct. Q. And do you know about what time that meeting would have occurred? A. October of 2011. Q. Okay. Now, what did you do with the list that she showed you at that meeting? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes. Go ahead. A. The list wasn't shown only to me. The list was shared with City IT, call recs, representatives, vendors, and other individuals that were in the meeting as a representation of what was or may be recorded at that particular point in time. Q. So it was distributed among everybody at the meeting, is that what you're saying? A. There were multiple copies made. Q. Were you given a copy? A. Yes. Q. What did you do with your copy? A. It may have gone into a file regarding the call recs system. Q. The what? A. Call recs system I believe it's called. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 .8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I don't know their names. Q. You don't know anybody's name who attended? A. I was trying to explain about the vendors. I don't know their name. Q. Of the people who attended that you did know, can you tell me those? A. I believe Keith Crain from City IT. Q. Is that C-r-a-i-n or K-r A. C-r-a-i-n. Q. That's City IT? A. Right. Q. Okay. A. Shawn Dehaney or Delahanty, something like that. He's from City IT. Diana Scott, myself. Q. Is it Diana or Diane? A. Diana Scott. Q. Okay. A. Myself, Captain Phil Trent, Barb Holleman. That's the only people I can remember. There may have been other people. Right now I just don't remember anyone else. Q. Okay. And you testified that this list was multiple copies were made of the list that Diane Scott had and were distributed among these participants; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. That is correct. Q. And do you know about what time that meeting would have occurred? A. October of 2011. Q. Okay. Now, what did you do with the list that she showed you at that meeting? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes. Go ahead. A. The list wasn't shown only to me. The list was shared with City IT, call recs, representatives, vendors, and other individuals that were in the meeting as a representation of what was or may be recorded at that particular point in time. Q. So it was distributed among everybody at the meeting, is that what you're saying? A. There were multiple copies made. Q. Were you given a copy? A. Yes. Q. What did you do with your copy? A. It may have gone into a file regarding the call recs system. Q. The what? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 .8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. I don't know their names. Q. You don't know anybody's name who attended? A. I was trying to explain about the vendors. I don't know their name. Q. Of the people who attended that you did know, can you tell me those? A. I believe Keith Crain from City IT. Q. Is that C-r-a-i-n or K-r A. C-r-a-i-n. Q. That's City IT? A. Right. Q. Okay. A. Shawn Dehaney or Delahanty, something like that. He's from City IT. Diana Scott, myself. Q. Is it Diana or Diane? A. Diana Scott. Q. Okay. A. Myself, Captain Phil Trent, Barb Holleman. That's the only people I can remember. There may have been other people. Right now I just don't remember anyone else. Q. Okay. And you testified that this list was multiple copies were made of the list that Diane Scott had and were

Page 80

1	Da	_	_	7	7
- 1	۲a	П	e	1	7

1

2

3

- this was distributed that this list should remain 1
- confidential? 2
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Not to jump around, but I am going to.
- Do you ever recall receiving or having a telephone 5 conversation with Karen DePaepe which would have occurred 6
- 7 the same day that this e-mail is dated August 29, 2011,
- 8 about the list of recorded telephone lines?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Are you testifying that that conversation would not have occurred, or you just don't recall that conversation? 11
- 12 A. I have no idea if there was a conversation or not.
- 13 Q. Do you recall any time on that day talking with Karen DePaepe about any of the lines that were denoted as 14
- recorded lines? 15
- 16 A. No. sir.
- 17 Q. Do you recall any specific discussions that occurred at
- this meeting we've been talking about in response to any 18
- of the information that was on the list of recorded 19
- 20 telephone lines that Diane Scott distributed among the
- participants? 21
- 22 A. The only thing I remember is that the purpose of this
- 23 production of this list by Diana Scott was to assist us in
- the smooth transition to the VoIP system and what would be-24
- recorded on either the VoIP system or what would be 25

- was a notation on, I guess the second page; and it says for the phone number 245-6031, it says, "Detective Bureau (was division chief now division captain's line)."
- 4 There was a discussion as to 6031, whether -- I know 5 there was a discussion on that number. Whether all of 6 this text was actually laid out exactly like that on that day, I don't know. But I do know the number was something 8 that was of question as to whose line that was.
- 9 Q. Okay. Are you saying that the information or the words that are contained in that parens after detective bureau 10 11 which says was division chief, now division captain's 12 line, are you saying that wasn't on the list you were 13 provided?
- While we sit here, I have no idea whether that was there 14 A. 15 or not. And the reason why is it was a quandary and it 16 was mainly a discussion between Captain Trent and Diana Scott as to the 245-6031 whose line could it be. And if 17 by some chance that parentheses was there and the 18 information that was in the parentheses, it would have 19 20 been quite obvious. But it required - I believe it was Captain's Trent to actually physically call the number to 21 find out whose line that was.
- 23 Q. Did they call the number that was listed as 235-9264?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. Did they call the number that's listed as 235-9263?

Page 78

- recorded on the Dynamic Instrument system.
- 2 Q. Okay. And what was that discussion about?
- 3 A. As to the discussion was what was going to remain on
- the -- as I just mentioned, as to what was going to remain 4
- on the city system -- correction, what was going to remain 5
- 6 on the Dynamic Instrument system versus what was going to
- 7 go on the new city system.
- Q. Okay. And what was the result of that discussion, if any? 8
- Was there a conclusion reached? 9
- 10 A. There was a conclusion that the majority of the lines, or
- 11 I guess I should say not lines, but the positions on the
- first page that's entitled on the top recorded telephone 12
- lines dealing with police, fire, and direct ring-down 13
- lines, the majority of those positions, not lines, was 14
- 15 going to be handled -- would continue to be handled by the
- DI system. 16

17

18

19

- And that the majority of the positions on the second page that's entitled administrative phones and going back to that first page where it says under police, those
- positions, not lines, could be handled by the new VoIP 20 21 system and that the final determination would be by the
- 22 chief of police as to what lines would be recorded or not. 23 Q. Okay. Was there any other discussion with respect to the
- 24 information contained on these lists?
- 25 A. The only other discussions that I do remember was there

- 1 A. No, because those numbers have been there probably before
- I was even a police officer. Those lines were always
- 3 known by us probably for 30 years whose lines they were.
- Q. Well, they were lines that went into the detective bureau, correct?
- 6 A. Yes. Probably for 30 plus years.
- Q. And are you saying you did not recognize the line 245-6031
- as a line going into the detective bureau?
- 9 A. No, not at all.
- Q. Is it safe to say you were not aware that that line was 11 originally placed on the recording system by Chief Thomas 12 Fautz when that line was assigned to Eugene Kyle as division chief for the investigative bureau? I believe at 13 that time it was called investigative bureau. 14

MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes, lacks foundation. Go ahead.

- 17 A. 245-6031, back when Chief Fautz was the chief of police and when this meeting look place in October, I had no 18 19 independent recollection that was Gene Kyle's old number.
- 20 Q. Do you recollect ever having a conversation with Karen 21 DcPaepe between August 29, 2011, and this meeting in
- October of 2011 where you asked Karen DePaepe directly 22
- 23 whether or not Brian Young knew his line was being 24 recorded referencing the 245-6031?
- 25 A. No, I never had a conversation during that time period

15

Page 81

- 1 regarding Brian Young's line.
- 2 Q. Do you recall at the meeting in October 2011 that we've
- been talking about that I think it would have been Phil
- 4 Trent making the comment or asking the question as to
- 5 whether or not Brian Young knew his line was being
- 6 recorded?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And you're saying this only occurred after someone called this line and listened to where it was ringing?
- 10 A. I believe it was relatively sure that it was -- Phil Trent
 11 called the line, which was a very commonsense way to
 12 determine whose line it was because we all looked
 13 dumbfounded as to whose line that could be.

And that was the reason why I have a hard time understanding why we could not have -- we couldn't have figured out among ourselves that it would have been Captain Young's line if whatever was in the parentheses was in the parentheses back in October of 2011.

- 19 Q. Obviously I would assume correctly that if your e-mail,
 20 this e-mail would have been saved, we would have been able
- to get a copy of everything and see whether or not it was sent to you on the 29th or not, of August, correct?
- 23 A. Only if City IT has it. I cannot pull it up.
- 24 Q. Have you tried to pull it up?
- 25 A. Yes.

12

- 1 Q. Okay. And to your recollection, was that questioning recorded in any fashion?
- з A. Yes.
- 4 Q. How was it recorded, to your knowledge, if you know?
- 5 A. Digital recorder.
- 6 Q. Were you questioned more than once, on more than one
- 7 occasion?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Were the participants who questioned you the same?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. The second time -- I'm assuming you described the first
- time you were questioned?
- 13 A. No. I described both the participants both times.
- 14 Q. The first time you were questioned, was that questioning recorded?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Where did that questioning take place?
- A. Federal building in a conference room. You know what, I'msorry. I don't remember where the first one was, whether
- 20 it was at the FBI office or if it was in the federal
- building. I only know where the second one was.
- Q. When you're talking about the federal building, where was that federal building located, here in South Bend?
- 24 A. Here in South Bend.
- 25 Q. Who was present at that first questioning?

Page 82

- 1 Q. When did you try?
- 2 A. Sometime in the last two years. I just don't remember.
- 3 But it's not there. There's a variety of reasons why it
- 4 may not be there. It wasn't because of anything on my
- 5 part, but it is due to the fact that the city switched
- 6 over to new systems. We used to be on Novell, as you can
- 7 tell from the screen; and we're on Outlook.
- 8 Q. Now, you were questioned by certain federal authorities
- 9 dealing with the investigation they conducted on the
- 10 recording system which would have been from January of
- 2012 to May of 2012, correct?
 - MR. SULLIVAN: Compound. Are you asking the dates or whether he was questioned?
- dates or whether he was questioned?Q. Whether he was questioned regarding that investigation. I
- thought that was one question. I tried.Were you questioned during that investigation that
- occurred between those dates?
- 18 A. All I can say is I don't know about between those two dates, but January and February, yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. Who questioned you?
- 21 A. Two members of the Federal Bureau of Investigation,
- Department of Justice, one last name Dane, the other Tim
- 23 Teregan, Terevan, something like that -- I know I'm
- mispronouncing it -- as well as U.S. Attorney Donald
- 25 Schmid.

- Page 84

 1 A. It would just be the two FBI agents, and I believe it was
- on or about February 6 of 2011.
- 3 Q. And was that recorded?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Now, there was a second time?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. When did that take place?
- 8 A. Unfortunately I don't remember.
- 9 Q. Where did that take place?
- 10 A. That one was at the federal building.
- 11 Q. Here in South Bend?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 O. Was that recorded?
- 14 A. Ycs.
- 15 Q. Who was present then?
- ${f 16}$ A. The two FBI agents that I talked about as well as Donald
- 17 Schmid.
- 18 Q. And did you provide any documentation to them in either
- one of the sessions?
- 20 A. In the first session, the purpose of the session was to
- 21 hand over both electronically as well as in hard copy
- various documents that myself as keeper of records for the
- 23 South Bend Police Department had gathered pursuant to a
- 24 subpoena.
- 25 Q. Okay. And is there anywhere that you possess a list of

	y or	South Bend and Pete Buttigleg, et al.			December 17, 2013
		Page 85			Page 87
1		those documents or a recording of what documents you	1	\circ	How many depositions have been taken?
2		turned over to the federal authorities in either one of			At least two, one being by your better half.
3		those sessions?	3	2 1.	MR. SULLIVAN: Counsel, if I may, when the
4	Λ	I don't believe I have a list of the actual documents that	-		witness says two depositions, there were two
ı		were turned over.	4		different times that
5			5		
6	_	Did you retain a copy of the actual documents that were	6		MR. DUERRING: I'm aware of that. I just didn't
7		turned over?	7		know he if reviewed any other
8	A.	I do have some of the documents in hard copy and	8		MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I thought, but I
9	^	electronic that I had turned over.	9	70	wanted to make sure we clarify that.
10	-	And where would they be?	10		BY MR. DUERRING:
11		They would be in my office.	11	Q.	Did you review any other depositions other than the
12		How are they stored?	12		deposition that was taken of yourself?
13		Both digitally and in hard copy.	1	A.	Only myself. I have no other possession of any other
14		Why do you only have some of them?	14	_	depositions.
	A.	Because some documents were handed over directly, I guess,	15	Q.	Were there any other documents that you reviewed in
16		from other individuals to the federal authorities that	16		preparation of the deposition today?
17	_	circumvented the subpoena.	17		MR. SULLIVAN: Subject to my objection and
18		How did that happen, if you know?	18		instruction.
19	A.	It was up to the individual that or individuals that	19	A.	Only other thing I can remember glancing at was the
20		may have talked to the federal authorities to do it	20		subpoena and making note of the date of the federal
21		themselves.	21		subpoena to kind of refresh my memory.
22	Q.	Do you recall whether or not you turned over a copy of the	22	Q.	Any other documents?
23		list of recorded lines that you would have been given as	23	A.	Not as I can remember right offhand.
24		you testified to by Diana Scott at that October of 2011	24	Q.	Okay. I'm going to ask you very specifically because I
25		meeting?	25		respectfully disagree with Mr. Sullivan's exercise of any
1		Page 86			D 00
		1 ago oo			Page 88
1	Α.		1		
	Α.	As we sit here right now, I have no idea whether that was	1 2		work product privilege. If you reviewed a document in
2		As we sit here right now, I have no idea whether that was part of the documentation.	2		work product privilege. If you reviewed a document in preparation of this deposition, I'm entitled to know what
2	Q.	As we sit here right now, I have no idea whether that was part of the documentation. Is there anything that you could review in order to	2 3		work product privilege. If you reviewed a document in preparation of this deposition, I'm entitled to know what that is. That's my position. He may disagree.
2 3 4	Q.	As we sit here right now, I have no idea whether that was part of the documentation. Is there anything that you could review in order to refresh your recollection?	2 3 4		work product privilege. If you reviewed a document in preparation of this deposition, I'm entitled to know what that is. That's my position. He may disagree. So I'm going to ask you, did you review any other
2 3 4 5	Q.	As we sit here right now, I have no idea whether that was part of the documentation. Is there anything that you could review in order to refresh your recollection? Yes. I would have to open the file and/or see if I have a	2 3 4 5		work product privilege. If you reviewed a document in preparation of this deposition, I'm entitled to know what that is. That's my position. He may disagree. So I'm going to ask you, did you review any other documents aside from the subpoena and the transcript of
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	As we sit here right now, I have no idea whether that was part of the documentation. Is there anything that you could review in order to refresh your recollection? Yes. I would have to open the file and/or see if I have a listing of the various documents.	2 3 4 5 6		work product privilege. If you reviewed a document in preparation of this deposition, I'm entitled to know what that is. That's my position. He may disagree. So I'm going to ask you, did you review any other documents aside from the subpoena and the transcript of your depositions as you've indicated here?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	As we sit here right now, I have no idea whether that was part of the documentation. Is there anything that you could review in order to refresh your recollection? Yes. I would have to open the file and/or see if I have a listing of the various documents. As an aside, what documents did you review in order to	2 3 4 5 6 7		work product privilege. If you reviewed a document in preparation of this deposition, I'm entitled to know what that is. That's my position. He may disagree. So I'm going to ask you, did you review any other documents aside from the subpoena and the transcript of your depositions as you've indicated here? MR. SULLIVAN: Let me just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	As we sit here right now, I have no idea whether that was part of the documentation. Is there anything that you could review in order to refresh your recollection? Yes. I would have to open the file and/or see if I have a listing of the various documents. As an aside, what documents did you review in order to prepare for your deposition today?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		work product privilege. If you reviewed a document in preparation of this deposition, I'm entitled to know what that is. That's my position. He may disagree. So I'm going to ask you, did you review any other documents aside from the subpoena and the transcript of your depositions as you've indicated here? MR. SULLIVAN: Let me just MR. DUERRING: It's a yes or no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	As we sit here right now, I have no idea whether that was part of the documentation. Is there anything that you could review in order to refresh your recollection? Yes. I would have to open the file and/or see if I have a listing of the various documents. As an aside, what documents did you review in order to prepare for your deposition today? MR. SULLIVAN: Interpose an objection to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		work product privilege. If you reviewed a document in preparation of this deposition, I'm entitled to know what that is. That's my position. He may disagree. So I'm going to ask you, did you review any other documents aside from the subpoena and the transcript of your depositions as you've indicated here? MR. SULLIVAN: Let me just MR. DUERRING: It's a yes or no. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I want to tell the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	As we sit here right now, I have no idea whether that was part of the documentation. Is there anything that you could review in order to refresh your recollection? Yes. I would have to open the file and/or see if I have a listing of the various documents. As an aside, what documents did you review in order to prepare for your deposition today? MR. SULLIVAN: Interpose an objection to the extent the question asks for any documents reviewed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		work product privilege. If you reviewed a document in preparation of this deposition, I'm entitled to know what that is. That's my position. He may disagree. So I'm going to ask you, did you review any other documents aside from the subpoena and the transcript of your depositions as you've indicated here? MR. SULLIVAN: Let me just MR. DUERRING: It's a yes or no. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I want to tell the witness. Confine your answer to yes or no. He's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	As we sit here right now, I have no idea whether that was part of the documentation. Is there anything that you could review in order to refresh your recollection? Yes. I would have to open the file and/or see if I have a listing of the various documents. As an aside, what documents did you review in order to prepare for your deposition today? MR. SULLIVAN: Interpose an objection to the extent the question asks for any documents reviewed that were part of work product. If he reviewed any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		work product privilege. If you reviewed a document in preparation of this deposition, I'm entitled to know what that is. That's my position. He may disagree. So I'm going to ask you, did you review any other documents aside from the subpoena and the transcript of your depositions as you've indicated here? MR. SULLIVAN: Let me just MR. DUERRING: It's a yes or no. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I want to tell the witness. Confine your answer to yes or no. He's entitled to that.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	As we sit here right now, I have no idea whether that was part of the documentation. Is there anything that you could review in order to refresh your recollection? Yes. I would have to open the file and/or see if I have a listing of the various documents. As an aside, what documents did you review in order to prepare for your deposition today? MR. SULLIVAN: Interpose an objection to the extent the question asks for any documents reviewed that were part of work product. If he reviewed any documents that helped him refresh his recollection on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		work product privilege. If you reviewed a document in preparation of this deposition, I'm entitled to know what that is. That's my position. He may disagree. So I'm going to ask you, did you review any other documents aside from the subpoena and the transcript of your depositions as you've indicated here? MR. SULLIVAN: Let me just MR. DUERRING: It's a yes or no. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I want to tell the witness. Confine your answer to yes or no. He's entitled to that. THE WITNESS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	As we sit here right now, I have no idea whether that was part of the documentation. Is there anything that you could review in order to refresh your recollection? Yes. I would have to open the file and/or see if I have a listing of the various documents. As an aside, what documents did you review in order to prepare for your deposition today? MR. SULLIVAN: Interpose an objection to the extent the question asks for any documents reviewed that were part of work product. If he reviewed any documents that helped him refresh his recollection on any points relevant to the case, he can answer as to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		work product privilege. If you reviewed a document in preparation of this deposition, I'm entitled to know what that is. That's my position. He may disagree. So I'm going to ask you, did you review any other documents aside from the subpoena and the transcript of your depositions as you've indicated here? MR. SULLIVAN: Let me just MR. DUERRING: It's a yes or no. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I want to tell the witness. Confine your answer to yes or no. He's entitled to that. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. DUERRING: Well, the next question is what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q.	As we sit here right now, I have no idea whether that was part of the documentation. Is there anything that you could review in order to refresh your recollection? Yes. I would have to open the file and/or see if I have a listing of the various documents. As an aside, what documents did you review in order to prepare for your deposition today? MR. SULLIVAN: Interpose an objection to the extent the question asks for any documents reviewed that were part of work product. If he reviewed any documents that helped him refresh his recollection on any points relevant to the case, he can answer as to those.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		work product privilege. If you reviewed a document in preparation of this deposition, I'm entitled to know what that is. That's my position. He may disagree. So I'm going to ask you, did you review any other documents aside from the subpoena and the transcript of your depositions as you've indicated here? MR. SULLIVAN: Let me just MR. DUERRING: It's a yes or no. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I want to tell the witness. Confine your answer to yes or no. He's entitled to that. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. DUERRING: Well, the next question is what did he review? And I'm not even sure you know what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q.	As we sit here right now, I have no idea whether that was part of the documentation. Is there anything that you could review in order to refresh your recollection? Yes. I would have to open the file and/or see if I have a listing of the various documents. As an aside, what documents did you review in order to prepare for your deposition today? MR. SULLIVAN: Interpose an objection to the extent the question asks for any documents reviewed that were part of work product. If he reviewed any documents that helped him refresh his recollection on any points relevant to the case, he can answer as to those. As it relates — the only thing I could — that I reviewed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		work product privilege. If you reviewed a document in preparation of this deposition, I'm entitled to know what that is. That's my position. He may disagree. So I'm going to ask you, did you review any other documents aside from the subpoena and the transcript of your depositions as you've indicated here? MR. SULLIVAN: Let me just MR. DUERRING: It's a yes or no. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I want to tell the witness. Confine your answer to yes or no. He's entitled to that. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. DUERRING: Well, the next question is what did he review? And I'm not even sure you know what that is. What did he review?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q.	As we sit here right now, I have no idea whether that was part of the documentation. Is there anything that you could review in order to refresh your recollection? Yes. I would have to open the file and/or see if I have a listing of the various documents. As an aside, what documents did you review in order to prepare for your deposition today? MR. SULLIVAN: Interpose an objection to the extent the question asks for any documents reviewed that were part of work product. If he reviewed any documents that helped him refresh his recollection on any points relevant to the case, he can answer as to those. As it relates — the only thing I could — that I reviewed as it relates to this case was — well, to refresh my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		work product privilege. If you reviewed a document in preparation of this deposition, I'm entitled to know what that is. That's my position. He may disagree. So I'm going to ask you, did you review any other documents aside from the subpoena and the transcript of your depositions as you've indicated here? MR. SULLIVAN: Let me just MR. DUERRING: It's a yes or no. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I want to tell the witness. Confine your answer to yes or no. He's entitled to that. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. DUERRING: Well, the next question is what did he review? And I'm not even sure you know what that is. What did he review? What did you review?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q.	As we sit here right now, I have no idea whether that was part of the documentation. Is there anything that you could review in order to refresh your recollection? Yes. I would have to open the file and/or see if I have a listing of the various documents. As an aside, what documents did you review in order to prepare for your deposition today? MR. SULLIVAN: Interpose an objection to the extent the question asks for any documents reviewed that were part of work product. If he reviewed any documents that helped him refresh his recollection on any points relevant to the case, he can answer as to those. As it relates — the only thing I could — that I reviewed as it relates to this case was — well, to refresh my recollection, over 150 pages of deposition that has been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		work product privilege. If you reviewed a document in preparation of this deposition, I'm entitled to know what that is. That's my position. He may disagree. So I'm going to ask you, did you review any other documents aside from the subpoena and the transcript of your depositions as you've indicated here? MR. SULLIVAN: Let me just MR. DUERRING: It's a yes or no. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I want to tell the witness. Confine your answer to yes or no. He's entitled to that. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. DUERRING: Well, the next question is what did he review? And I'm not even sure you know what that is. What did he review? What did you review? MR. SULLIVAN: Object, calling for him to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q.	As we sit here right now, I have no idea whether that was part of the documentation. Is there anything that you could review in order to refresh your recollection? Yes. I would have to open the file and/or see if I have a listing of the various documents. As an aside, what documents did you review in order to prepare for your deposition today? MR. SULLIVAN: Interpose an objection to the extent the question asks for any documents reviewed that were part of work product. If he reviewed any documents that helped him refresh his recollection on any points relevant to the case, he can answer as to those. As it relates — the only thing I could — that I reviewed as it relates to this case was — well, to refresh my recollection, over 150 pages of deposition that has been taken by various counsel over two other depositions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		work product privilege. If you reviewed a document in preparation of this deposition, I'm entitled to know what that is. That's my position. He may disagree. So I'm going to ask you, did you review any other documents aside from the subpoena and the transcript of your depositions as you've indicated here? MR. SULLIVAN: Let me just MR. DUERRING: It's a yes or no. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I want to tell the witness. Confine your answer to yes or no. He's entitled to that. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. DUERRING: Well, the next question is what did he review? And I'm not even sure you know what that is. What did he review? What did you review? MR. SULLIVAN: Object, calling for him to describe documents that he reviewed with me that are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A.	As we sit here right now, I have no idea whether that was part of the documentation. Is there anything that you could review in order to refresh your recollection? Yes. I would have to open the file and/or see if I have a listing of the various documents. As an aside, what documents did you review in order to prepare for your deposition today? MR. SULLIVAN: Interpose an objection to the extent the question asks for any documents reviewed that were part of work product. If he reviewed any documents that helped him refresh his recollection on any points relevant to the case, he can answer as to those. As it relates — the only thing I could — that I reviewed as it relates to this case was — well, to refresh my recollection, over 150 pages of deposition that has been taken by various counsel over two other depositions. Which two depositions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		work product privilege. If you reviewed a document in preparation of this deposition, I'm entitled to know what that is. That's my position. He may disagree. So I'm going to ask you, did you review any other documents aside from the subpoena and the transcript of your depositions as you've indicated here? MR. SULLIVAN: Let me just MR. DUERRING: It's a yes or no. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I want to tell the witness. Confine your answer to yes or no. He's entitled to that. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. DUERRING: Well, the next question is what did he review? And I'm not even sure you know what that is. What did he review? What did you review? MR. SULLIVAN: Object, calling for him to describe documents that he reviewed with me that are unrelated to refreshing his recollection, reveals
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A.	As we sit here right now, I have no idea whether that was part of the documentation. Is there anything that you could review in order to refresh your recollection? Yes. I would have to open the file and/or see if I have a listing of the various documents. As an aside, what documents did you review in order to prepare for your deposition today? MR. SULLIVAN: Interpose an objection to the extent the question asks for any documents reviewed that were part of work product. If he reviewed any documents that helped him refresh his recollection on any points relevant to the case, he can answer as to those. As it relates — the only thing I could — that I reviewed as it relates to this case was — well, to refresh my recollection, over 150 pages of deposition that has been taken by various counsel over two other depositions. Which two depositions? Two depositions that took place at Attorney Pfeifer's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		work product privilege. If you reviewed a document in preparation of this deposition, I'm entitled to know what that is. That's my position. He may disagree. So I'm going to ask you, did you review any other documents aside from the subpoena and the transcript of your depositions as you've indicated here? MR. SULLIVAN: Let me just MR. DUERRING: It's a yes or no. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I want to tell the witness. Confine your answer to yes or no. He's entitled to that. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. DUERRING: Well, the next question is what did he review? And I'm not even sure you know what that is. What did he review? What did you review? MR. SULLIVAN: Object, calling for him to describe documents that he reviewed with me that are unrelated to refreshing his recollection, reveals work product. And based upon that, I'm going to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. A.	As we sit here right now, I have no idea whether that was part of the documentation. Is there anything that you could review in order to refresh your recollection? Yes. I would have to open the file and/or see if I have a listing of the various documents. As an aside, what documents did you review in order to prepare for your deposition today? MR. SULLIVAN: Interpose an objection to the extent the question asks for any documents reviewed that were part of work product. If he reviewed any documents that helped him refresh his recollection on any points relevant to the case, he can answer as to those. As it relates — the only thing I could — that I reviewed as it relates to this case was — well, to refresh my recollection, over 150 pages of deposition that has been taken by various counsel over two other depositions. Which two depositions that took place at Attorney Pfeifer's office.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		work product privilege. If you reviewed a document in preparation of this deposition, I'm entitled to know what that is. That's my position. He may disagree. So I'm going to ask you, did you review any other documents aside from the subpoena and the transcript of your depositions as you've indicated here? MR. SULLIVAN: Let me just MR. DUERRING: It's a yes or no. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I want to tell the witness. Confine your answer to yes or no. He's entitled to that. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. DUERRING: Well, the next question is what did he review? And I'm not even sure you know what that is. What did he review? What did you review? MR. SULLIVAN: Object, calling for him to describe documents that he reviewed with me that are unrelated to refreshing his recollection, reveals work product. And based upon that, I'm going to instruct the witness not to answer. And let's go off
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. Q.	As we sit here right now, I have no idea whether that was part of the documentation. Is there anything that you could review in order to refresh your recollection? Yes. I would have to open the file and/or see if I have a listing of the various documents. As an aside, what documents did you review in order to prepare for your deposition today? MR. SULLIVAN: Interpose an objection to the extent the question asks for any documents reviewed that were part of work product. If he reviewed any documents that helped him refresh his recollection on any points relevant to the case, he can answer as to those. As it relates — the only thing I could — that I reviewed as it relates to this case was — well, to refresh my recollection, over 150 pages of deposition that has been taken by various counsel over two other depositions. Which two depositions that took place at Attorney Pfeifer's office. Of whom?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		work product privilege. If you reviewed a document in preparation of this deposition, I'm entitled to know what that is. That's my position. He may disagree. So I'm going to ask you, did you review any other documents aside from the subpoena and the transcript of your depositions as you've indicated here? MR. SULLIVAN: Let me just MR. DUERRING: It's a yes or no. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I want to tell the witness. Confine your answer to yes or no. He's entitled to that. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. DUERRING: Well, the next question is what did he review? And I'm not even sure you know what that is. What did he review? What did you review? MR. SULLIVAN: Object, calling for him to describe documents that he reviewed with me that are unrelated to refreshing his recollection, reveals work product. And based upon that, I'm going to instruct the witness not to answer. And let's go off the record just briefly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	As we sit here right now, I have no idea whether that was part of the documentation. Is there anything that you could review in order to refresh your recollection? Yes. I would have to open the file and/or see if I have a listing of the various documents. As an aside, what documents did you review in order to prepare for your deposition today? MR. SULLIVAN: Interpose an objection to the extent the question asks for any documents reviewed that were part of work product. If he reviewed any documents that helped him refresh his recollection on any points relevant to the case, he can answer as to those. As it relates — the only thing I could — that I reviewed as it relates to this case was — well, to refresh my recollection, over 150 pages of deposition that has been taken by various counsel over two other depositions. Which two depositions? Two depositions that took place at Attorney Pfeifer's office. Of whom? I'm sorry, of me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		work product privilege. If you reviewed a document in preparation of this deposition, I'm entitled to know what that is. That's my position. He may disagree. So I'm going to ask you, did you review any other documents aside from the subpoena and the transcript of your depositions as you've indicated here? MR. SULLIVAN: Let me just MR. DUERRING: It's a yes or no. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I want to tell the witness. Confine your answer to yes or no. He's entitled to that. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. DUERRING: Well, the next question is what did he review? And I'm not even sure you know what that is. What did he review? What did you review? MR. SULLIVAN: Object, calling for him to describe documents that he reviewed with me that are unrelated to refreshing his recollection, reveals work product. And based upon that, I'm going to instruct the witness not to answer. And let's go off the record just briefly. (Discussion held off the record.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	As we sit here right now, I have no idea whether that was part of the documentation. Is there anything that you could review in order to refresh your recollection? Yes. I would have to open the file and/or see if I have a listing of the various documents. As an aside, what documents did you review in order to prepare for your deposition today? MR. SULLIVAN: Interpose an objection to the extent the question asks for any documents reviewed that were part of work product. If he reviewed any documents that helped him refresh his recollection on any points relevant to the case, he can answer as to those. As it relates — the only thing I could — that I reviewed as it relates to this case was — well, to refresh my recollection, over 150 pages of deposition that has been taken by various counsel over two other depositions. Which two depositions? Two depositions that took place at Attorney Pfeifer's office. Of whom? I'm sorry, of me. Of you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		work product privilege. If you reviewed a document in preparation of this deposition, I'm entitled to know what that is. That's my position. He may disagree. So I'm going to ask you, did you review any other documents aside from the subpoena and the transcript of your depositions as you've indicated here? MR. SULLIVAN: Let me just MR. DUERRING: It's a yes or no. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I want to tell the witness. Confine your answer to yes or no. He's entitled to that. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. DUERRING: Well, the next question is what did he review? And I'm not even sure you know what that is. What did he review? What did you review? MR. SULLIVAN: Object, calling for him to describe documents that he reviewed with me that are unrelated to refreshing his recollection, reveals work product. And based upon that, I'm going to instruct the witness not to answer. And let's go off the record just briefly. (Discussion held off the record.) (Recess taken.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	As we sit here right now, I have no idea whether that was part of the documentation. Is there anything that you could review in order to refresh your recollection? Yes. I would have to open the file and/or see if I have a listing of the various documents. As an aside, what documents did you review in order to prepare for your deposition today? MR. SULLIVAN: Interpose an objection to the extent the question asks for any documents reviewed that were part of work product. If he reviewed any documents that helped him refresh his recollection on any points relevant to the case, he can answer as to those. As it relates — the only thing I could — that I reviewed as it relates to this case was — well, to refresh my recollection, over 150 pages of deposition that has been taken by various counsel over two other depositions. Which two depositions? Two depositions that took place at Attorney Pfeifer's office. Of whom? I'm sorry, of me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		work product privilege. If you reviewed a document in preparation of this deposition, I'm entitled to know what that is. That's my position. He may disagree. So I'm going to ask you, did you review any other documents aside from the subpoena and the transcript of your depositions as you've indicated here? MR. SULLIVAN: Let me just MR. DUERRING: It's a yes or no. MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I want to tell the witness. Confine your answer to yes or no. He's entitled to that. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. DUERRING: Well, the next question is what did he review? And I'm not even sure you know what that is. What did he review? What did you review? MR. SULLIVAN: Object, calling for him to describe documents that he reviewed with me that are unrelated to refreshing his recollection, reveals work product. And based upon that, I'm going to instruct the witness not to answer. And let's go off the record just briefly. (Discussion held off the record.)

	y of South Deng and I cic Dutilgieg, et al.	December 17, 201.
	Page 89	Page 91
1	answer the question what document he reviewed with	1 A. Yes.
2	me, what other document he reviewed with me; and it's	2 Q. But you did not review that report in preparation for the
3	not a waiver of any work product. I would assert the	3 deposition?
4	privilege as to any follow-up questions about that	4 A. No.
5	document in the context of his meeting with me.	5 Q. Now, as I understand it, you had made a file, a Word file
6	Okay.	6 listing the items given to the federal authorities; is
7	MR. DUERRING: Okay.	7 that correct?
8	MR. SULLIVAN: And I would instruct the witness	8 A. Yes.
9	not to answer any questions about the discussion of	9 Q. Do you still have that Word document?
10	that document with me.	10 A. As I mentioned earlier, I could not find it.
11	MR. DUERRING: That's fine.	11 Q. You indicated you made a book of all the hard copies. Do
12	MR. SULLIVAN: But the conversation also revealed	you have a copy of that book?
13	that there was a category of documents that I assumed	13 A. No.
14	he was referring to in a meeting with us, and it	14 Q. Who has that book?
15	wasn't and that's not privileged, and I'll let him clarify that as well.	15 A. The Federal Bureau of Investigation. 16 Q. Now, do you know the reason why the department was
16 17	BY MR. DUERRING:	considering transferring or moving over to what I think
18	Q. All right.	you referred to as a VoIP system?
	A. In preparation for this deposition, I had looked on my	19 MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, lack of foundation. Go
20	city computer under electronic files, and I was looking	20 ahead.
21	for a listing of items handed over to the federal	21 A. The reason why is primarily cost savings.
22	authorities. It was a Word document that I prepared. And	22 Q. Do you know in what way it would be a cost savings move?
23	that kind of listed out all the items I had given to the	MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, lack of foundation. Go
24	federal authorities.	24 ahead.
25	Again, like I said, it was a Word document. And it	25 A. It would be a large cost savings due to the fact that we
	Page 90	Page 92
1	appeared that I didn't save it on the file because I	
-		1 were we had the potential to transition from Centrex
2	**	were we had the potential to transition from Centrex business lines, which are quite costly, to a VoIP system
3.	printed it out, made a book with - a three-hole punched	were we had the potential to transition from Centrex business lines, which are quite costly, to a VoIP system housed, managed by outside companies.
	printed it out, made a book with a three-hole punched	business lines, which are quite costly, to a VoIP system
3 .	printed it out, made a book with — a three-hole punched book with all the hard copy documents that I turned over to them. And it appeared that I didn't keep a copy to review and everything was just handed over to them along	 business lines, which are quite costly, to a VoIP system housed, managed by outside companies. From what I remember during the initial preliminary planning meetings with IT and when they were looking at
3 .	printed it out, made a book with a three-hole punched book with all the hard copy documents that I turned over to them. And it appeared that I didn't keep a copy to review and everything was just handed over to them along with the pertinent sections of the pertinent	business lines, which are quite costly, to a VoIP system housed, managed by outside companies. From what I remember during the initial preliminary planning meetings with IT and when they were looking at selecting various vendors, I remember it was to be over
3 . 4 5	printed it out, made a book with a three-hole punched book with all the hard copy documents that I turned over to them. And it appeared that I didn't keep a copy to review and everything was just handed over to them along with the pertinent sections of the pertinent print-outs.	business lines, which are quite costly, to a VoIP system housed, managed by outside companies. From what I remember during the initial preliminary planning meetings with IT and when they were looking at selecting various vendors, I remember it was to be over several years it would result in several hundreds of
3 . 4 . 5 . 6	printed it out, made a book with — a three-hole punched book with all the hard copy documents that I turned over to them. And it appeared that I didn't keep a copy to review and everything was just handed over to them along with the pertinent sections of the — pertinent print-outs. And that was the only thing that I went to look for,	business lines, which are quite costly, to a VoIP system housed, managed by outside companies. From what I remember during the initial preliminary planning meetings with IT and when they were looking at selecting various vendors, I remember it was to be over several years it would result in several hundreds of thousands of dollars worth of savings to the city of South
3 , 4 , 5 , 6 , 7 , 8 , 9	printed it out, made a book with — a three-hole punched book with all the hard copy documents that I turned over to them. And it appeared that I didn't keep a copy to review and everything was just handed over to them along with the pertinent sections of the — pertinent print-outs. And that was the only thing that I went to look for, and I didn't see it because I was kind of thinking —	business lines, which are quite costly, to a VoIP system housed, managed by outside companies. From what I remember during the initial preliminary planning meetings with IT and when they were looking at selecting various vendors, I remember it was to be over several years it would result in several hundreds of thousands of dollars worth of savings to the city of South Bend.
3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9,	printed it out, made a book with — a three-hole punched book with all the hard copy documents that I turned over to them. And it appeared that I didn't keep a copy to review and everything was just handed over to them along with the pertinent sections of the — pertinent print-outs. And that was the only thing that I went to look for, and I didn't see it because I was kind of thinking — wondering what you were going to ask and try to be	business lines, which are quite costly, to a VoIP system housed, managed by outside companies. From what I remember during the initial preliminary planning meetings with IT and when they were looking at selecting various vendors, I remember it was to be over several years it would result in several hundreds of thousands of dollars worth of savings to the city of South Bend. Do you recall Karen DePaepe ever reporting to you that the
3 . 4 . 5 . 6 . 7 . 8 . 9 . 10 .	printed it out, made a book with — a three-hole punched book with all the hard copy documents that I turned over to them. And it appeared that I didn't keep a copy to review and everything was just handed over to them along with the pertinent sections of the — pertinent print-outs. And that was the only thing that I went to look for, and I didn't see it because I was kind of thinking — wondering what you were going to ask and try to be prepared. So that's what I tried to do in preparation,	business lines, which are quite costly, to a VoIP system housed, managed by outside companies. From what I remember during the initial preliminary planning meetings with IT and when they were looking at selecting various vendors, I remember it was to be over several years it would result in several hundreds of thousands of dollars worth of savings to the city of South Bend. Dynamic system and Reliant system was malfunctioning?
3 . 4 . 5 . 6 . 7 . 8 . 9 . 10 . 11 .	printed it out, made a book with — a three-hole punched book with all the hard copy documents that I turned over to them. And it appeared that I didn't keep a copy to review and everything was just handed over to them along with the pertinent sections of the — pertinent print-outs. And that was the only thing that I went to look for, and I didn't see it because I was kind of thinking — wondering what you were going to ask and try to be prepared. So that's what I tried to do in preparation, but it wasn't there.	business lines, which are quite costly, to a VoIP system housed, managed by outside companies. From what I remember during the initial preliminary planning meetings with IT and when they were looking at selecting various vendors, I remember it was to be over several years it would result in several hundreds of thousands of dollars worth of savings to the city of South Bend. Do you recall Karen DePaepe ever reporting to you that the Dynamic system and Reliant system was malfunctioning? A. Yes.
3, 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	printed it out, made a book with — a three-hole punched book with all the hard copy documents that I turned over to them. And it appeared that I didn't keep a copy to review and everything was just handed over to them along with the pertinent sections of the — pertinent print-outs. And that was the only thing that I went to look for, and I didn't see it because I was kind of thinking — wondering what you were going to ask and try to be prepared. So that's what I tried to do in preparation, but it wasn't there. Q. Okay. Anything else, any other documents?	business lines, which are quite costly, to a VoIP system housed, managed by outside companies. From what I remember during the initial preliminary planning meetings with IT and when they were looking at selecting various vendors, I remember it was to be over several years it would result in several hundreds of thousands of dollars worth of savings to the city of South Bend. Do you recall Karen DePaepe ever reporting to you that the Dynamic system and Reliant system was malfunctioning? A. Yes. On you recall her indicating to you that the system had
3, 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	printed it out, made a book with — a three-hole punched book with all the hard copy documents that I turned over to them. And it appeared that I didn't keep a copy to review and everything was just handed over to them along with the pertinent sections of the — pertinent print-outs. And that was the only thing that I went to look for, and I didn't see it because I was kind of thinking — wondering what you were going to ask and try to be prepared. So that's what I tried to do in preparation, but it wasn't there. Q. Okay. Anything else, any other documents? A. The only other document was a document sent by Ed	business lines, which are quite costly, to a VoIP system housed, managed by outside companies. From what I remember during the initial preliminary planning meetings with IT and when they were looking at selecting various vendors, I remember it was to be over several years it would result in several hundreds of thousands of dollars worth of savings to the city of South Bend. Do you recall Karen DePaepe ever reporting to you that the Dynamic system and Reliant system was malfunctioning? A. Yes. O Do you recall her indicating to you that the system had been malfunctioning in 2010?
3, 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	printed it out, made a book with —a three-hole punched book with all the hard copy documents that I turned over to them. And it appeared that I didn't keep a copy to review and everything was just handed over to them along with the pertinent sections of the — pertinent print-outs. And that was the only thing that I went to look for, and I didn't see it because I was kind of thinking — wondering what you were going to ask and try to be prepared. So that's what I tried to do in preparation, but it wasn't there. Q. Okay. Anything else, any other documents? A. The only other document was a document sent by Ed Sullivan, sitting to the left of me, to myself as — it	business lines, which are quite costly, to a VoIP system housed, managed by outside companies. From what I remember during the initial preliminary planning meetings with IT and when they were looking at selecting various vendors, I remember it was to be over several years it would result in several hundreds of thousands of dollars worth of savings to the city of South Bend. Do you recall Karen DePaepe ever reporting to you that the Dynamic system and Reliant system was malfunctioning? A. Yes. Do you recall her indicating to you that the system had been malfunctioning in 2010? A. The actual dates I really couldn't say that she may have
3, 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	printed it out, made a book with —a three-hole punched book with all the hard copy documents that I turned over to them. And it appeared that I didn't keep a copy to review and everything was just handed over to them along with the pertinent sections of the — pertinent print-outs. And that was the only thing that I went to look for, and I didn't see it because I was kind of thinking — wondering what you were going to ask and try to be prepared. So that's what I tried to do in preparation, but it wasn't there. Q. Okay. Anything else, any other documents? A. The only other document was a document sent by Ed Sullivan, sitting to the left of me, to myself as — it was an officer report of Karen DePaepe with redactions	business lines, which are quite costly, to a VoIP system housed, managed by outside companies. From what I remember during the initial preliminary planning meetings with IT and when they were looking at selecting various vendors, I remember it was to be over several years it would result in several hundreds of thousands of dollars worth of savings to the city of South Bend. Do you recall Karen DePaepe ever reporting to you that the Dynamic system and Reliant system was malfunctioning? A. Yes. Do you recall her indicating to you that the system had been malfunctioning in 2010? A. The actual dates I really couldn't say that she may have told me. When it was, I do know a couple occasions, at
3, 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	printed it out, made a book with — a three-hole punched book with all the hard copy documents that I turned over to them. And it appeared that I didn't keep a copy to review and everything was just handed over to them along with the pertinent sections of the — pertinent print-outs. And that was the only thing that I went to look for, and I didn't see it because I was kind of thinking — wondering what you were going to ask and try to be prepared. So that's what I tried to do in preparation, but it wasn't there. Q. Okay. Anything else, any other documents? A. The only other document was a document sent by Ed Sullivan, sitting to the left of me, to myself as — it was an officer report of Karen DePaepe with redactions that was dated January of 2012 for me to review because I	business lines, which are quite costly, to a VoIP system housed, managed by outside companies. From what I remember during the initial preliminary planning meetings with IT and when they were looking at selecting various vendors, I remember it was to be over several years it would result in several hundreds of thousands of dollars worth of savings to the city of South Bend. Do you recall Karen DePaepe ever reporting to you that the Dynamic system and Reliant system was malfunctioning? A. Yes. Do you recall her indicating to you that the system had been malfunctioning in 2010? A. The actual dates I really couldn't say that she may have told me. When it was, I do know a couple occasions, at least two occasions that there was malfunctions, either
3 . 4 . 5 . 6 . 7 . 8 . 9 . 10 . 11 . 12 . 13 . 14 . 15 . 16 . 17 . 18	printed it out, made a book with — a three-hole punched book with all the hard copy documents that I turned over to them. And it appeared that I didn't keep a copy to review and everything was just handed over to them along with the pertinent sections of the — pertinent print-outs. And that was the only thing that I went to look for, and I didn't see it because I was kind of thinking — wondering what you were going to ask and try to be prepared. So that's what I tried to do in preparation, but it wasn't there. Q. Okay. Anything else, any other documents? A. The only other document was a document sent by Ed Sullivan, sitting to the left of me, to myself as — it was an officer report of Karen DePaepe with redactions that was dated January of 2012 for me to review because I had been shown that particular officer report by her—	business lines, which are quite costly, to a VoIP system housed, managed by outside companies. From what I remember during the initial preliminary planning meetings with IT and when they were looking at selecting various vendors, I remember it was to be over several years it would result in several hundreds of thousands of dollars worth of savings to the city of South Bend. Do you recall Karen DePaepe ever reporting to you that the Dynamic system and Reliant system was malfunctioning? A. Yes. On you recall her indicating to you that the system had been malfunctioning in 2010? A. The actual dates I really couldn't say that she may have told me. When it was, I do know a couple occasions, at least two occasions that there was malfunctions, either with the DVD drive and/or hard drive.
3, 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	printed it out, made a book with — a three-hole punched book with all the hard copy documents that I turned over to them. And it appeared that I didn't keep a copy to review and everything was just handed over to them along with the pertinent sections of the — pertinent print-outs. And that was the only thing that I went to look for, and I didn't see it because I was kind of thinking — wondering what you were going to ask and try to be prepared. So that's what I tried to do in preparation, but it wasn't there. Q. Okay. Anything else, any other documents? A. The only other document was a document sent by Ed Sullivan, sitting to the left of me, to myself as — it was an officer report of Karen DePaepe with redactions that was dated January of 2012 for me to review because I	business lines, which are quite costly, to a VoIP system housed, managed by outside companies. From what I remember during the initial preliminary planning meetings with IT and when they were looking at selecting various vendors, I remember it was to be over several years it would result in several hundreds of thousands of dollars worth of savings to the city of South Bend. Do you recall Karen DePaepe ever reporting to you that the Dynamic system and Reliant system was malfunctioning? A. Yes. On you recall her indicating to you that the system had been malfunctioning in 2010? A. The actual dates I really couldn't say that she may have told me. When it was, I do know a couple occasions, at least two occasions that there was malfunctions, either with the DVD drive and/or hard drive. Okay. And is it safe to assume that it was within her
3. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	printed it out, made a book with — a three-hole punched book with all the hard copy documents that I turned over to them. And it appeared that I didn't keep a copy to review and everything was just handed over to them along with the pertinent sections of the — pertinent print-outs. And that was the only thing that I went to look for, and I didn't see it because I was kind of thinking — wondering what you were going to ask and try to be prepared. So that's what I tried to do in preparation, but it wasn't there. Q. Okay. Anything else, any other documents? A. The only other document was a document sent by Ed Sullivan, sitting to the left of me, to myself as — it was an officer report of Karen DePaepe with redactions that was dated January of 2012 for me to review because I had been shown that particular officer report by her—sometime after the date of that document. And I was told	business lines, which are quite costly, to a VoIP system housed, managed by outside companies. From what I remember during the initial preliminary planning meetings with IT and when they were looking at selecting various vendors, I remember it was to be over several years it would result in several hundreds of thousands of dollars worth of savings to the city of South Bend. Do you recall Karen DePaepe ever reporting to you that the Dynamic system and Reliant system was malfunctioning? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall her indicating to you that the system had been malfunctioning in 2010? A. The actual dates I really couldn't say that she may have told me. When it was, I do know a couple occasions, at least two occasions that there was malfunctions, either with the DVD drive and/or hard drive. Okay. And is it safe to assume that it was within her
3, 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	printed it out, made a book with — a three-hole punched book with all the hard copy documents that I turned over to them. And it appeared that I didn't keep a copy to review and everything was just handed over to them along with the pertinent sections of the — pertinent print-outs. And that was the only thing that I went to look for, and I didn't see it because I was kind of thinking — wondering what you were going to ask and try to be prepared. So that's what I tried to do in preparation, but it wasn't there. Q. Okay. Anything else, any other documents? A. The only other document was a document sent by Ed Sullivan, sitting to the left of me, to myself as — it was an officer report of Karen DePaepe with redactions that was dated January of 2012 for me to review because I had been shown that particular officer report by her—sometime after the date of that document. And I was told to review it and destroy it.	business lines, which are quite costly, to a VoIP system housed, managed by outside companies. From what I remember during the initial preliminary planning meetings with IT and when they were looking at selecting various vendors, I remember it was to be over several years it would result in several hundreds of thousands of dollars worth of savings to the city of South Bend. Do you recall Karen DePaepe ever reporting to you that the Dynamic system and Reliant system was malfunctioning? A. Yes. O Do you recall her indicating to you that the system had been malfunctioning in 2010? A. The actual dates I really couldn't say that she may have told me. When it was, I do know a couple occasions, at least two occasions that there was malfunctions, either with the DVD drive and/or hard drive. When it safe to assume that it was within her responsibilities to make sure the system was repaired
3, 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	printed it out, made a book with — a three-hole punched book with all the hard copy documents that I turned over to them. And it appeared that I didn't keep a copy to review and everything was just handed over to them along with the pertinent sections of the — pertinent print-outs. And that was the only thing that I went to look for, and I didn't see it because I was kind of thinking — wondering what you were going to ask and try to be prepared. So that's what I tried to do in preparation, but it wasn't there. Q. Okay. Anything else, any other documents? A. The only other document was a document sent by Ed Sullivan, sitting to the left of me, to myself as — it was an officer report of Karen DePaepe with redactions that was dated January of 2012 for me to review because I had been shown that particular officer report by her—sometime after the date of that document. And I was told to review it and destroy it. Q. Any other documents? A. No. Q. Did you ever see an officer's report generated by Diane	business lines, which are quite costly, to a VoIP system housed, managed by outside companies. From what I remember during the initial preliminary planning meetings with IT and when they were looking at selecting various vendors, I remember it was to be over several years it would result in several hundreds of thousands of dollars worth of savings to the city of South Bend. Do you recall Karen DePaepe ever reporting to you that the Dynamic system and Reliant system was malfunctioning? A. Yes. Do you recall her indicating to you that the system had been malfunctioning in 2010? A. The actual dates I really couldn't say that she may have told me. When it was, I do know a couple occasions, at least two occasions that there was malfunctions, either with the DVD drive and/or hard drive. Q. Okay. And is it safe to assume that it was within her responsibilities to make sure the system was repaired correctly? A. It would be her responsibility to contact the Steven Campbell & Associates, which is the party that we have an
3, 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	printed it out, made a book with — a three-hole punched book with all the hard copy documents that I turned over to them. And it appeared that I didn't keep a copy to review and everything was just handed over to them along with the pertinent sections of the — pertinent print-outs. And that was the only thing that I went to look for, and I didn't see it because I was kind of thinking — wondering what you were going to ask and try to be prepared. So that's what I tried to do in preparation, but it wasn't there. Q. Okay. Anything else, any other documents? A. The only other document was a document sent by Ed Sullivan, sitting to the left of me, to myself as — it was an officer report of Karen DePaepe with redactions that was dated January of 2012 for me to review because I had been shown that particular officer report by her—sometime after the date of that document. And I was told to review it and destroy it. Q. Any other documents? A. No. Q. Did you ever see an officer's report generated by Diane Scott detailing what occurred during the meeting that you	business lines, which are quite costly, to a VoIP system housed, managed by outside companies. From what I remember during the initial preliminary planning meetings with IT and when they were looking at selecting various vendors, I remember it was to be over several years it would result in several hundreds of thousands of dollars worth of savings to the city of South Bend. Do you recall Karen DePaepe ever reporting to you that the Dynamic system and Reliant system was malfunctioning? A. Yes. Do you recall her indicating to you that the system had been malfunctioning in 2010? A. The actual dates I really couldn't say that she may have told me. When it was, I do know a couple occasions, at least two occasions that there was malfunctions, either with the DVD drive and/or hard drive. Q. Okay. And is it safe to assume that it was within her responsibilities to make sure the system was repaired correctly? A. It would be her responsibility to contact the Steven Campbell & Associates, which is the party that we have an agreement with, IEA, maintenance agreement, to have it
3, 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	printed it out, made a book with — a three-hole punched book with all the hard copy documents that I turned over to them. And it appeared that I didn't keep a copy to review and everything was just handed over to them along with the pertinent sections of the — pertinent print-outs. And that was the only thing that I went to look for, and I didn't see it because I was kind of thinking — wondering what you were going to ask and try to be prepared. So that's what I tried to do in preparation, but it wasn't there. Q. Okay. Anything else, any other documents? A. The only other document was a document sent by Ed Sullivan, sitting to the left of me, to myself as — it was an officer report of Karen DePaepe with redactions that was dated January of 2012 for me to review because I had been shown that particular officer report by her—sometime after the date of that document. And I was told to review it and destroy it. Q. Any other documents? A. No. Q. Did you ever see an officer's report generated by Diane	business lines, which are quite costly, to a VoIP system housed, managed by outside companies. From what I remember during the initial preliminary planning meetings with IT and when they were looking at selecting various vendors, I remember it was to be over several years it would result in several hundreds of thousands of dollars worth of savings to the city of South Bend. Do you recall Karen DePaepe ever reporting to you that the Dynamic system and Reliant system was malfunctioning? A. Yes. Do you recall her indicating to you that the system had been malfunctioning in 2010? A. The actual dates I really couldn't say that she may have told me. When it was, I do know a couple occasions, at least two occasions that there was malfunctions, either with the DVD drive and/or hard drive. Q. Okay. And is it safe to assume that it was within her responsibilities to make sure the system was repaired correctly? A. It would be her responsibility to contact the Steven Campbell & Associates, which is the party that we have an

			Page 93		Page 95
	1	Q. So is it your testimony that re	egardless of what the	1	say, October 1, 2011?
	2	malfunction was, she was to conta			The only lines that I-could positively say that I knew a
	3	Associates to have it fixed?	• 1		hundred percent were recorded would have been the front
	4	A. No. It depends on what the m		4	desk lines through a series of 235-9201 through and
	5	Q. So my question was more gener			including 206. I knew those lines were recorded, no if's,
	6	malfunction, she was responsible f		6	and's, or but's.
	7	necessary steps were taken to ge	_	7	But as it relates to internal affairs, through and
	8	operating as you say within the		8	including which lines in the detective bureau were
	وا	A. Yes.		9	recorded, that was between the director of communications
	10	Q. And that may include calling Steve	Campbell and having a 10		and the chief of police.
	11	technician come out to repair	-	1 Q.	So you did not know that those lines were being recorded;
		A. It could involve that, or it car		_	is that correct?
	13	resct.	13		A hundred percent, I did not know those lines were
	14	Q. Right. And anything in betw	reen?		recorded.
		A. Anything, right.	15		Well, if you had a percentage, what percentage would you
		Q. But it was Karen DePaepe's resp	onsibility to make sure 16		place on that knowledge?
	17	that was done, correct, as directo			I guess in some ways a little bit of hearsay
	18	A. To facilitate or initiate its rep			unfortunately. I was under the belief that Barb
		Q. To your knowledge, was there are			Holleman's line or the chief of police's line into the
	20	responsibility or that responsibil	-		chief of police's office, not the chief of police's line.
	21	way with anyone else?	21		I was under the impression that it could be recorded,
	22	A. I guess I'm confused about only wi	ith the recording system 22	2	didn't really know a hundred percent sure if it was.
	23	period or with any lines?	23		When it comes to division chiefs, I only was
	24	Q. The recording system area.	24	4	relatively sure that the detective bureau chief's line may
	25	A. Only the recording system, it w	ould be primarily her 25	5	have been recorded. But that would have been I don't
- 1					
			Page 94		Page 96
	_	responsibility and/or her assis			,
	1	responsibility and/or her assignment	stant director in her		know if it still was. I don't have a clue because I guess
	2	absence.	stant director in her	2	know if it still was. I don't have a clue because I guess we're not talking about that time period.
	2	absence. Q. Who would be Diane Scott, c	stant director in her correct?	3	know if it still was. I don't have a clue because I guess we're not talking about that time period. That would have been the time period before, so I
	2 3 4	absence. Q. Who would be Diane Scott, c A. That is correct.	stant director in her correct?	3	know if it still was. I don't have a clue because I guess we're not talking about that time period. That would have been the time period before, so I guess I didn't know whether it was or was not. So I can
	2 3 4 5	absence. Q. Who would be Diane Scott, c A. That is correct. Q. Did you ever participate or obse	stant director in her correct? 3	2	know if it still was. I don't have a clue because I guess we're not talking about that time period. That would have been the time period before, so I guess I didn't know whether it was or was not. So I can say definitely not definitely. I felt that Barb
	2 3 4 5 6	absence. Q. Who would be Diane Scott, c A. That is correct. Q. Did you ever participate or obsethat the system underwent du	stant director in her correct? erve any of the repairs aring 2010?	2	know if it still was. I don't have a clue because I guess we're not talking about that time period. That would have been the time period before, so I guess I didn't know whether it was or was not. So I can say definitely not definitely. I felt that Barb Holleman's line was possibly recorded during that time
	2 3 4 5 6 7	absence. Q. Who would be Diane Scott, c A. That is correct. Q. Did you ever participate or obset that the system underwent du A. No.	stant director in her correct? 3 erve any of the repairs siring 2010? 6	2	know if it still was. I don't have a clue because I guess we're not talking about that time period. That would have been the time period before, so I guess I didn't know whether it was or was not. So I can say definitely not definitely. I felt that Barb Holleman's line was possibly recorded during that time period. But the other lines, I don't know.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	absence. Q. Who would be Diane Scott, c A. That is correct. Q. Did you ever participate or obsethat the system underwent du A. No. Q. Just to make sure, I'm kind of g	stant director in her correct? erve any of the repairs uring 2010? coing to go over some	3 4 5 6 7 8 Q.	know if it still was. I don't have a clue because I guess we're not talking about that time period. That would have been the time period before, so I guess I didn't know whether it was or was not. So I can say definitely not definitely. I felt that Barb Holleman's line was possibly recorded during that time period. But the other lines, I don't know. Okay. And you are not as a chief of services and part of
	2 3 4 5 6 7	absence. Q. Who would be Diane Scott, c A. That is correct. Q. Did you ever participate or obsethat the system underwent du A. No. Q. Just to make sure, I'm kind of g things to make sure I've got the co	stant director in her correct? erve any of the repairs aring 2010? going to go over some orrect information here.	3 4 5 6 7 8 Q.	know if it still was. I don't have a clue because I guess we're not talking about that time period. That would have been the time period before, so I guess I didn't know whether it was or was not. So I can say definitely not definitely. I felt that Barb Holleman's line was possibly recorded during that time period. But the other lines, I don't know. Okay. And you are not as a chief of services and part of the chain of command to authorize a line to be recorded;
- 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	absence. Q. Who would be Diane Scott, c A. That is correct. Q. Did you ever participate or obsethat the system underwent du A. No. Q. Just to make sure, I'm kind of g things to make sure I've got the co Now, it's my understanding the	stant director in her correct? erve any of the repairs uring 2010? going to go over some orrect information here. hat and I'm going to	2 3 4 5 7 8 Q.	know if it still was. I don't have a clue because I guess we're not talking about that time period. That would have been the time period before, so I guess I didn't know whether it was or was not. So I can say definitely not definitely. I felt that Barb Holleman's line was possibly recorded during that time period. But the other lines, I don't know. Okay. And you are not as a chief of services and part of the chain of command to authorize a line to be recorded; that's my understanding, correct?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	absence. Q. Who would be Diane Scott, c A. That is correct. Q. Did you ever participate or obset that the system underwent du A. No. Q. Just to make sure, I'm kind of g things to make sure I've got the co Now, it's my understanding thalk to you all of these ques	stant director in her correct? erve any of the repairs uring 2010? coing to go over some errect information here. hat and l'm going to tions are going to be	3 4 5 6 7 8 Q.	know if it still was. I don't have a clue because I guess we're not talking about that time period. That would have been the time period before, so I guess I didn't know whether it was or was not. So I can say definitely not definitely. I felt that Barb Holleman's line was possibly recorded during that time period. But the other lines, I don't know. Okay. And you are not as a chief of services and part of the chain of command to authorize a line to be recorded; that's my understanding, correct? Not at all.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	absence. Q. Who would be Diane Scott, c A. That is correct. Q. Did you ever participate or obset that the system underwent du A. No. Q. Just to make sure, I'm kind of g things to make sure I've got the co Now, it's my understanding thalk to you all of these ques between the dates that you becam	stant director in her correct? erve any of the repairs uring 2010? going to go over some orect information here. hat — and I'm going to tions are going to be e chief of services, the	3 3 4 5 6 7 8 Q. 9 0 1 A. 2 Q.	know if it still was. I don't have a clue because I guess we're not talking about that time period. That would have been the time period before, so I guess I didn't know whether it was or was not. So I can say definitely not definitely. I felt that Barb Holleman's line was possibly recorded during that time period. But the other lines, I don't know. Okay. And you are not as a chief of services and part of the chain of command to authorize a line to be recorded; that's my understanding, correct? Not at all. That as chief of services, you did not write any policy on
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	absence. Q. Who would be Diane Scott, c A. That is correct. Q. Did you ever participate or obsethat the system underwent du A. No. Q. Just to make sure, I'm kind of g things to make sure I've got the co Now, it's my understanding the talk to you all of these ques between the dates that you becam date, whenever that was, you sa	stant director in her correct? erve any of the repairs uring 2010? coing to go over some orect information here. hat and l'm going to tions are going to be e chief of services, the hid it was shortly after	2	know if it still was. I don't have a clue because I guess we're not talking about that time period. That would have been the time period before, so I guess I didn't know whether it was or was not. So I can say definitely not definitely. I felt that Barb Holleman's line was possibly recorded during that time period. But the other lines, I don't know. Okay. And you are not as a chief of services and part of the chain of command to authorize a line to be recorded; that's my understanding, correct? Not at all. That as chief of services, you did not write any policy on how the recordings are to be accessed; is that correct?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	absence. Q. Who would be Diane Scott, c. A. That is correct. Q. Did you ever participate or obsethat the system underwent du A. No. Q. Just to make sure, I'm kind of g things to make sure I've got the convow, it's my understanding that to you all of these quested between the dates that you became date, whenever that was, you sa Chief Boykins came into power so to	stant director in her correct? erve any of the repairs aring 2010? going to go over some are crifformation here. hat and l'm going to tions are going to be e chief of services, the hid it was shortly after speak and December 31,	2	know if it still was. I don't have a clue because I guess we're not talking about that time period. That would have been the time period before, so I guess I didn't know whether it was or was not. So I can say definitely not definitely. I felt that Barb Holleman's line was possibly recorded during that time period. But the other lines, I don't know. Okay. And you are not as a chief of services and part of the chain of command to authorize a line to be recorded; that's my understanding, correct? Not at all. That as chief of services, you did not write any policy on how the recordings are to be accessed; is that correct? Which recordings?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	absence. Q. Who would be Diane Scott, c A. That is correct. Q. Did you ever participate or obsethat the system underwent du A. No. Q. Just to make sure, I'm kind of g things to make sure I've got the co Now, it's my understanding the talk to you all of these ques between the dates that you becam date, whenever that was, you sa	stant director in her correct? erve any of the repairs aring 2010? going to go over some are crifformation here. hat and l'm going to tions are going to be e chief of services, the hid it was shortly after speak and December 31,	2 3 4 5 5 6 7 7 8 Q. 9 0 1. A. 2 Q. 3 4 A. 5 Q.	know if it still was. I don't have a clue because I guess we're not talking about that time period. That would have been the time period before, so I guess I didn't know whether it was or was not. So I can say definitely not definitely. I felt that Barb Holleman's line was possibly recorded during that time period. But the other lines, I don't know. Okay. And you are not as a chief of services and part of the chain of command to authorize a line to be recorded; that's my understanding, correct? Not at all. That as chief of services, you did not write any policy on how the recordings are to be accessed; is that correct? Which recordings? The recordings that we've been talking about that were
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	absence. Q. Who would be Diane Scott, c A. That is correct. Q. Did you ever participate or obset that the system underwent du A. No. Q. Just to make sure, I'm kind of g things to make sure I've got the co Now, it's my understanding the talk to you all of these quest between the dates that you became date, whenever that was, you sa Chief Boykins came into power so to 2011. Okay. Got that timefrence in the second control of the se	stant director in her correct? erve any of the repairs uring 2010? soing to go over some errect information here. hat and I'm going to tions are going to be e chief of services, the hid it was shortly after speak and December 31, ame in your head?	2 - 3 3 - 4 5 - 5 7 - 8 - Q. 9 0 - 1. A. 2 - Q. 3 4 - A. 5 - Q. 5	know if it still was. I don't have a clue because I guess we're not talking about that time period. That would have been the time period before, so I guess I didn't know whether it was or was not. So I can say definitely not definitely. I felt that Barb Holleman's line was possibly recorded during that time period. But the other lines, I don't know. Okay. And you are not as a chief of services and part of the chain of command to authorize a line to be recorded; that's my understanding, correct? Not at all. That as chief of services, you did not write any policy on how the recordings are to be accessed; is that correct? Which recordings?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	absence. Q. Who would be Diane Scott, c. A. That is correct. Q. Did you ever participate or obset that the system underwent du A. No. Q. Just to make sure, I'm kind of g things to make sure I've got the co Now, it's my understanding the talk to you all of these quest between the dates that you became date, whenever that was, you sa Chief Boykins came into power so to 2011. Okay. Got that timefr A. Yes. Q. This question though will be a light of the control o	stant director in her correct? erve any of the repairs uring 2010? coing to go over some orrect information here. hat — and l'm going to tions are going to be e chief of services, the did it was shortly after speak and December 31, ame in your head? little different. Sorry.	33 34 45 55 56 77 70 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	know if it still was. I don't have a clue because I guess we're not talking about that time period. That would have been the time period before, so I guess I didn't know whether it was or was not. So I can say definitely not definitely. I felt that Barb Holleman's line was possibly recorded during that time period. But the other lines, I don't know. Okay. And you are not as a chief of services and part of the chain of command to authorize a line to be recorded; that's my understanding, correct? Not at all. That as chief of services, you did not write any policy on how the recordings are to be accessed; is that correct? Which recordings? The recordings that we've been talking about that were made by the Dynamic Instruments recording system between
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	absence. Q. Who would be Diane Scott, c A. That is correct. Q. Did you ever participate or obset that the system underwent du A. No. Q. Just to make sure, I'm kind of g things to make sure I've got the convow, it's my understanding the talk to you all of these quest between the dates that you became date, whenever that was, you sate Chief Boykins came into power so to 2011. Okay. Got that timefor A. Yes. Q. This question though will be all Prior to October of 2011, is you	stant director in her correct? erve any of the repairs aring 2010? going to go over some are the information here. In at — and I'm going to the tions are going to be echief of services, the hid it was shortly after speak and December 31, ame in your head? Little different. Sorry. Four testimony that you	33 34 45 55 56 77 99 90 11 A. A. 22 Q. 33 34 A. 55 Q. 66	know if it still was. I don't have a clue because I guess we're not talking about that time period. That would have been the time period before, so I guess I didn't know whether it was or was not. So I can say definitely not definitely. I felt that Barb Holleman's line was possibly recorded during that time period. But the other lines, I don't know. Okay. And you are not as a chief of services and part of the chain of command to authorize a line to be recorded; that's my understanding, correct? Not at all. That as chief of services, you did not write any policy on how the recordings are to be accessed; is that correct? Which recordings? The recordings that we've been talking about that were made by the Dynamic Instruments recording system between those periods of time that you became chief of services and December 31, 2011?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	absence. Q. Who would be Diane Scott, c. A. That is correct. Q. Did you ever participate or obset that the system underwent du A. No. Q. Just to make sure, I'm kind of g things to make sure I've got the co Now, it's my understanding the talk to you all of these quest between the dates that you became date, whenever that was, you sa Chief Boykins came into power so to 2011. Okay. Got that timefr A. Yes. Q. This question though will be a light of the control o	stant director in her correct? erve any of the repairs aring 2010? going to go over some are tinformation here. hat — and I'm going to tions are going to be e chief of services, the hid it was shortly after speak and December 31, ame in your head? little different. Sorry. little different. Sorry. cour testimony that you deministrative lines were	2 2 3 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 5 6 5 7 7 8 8 Q. 2 Q. 3 3 3 4 A. A. 5 5 Q. 5 6 5 7 7 8 8 9 A.	know if it still was. I don't have a clue because I guess we're not talking about that time period. That would have been the time period before, so I guess I didn't know whether it was or was not. So I can say definitely not definitely. I felt that Barb Holleman's line was possibly recorded during that time period. But the other lines, I don't know. Okay. And you are not as a chief of services and part of the chain of command to authorize a line to be recorded; that's my understanding, correct? Not at all. That as chief of services, you did not write any policy on how the recordings are to be accessed; is that correct? Which recordings? The recordings that we've been talking about that were made by the Dynamic Instruments recording system between those periods of time that you became chief of services
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	absence. Q. Who would be Diane Scott, c. A. That is correct. Q. Did you ever participate or obset that the system underwent du A. No. Q. Just to make sure, I'm kind of g things to make sure I've got the conon, it's my understanding that the your all of these quest between the dates that you became date, whenever that was, you sate Chief Boykins came into power so to 2011. Okay. Got that timefred A. Yes. Q. This question though will be a prior to October of 2011, is you did not have any idea of which ad	stant director in her correct? erve any of the repairs aring 2010? going to go over some are tinformation here. hat — and I'm going to tions are going to be e chief of services, the hid it was shortly after speak and December 31, ame in your head? little different. Sorry. little different. Sorry. cour testimony that you deministrative lines were	22 - 33 - 34 - 45 - 55 - 56 - 77 - 78 - 78 - 78 - 78 - 78 - 78 - 7	know if it still was. I don't have a clue because I guess we're not talking about that time period. That would have been the time period before, so I guess I didn't know whether it was or was not. So I can say definitely not definitely. I felt that Barb Holleman's line was possibly recorded during that time period. But the other lines, I don't know. Okay. And you are not as a chief of services and part of the chain of command to authorize a line to be recorded; that's my understanding, correct? Not at all. That as chief of services, you did not write any policy on how the recordings are to be accessed; is that correct? Which recordings? The recordings that we've been talking about that were made by the Dynamic Instruments recording system between those periods of time that you became chief of services and December 31, 2011? I'd have to say that's incorrect.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	absence. Q. Who would be Diane Scott, c A. That is correct. Q. Did you ever participate or obset that the system underwent du A. No. Q. Just to make sure, I'm kind of g things to make sure I've got the co Now, it's my understanding thalk to you all of these ques between the dates that you becam date, whenever that was, you sa Chief Boykins came into power so to 2011. Okay. Got that timefr A. Yes. Q. This question though will be all Prior to October of 2011, is you did not have any idea of which ad being recorded by the Dynamic Instru	stant director in her correct? erve any of the repairs uring 2010? soing to go over some breet information here. that and I'm going to tions are going to be e chief of services, the hid it was shortly after speak and December 31, ame in your head? little different. Sorry. but testimony that you liministrative lines were liment recording system?	22 - 33 - 34 - 45 - 55 - 56 - 77 - 78 - 78 - 78 - 78 - 78 - 78 - 7	know if it still was. I don't have a clue because I guess we're not talking about that time period. That would have been the time period before, so I guess I didn't know whether it was or was not. So I can say definitely not definitely. I felt that Barb Holleman's line was possibly recorded during that time period. But the other lines, I don't know. Okay. And you are not as a chief of services and part of the chain of command to authorize a line to be recorded; that's my understanding, correct? Not at all. That as chief of services, you did not write any policy on how the recordings are to be accessed; is that correct? Which recordings? The recordings that we've been talking about that were made by the Dynamic Instruments recording system between those periods of time that you became chief of services and December 31, 2011? I'd have to say that's incorrect. Okay. What policy did you write concerning how the
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	absence. Q. Who would be Diane Scott, c A. That is correct. Q. Did you ever participate or obset that the system underwent du A. No. Q. Just to make sure, I'm kind of g things to make sure I've got the co Now, it's my understanding the talk to you all of these quest between the dates that you became date, whenever that was, you sa Chief Boykins came into power so to 2011. Okay. Got that timefr A. Yes. Q. This question though will be all Prior to October of 2011, is you did not have any idea of which ad being recorded by the Dynamic Instruts Is that correct or incorrect?	stant director in her correct? erve any of the repairs aring 2010? soing to go over some arrect information here. that — and I'm going to tions are going to be e chief of services, the aid it was shortly after speak and December 31, ame in your head? Little different. Sorry. cour testimony that you diministrative lines were imment recording system?	22 - 33 - 34 - 44 - 55 - 55 - 55 - 99 - 90 - 11 - A.	know if it still was. I don't have a clue because I guess we're not talking about that time period. That would have been the time period before, so I guess I didn't know whether it was or was not. So I can say definitely not definitely. I felt that Barb Holleman's line was possibly recorded during that time period. But the other lines, I don't know. Okay. And you are not as a chief of services and part of the chain of command to authorize a line to be recorded; that's my understanding, correct? Not at all. That as chief of services, you did not write any policy on how the recordings are to be accessed; is that correct? Which recordings? The recordings that we've been talking about that were made by the Dynamic Instruments recording system between those periods of time that you became chief of services and December 31, 2011? I'd have to say that's incorrect. Okay. What policy did you write concerning how the recordings are to be accessed on that system?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	absence. Q. Who would be Diane Scott, c. A. That is correct. Q. Did you ever participate or obset that the system underwent du A. No. Q. Just to make sure, I'm kind of g things to make sure I've got the co Now, it's my understanding the talk to you all of these quest between the dates that you became date, whenever that was, you sa Chief Boykins came into power so to 2011. Okay. Got that timefral A. Yes. Q. This question though will be all Prior to October of 2011, is you did not have any idea of which ad being recorded by the Dynamic Instructs that correct or incorrect? A. That is incorrect.	stant director in her correct? erve any of the repairs aring 2010? going to go over some arrect information here. and I'm going to tions are going to be e chief of services, the aid it was shortly after speak and December 31, ame in your head? Little different. Sorry. Four testimony that you aministrative lines were unent recording system?	2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 5 7 7 9 9 9 0 1 1 A. A. 2 2 Q. 3 3 4 4 A. 5 5 5 Q. 5 6 5 7 7 8 8 8 A. 0 0 Q. 1 1 2 A. 3 3	know if it still was. I don't have a clue because I guess we're not talking about that time period. That would have been the time period before, so I guess I didn't know whether it was or was not. So I can say definitely not definitely. I felt that Barb Holleman's line was possibly recorded during that time period. But the other lines, I don't know. Okay. And you are not as a chief of services and part of the chain of command to authorize a line to be recorded; that's my understanding, correct? Not at all. That as chief of services, you did not write any policy on how the recordings are to be accessed; is that correct? Which recordings? The recordings that we've been talking about that were made by the Dynamic Instruments recording system between those periods of time that you became chief of services and December 31, 2011? I'd have to say that's incorrect. Okay. What policy did you write concerning how the recordings are to be accessed on that system? It all depends on what recordings you're talking about.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	absence. Q. Who would be Diane Scott, of A. That is correct. Q. Did you ever participate or obset that the system underwent du A. No. Q. Just to make sure, I'm kind of g things to make sure I've got the convow, it's my understanding that to you all of these quest between the dates that you became date, whenever that was, you say Chief Boykins came into power so to 2011. Okay. Got that timefrom A. Yes. Q. This question though will be all prior to October of 2011, is you did not have any idea of which ad being recorded by the Dynamic Instruction Is that correct or incorrect? A. That is incorrect. Q. Which particular administrative lines.	stant director in her correct? erve any of the repairs aring 2010? going to go over some orect information here. hat — and I'm going to tions are going to be e chief of services, the hid it was shortly after speak and December 31, ame in your head? little different. Sorry. little different. Sorry. little different you kninistrative lines were ment recording system? es were you aware were aments recording system 22 23 24 25 26 26 27 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	22 33 34 45 55 56 77 88 8 Q. 99 11 A. 22 Q. 33 44 A. 55 66 77 77 88 88 Q. 99 99 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90	know if it still was. I don't have a clue because I guess we're not talking about that time period. That would have been the time period before, so I guess I didn't know whether it was or was not. So I can say definitely not definitely. I felt that Barb Holleman's line was possibly recorded during that time period. But the other lines, I don't know. Okay. And you are not as a chief of services and part of the chain of command to authorize a line to be recorded; that's my understanding, correct? Not at all. That as chief of services, you did not write any policy on how the recordings are to be accessed; is that correct? Which recordings? The recordings that we've been talking about that were made by the Dynamic Instruments recording system between those periods of time that you became chief of services and December 31, 2011? I'd have to say that's incorrect. Okay. What policy did you write concerning how the recordings are to be accessed on that system? It all depends on what recordings you're talking about. There's 40 different types of recordings. There are

Karen DePaepe -vs-City of South Bend and Pete Buttigieg, et al. Page 97 subpoenas, training, a whole variety of different things. 1 A. No. 2 O. That you wrote? 2 Q. There came a point in time when Karen advised you that 3 A. That I participated in. she -- and this would have been sometime in 2011, that she 4 Q. And where would they be located now? had come across some intercepted conversations that 5 A. They would be in the duty manual. 5 disturbed her; is that correct? 6 Q. Do you know how they would have been categorized or A. Yes, sir. identified or indexed in the duty manual? Q. And did you understand the circumstances under which she 8 A. Not right off what part of it is. But, again, there are initially heard these conversations? forms that are to be filled out, whether it could be by MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, vague. Go ahead. 9 civilians under FOIA requests, could be by lawyers under 10 A. She had mentioned that sometime in late 2011 or January of 10 2012, sometime within that last month of the year or the FOIA requests. It could be through the digital media 11 11 12 form. It could be through court subpoena. All those are 12 first month of the new year, that there was a malfunction part of policies and procedures and forms that the South 13 with the Dynamic Instrument system and there was a hard 13 14 Bend Police Department uses to extract information from 14 drive crash. that system. MR. SULLIVAN: Let's get a date correction. You 15 15 16 Q. And they would be in the duty manual? 16 guys sort of missed each other on that. A. I believe they would either be in the duty manual, or it Q. You mentioned 2011 to 2012. I think you're looking at would be in a departmentally approved form. 2010 to 2011. 18 And it's also then my understanding that you initiated --19 A. Hold on. I have to write it down. 19 Q. you did not initiate any training to Karen DePaepe MR. SULLIVAN: Give him a reference date on 20 20 21 regarding legal procedures involving intercepted 21 termination and go from there. 22 recordings? 22 Q. She was terminated in April of 2012. You had a meeting 23 23 A. No. about the VoIP system and recording system in October of 2011. 24 Q. Did you ever participate in any training directed to Karen 24 25 A. Right, I knew that. 25 DePaepe as to how she could access the intercepted Page 98 conversations and under what circumstances she could 1 access the intercepted conversations? 2 A. I know that Karen and I had discussions about the fact 3 2011. that she was to keep a record of and documentation on the 4 4 5 various requests for information that she was pulling. 6 Whether it was using the city approved forms, 6 conversation. subpoenas, training records, requests for training, 7 7

Page 100

8

quality assurance, she assured me that she was keeping a log and had files on each and every request and each and every pull, I guess you want to call it, or any

recordings. 11

9

10

12 Q. When did that take place?

- 13 A. Several times during that four-year period.
- 14 Q. Were any of those times ever documented?
- 15 A. No. It was just pretty much management walking about, stopping and talking to Karen, seeing how things were 16 going. And many times we would have conversations in her 17 18 office, you know, how things were going.

19 She would inform me of any problems she's had or equipment problems or personnel problems. And throughout 20 the years that we worked together, she would keep me up to 21 22 date as to things that were going on as relates to her 23

24 Q. Was there anybody else present when any of these conversations took place? 25

1 Q. My understanding of what the evidence is is that the hard drive failures were occurring in late 2010 with the

repairs being effectuated sometime in the beginning of

5 A. That's not what my understanding is as to when we had a

Q. Well, as far as when you had the conversation. But I'm asking the circumstances under which she heard these 9

10 A. And what I understood, you were putting a date -- she heard these conversations in late 2011, early 2012. You'd 11

asked me the question of when did we have this 12

13 conversation about hard drive failures and the fact that

14 she heard something that was troubling to her while she attempted to ascertain possible data loss from what I 15

understood to be a crash that took place in latter 2011, 16

17 which is approximately December.

18 Q. Okay. So your recollection of this conversation -- of the circumstances under which she discovered these 19 20 conversations resulted as a hard drive crash that occurred in late of 2011? 21

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Well, I can't correct your assumption, so have at it. MR. SULLIVAN: Do you have any documents or 24 25 anything --

pe -vs- Bend and Pete Buttigieg, et al.
Page 101
MR. DUERRING: Not with me. I didn't think that
as going to be an issue.
MR. SULLIVAN: Go ahead.
MR. DUERRING: Let's take a break for a second.
MR. SULLIVAN: Off the record.
(Discussion held off the record.)
DUERRING:
oing to ask you that question again.
you recall what period of time it would have been
ne would have been listing or she would have
vered this conversation that disturbed her?
conversation in her office, late again, it could
ember, could have been January. When I say late, I
te December of 2011, through and including possibly
y of 2012, she had mentioned to me that she had
trying to determine a crash of the system and
le loss of data from the hard drive, since she had
oack from maternity not maternity, I'm sorry
ALA, so it would have been any time from October
November, December.
ould have been extremely recent in 2011, I was
believe that a crash had taken place in relatively
proximity to our conversation and that she was
oting to rebuild, reconstruct the hard drive for a
event, and she came across some information that
•
Page 102
ed her, and that she had reported it to the chief of
e
7.
there did this conversation with Karen take place?
there did this conversation with Karen take place?
there did this conversation with Karen take place? mentioned, in her office.
there did this conversation with Karen take place? mentioned, in her office. do you recall why you were in her office?
there did this conversation with Karen take place? mentioned, in her office. do you recall why you were in her office? gain, like I mentioned, was a basic management
there did this conversation with Karen take place? mentioned, in her office. do you recall why you were in her office? gain, like I mentioned, was a basic management as management by walking about now and then. We
there did this conversation with Karen take place? mentioned, in her office. do you recall why you were in her office? gain, like I mentioned, was a basic management he as management by walking about now and then. We onversations in her office routinely. do you recall how this topic came up? ir. I think it was just as a matter of fact for her
there did this conversation with Karen take place? mentioned, in her office. do you recall why you were in her office? gain, like I mentioned, was a basic management as management by walking about now and then. We onversations in her office routinely. do you recall how this topic came up?

1 actions, you're referring to other department personnel as 2 з A. Yes. Q. As I understand it, the information that she was talking 4 about came from listening to an assigned phone line that б had been placed on the recording system, correct? 7 MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, lack of foundation. Go ahead. 8 A. It dealt with Karen had told me was information that she 9 had heard on a phone line that she felt rose to the level 10 that she needed it to the chief of police. 11 12 Q. Okay. And -- right. Did she indicate to you which line that the phone was assigned to? 13 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. What did she indicate? 16 A. She said that the phone line was at that particular time belonged to Captain Brian Young. 18 Q. Okay. It was assigned to him? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. And Brian Young at that time was -- what position did he 21 hold? 22 A. He was a captain, second in charge in the investigative division. 23

Page 104

1 A. I guess if that's what you want to call it.

an administrative phone line?

2 Q. Well, it wasn't a front desk phone line, correct, that she was identifying? 3

24 Q. Go ahead. So this would have been what was referred to as

A. It wasn't a front desk. Administrative to me always makes it sound like it was a higher up or -- it was a phone line that was assigned to a particular officer.

Q. Okay. Well --

A. Because administrative could have been the front desk.

Q. Well, in your recollection then, what you're telling me is 10 she would have had this conversation sometime after she got back from her leave, her medical leave, correct? 11

A. That is correct.

Q. And before the federal authorities served the subpoena, 13

14 correct?

15 A. Yes.

25

Q. So this would have been after the meeting that you said 16 17 took place in October of 2011 wherein you were supplied with a list of the recorded phone lines, correct?

18

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And it would have been after this conversation you said 21 occurred at that meeting where it was determined that line

22 6031 denoted as the detective bureau line was being used

23 by the division captain, correct, whom you guys determined was Brian Young? 24

25 A. It was determined in that meeting that 6031 at that

individuals, the chain of command wasn't always followed. 25 Q. And you're not referring just to only Karen DePaepe's

21 Q. Left out how?

division chief.

14 Q. Do you think that was appropriate?

A. I think it was appropriate. I would like to have known a

little bit earlier, but I think it was appropriate.

A. And then in many cases I felt I was left out between

conversations between her and the chief of police.

cases, whether it was myself as division chief or other

17 O. I'm a little late on this, but objection vague as to what

22 A. Just Chief Boykins had an open-door policy. And in many

part of that was appropriate. Go ahead.

Min-U-Script®

13

16

18 19

20

23

Page 105

4

- 1 particular time was assigned or was being used by Captain
- 2 Brian Young.
- Q. Okay. So when she was talking to you in this conversation 3
- you are relating to us now, telling you that she had heard 4
- this disturbing information on a line assigned to Brian 5
- Young, then that would have corresponded to the 6
- information that you already knew that Brian Young's line 7
- was being recorded, correct? 8
- 9 A. I didn't know if that was a sentence. I'm sorry. Could
- you say it again? 10
- 11 Q. Sure. Let me try to restate that.
- Based upon your testimony thus far, if this 12
- 13 conversation you had with Karen occurred between the time
- she came back from her family medical leave and the 14
- federal subpoena coming down, this conversation would have 15
- been after your meeting with Diane Scott and the 16
- individuals we've already talked about occurring in 17
- October 2011, correct? 18
- 19 A. Yes.
- O. At that meeting you already testified you received a list 20
- of recorded phone lines from Diana Scott, correct? 21
- 22 A. Yes.
- O. You also testified that as a result of the list, there was 23
- a conversation and a subsequent determination that the 24
- 25 line 245-6031 was being used by Brian Young, correct?

- to Brian Young, that would have been information that you 1
- already knew corresponded with what you knew as far as 2
- 3 that line being on a recorded system, correct?
 - MR. SULLIVAN: Go ahead.
- 5 A. Yes, at that particular point in time, i.e. October of
- 2011, whatever was taking place was taking place prior to
 - the election in 2011.
- 8 Q. What election?
- 9 A. I guess it was the election for the new mayor.
- 10 Q. Okay. So you're saying this conversation you had with
- Karen now can be narrowed down to sometime after she came
- 12 back from her medical leave to November --
- 13 A. Whatever --
- 14 Q. The election day in November of 2011?
- 15 A. It was my understanding from my conversation with Karen
- was that it had taken place -- was in a relatively short 16
- amount of time and had taken place and involved the 17
- election of -- election for mayor for the City of South 18
- 19 Bend.
- 20 Q. Okay. Well, let's try to get through this. She advised
 - you that the information that she had listened to came
- 22 from an intercepted conversation on a phone line currently
- 23 assigned at that time to Brian Young; is that correct?
- 24 A. Yes.

21

25 Q. And at that point in time, it was your understanding that

Page 106

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 O. Now, when you had this conversation with Karen after that
- meeting, when she told you she heard this information on
- Captain Brian Young's assigned phone line, that would have 4
- 5 been information you already were aware that his line was
- being recorded, correct? 6
 - MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, mischaracterizes. Go
- ahead. 8

7

16

- 9 A. I did not know at the time Karen and I had the
- conversation whether it was still being recorded. That's 10
- the only thing I can honestly say. But as of October, it 11
- was being recorded. 12
- 13 Q. Are you aware of any information that after October,
- between October and December 31, 2011, that the line was 14
- supposed to not be recorded? 15
 - MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, confusing, lack of
- 17 foundation.
- Q. I confused myself. Were you aware between October of 2011 18
- and December 31, 2011, of any steps that were taken to 19
- remove 245-6031 from the recording system? 20 21 A. I was not aware of any steps, nor would I have been told
- if there was going to be any steps. 22
- 23 Q. Okay. So getting back to the origin al question, when she
- 24 had this conversation with you, as you've testified, and
- she told you she heard this information on a line assigned 25

- Page 108
- Brian Young was a captain in the detective bureau, 1
 - correct?
- 3 A. Yes.

2

- Q. And it was at that point in time that you were aware that
 - the line assigned to him was 245-6031, correct?
- A. When we had our conversation, Karen and I, she brought it
- back up to my attention that that line was what she had 7
- heard a conversation on.
- O. Okay. After you had this conversation with Karen, what
- steps, if any, did you take in response to the information 10
- she provided you?
- 12 A. Zero steps due to the fact that the -- correction. The
- 13 items contained within the conversation was being handled
- by the chief of police, that it was a direct transfer of 14
- information, directly from her to him, and she was 15 16
- expecting him to act on whatever was relayed. O. So based upon the information she relayed to you, you took
- 19 A. That is correct. I think she did it as a courtesy.

no additional steps; is that correct?

- 20 Q. Did what as a courtesy?
- 21 A. Let me know what was going on, that she had passed some 22 information on or alerted the chief as to her findings.
- 23 Q. Now, you indicated earlier you reviewed your deposition that had been taken in the other related case; correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

Page 112

Page 109 1 Q. And I have transcripts that it occurred both on May 28, 2013, and June 18, 2013. Would that be accurate? 3 A. I'd have to look at my copies or your copies. But I did

read or review two depositions last night. 4

5 O. Well, contained in the depositions is a fairly detailed 6 description of what conversation you had with Ms. DePaepe, what she told you and what those conversations, at least 7 on their face -- or why she was concerned about those 8 9 conversations. Would you agree with me that that deposition contains that? 10 11

MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, vague. If you want him to agree to what's in there, he's going to have to take a look.

MR. DUERRING: I understood he already did. MR. SULLIVAN: Well, he did. But you're now asking him to agree to something that's in there and it's hundreds of pages.

BY MR. DUERRING: 18

- Q. When you reviewed your deposition in preparation for this 19 deposition that we just talked about, okay, did you notice 20 any inaccuracies? 21
- 22 A. Yes.

2

12

13

14

15

16

17

13

14

18 19

20

21

- 23 Q. What inaccuracies did you notice?
- A. You know, three-and-a-half to four hours worth of reading 25 I guess six point font that was given to me, I guess I

1 Q. Okay. And did you do that?

2 A. No.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

3 Q. Do you know why?

4 A. I think it was a controversy at the South Bend Police Department as to the legality and procedures forthwith as to whether one would have to do that or not. 6

> MR. DUERRING: Can we go off the record? (Discussion held off the record.)

MR. DUERRING: Okay. I'm going ask you to read between pages 33 and 38. I think that that would cover what I want to ask.

MR. SULLIVAN: 33 to 38?

MR. DUERRING: Yes. Maybe 32 is the question because 33, the top of 33 centers on it.

(Pause in proceedings.)

MR. SULLIVAN: Just to let the record reflect that Chief Horvath has reviewed the deposition transcript from his earlier deposition in the related case pages 33 through 38.

BY MR. DUERRING:

21 Q. Chief, having the opportunity to review the transcript, 22 pages 33 through 38 of your previous deposition testimony, 23 is there anything in that testimony in those pages that you feel the need to change or that is inaccurate? Strike 24 change and put in inaccurate.

Page 110

- don't know all of them. But there were some inaccuracies 1
- throughout. 2
- 3 O. Did you note any inaccuracies as it relates to the
- deposition that you gave concerning what you testified 4 5 that Karen DePaepe told you concerning these
- conversations? 6
- A. Right now, unless I have the document in front of me, I'd 7 have to -- after reading 150 some pages of small font, I 8 9 didn't have the luxury of the way you have your deposition. 10

MR. DUERRING: Can we go off the record for a 11 second? 12

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.

(Discussion held off the record.)

BY MR. DUERRING: 15

16 Q. Chief Horvath, I keep wanting to call you captain. I'm 17

Chief Horvath, do you recall after giving the deposition that we've been talking about in the other case being given the opportunity to review that deposition and sign it or make corrections through an errata sheet? 22 A. I do know that -- I don't know if I was given the

opportunity. I think it was just expected for it to be 23 24 sent to me and to sign - I don't know what you call it,

some kind of sheet. 25

1 A. Maybe not inaccurate, but not completely clear as how it

was written.

3 Q. Okay. What part or parts aren't completely clear?

4 A. As to when Karen and I had the conversation dealing with 5 hearing a voice on that 245-6031 line, and as it relates 6 to a malfunction of the Dynamic Instrument system.

7 There are questions that were asked about, were there 8 malfunctions with the system. And I made reference that, 9 yes, it was an ongoing problem that was happening over the 10 years. But the conversation that Karen and I had in the 11 end of 2011 or early 2012 was that it was my understanding 12 that it was a recent crash of the hard drive that took 13 place in the end of 2011 since she came back from FMLA, 14 not the history from day one that we've had the DI system.

Q. Okay. Well, let me specifically read to you a question on 15 page 35, line 6. "So if I understand what you're saying, 16 what she said to you was that she listened to Brian 17 18 Young's conversations, the recording of Brian Young's 19 conversations, to see if a malfunction in the recording system had been corrected?" 20

And your answer on line 10 was, "Yes, sir." Is there any change with respect to that testimony, that answer?

> MR. SULLIVAN: 6 through 10, right? MR. DUERRING: If that's what I said. I don't

21

22

23

24

Page 113 Page 115 remember. investigation? 2 A. That's pretty much what the -- what would be correct. 2 O. Yes. 3 Q. So that testimony is correct? 3 A. He wouldn't be discussing the investigation with me. 4 A. That one section. Q. Okay. Did he discuss with you at all any opinions that he was forming with respect to the investigation? 5 Q. My understanding is after you had the conversation we've - been talking about at some length, you took no steps A. I tried to get information from him. But, again, he pretty much left it as a one-sided discussion. because you already understood that she had reported this conversation to Chief Boykins; is that correct? Q. And was there any other assistant U.S. attorney present or 9 A. That is correct. U.S. attorney present when this took place? 10 Q. When you were at the federal building, and I think it was 10 A. No. 11 Q. Were you told that you could not talk about what you were the second interview where the U.S. Attorney Donald Schmid 11 being interviewed -- the subject upon which you were being 12 was present, did you -- did Donald Schmid talk to you at 12 all about what he felt was going on with respect to the 13 interviewed? Were you instructed that you couldn't talk 13 14 complaint? 14 about it with anyone? 15 A. Yes. MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, vague. Go ahead. 15 MR. DUERRING: I wish I had a video. 16 O. Who instructed you? 16 17 A. Donald Schmid. MR. SULLIVAN: I think there's an issue with what 17 he believes he can or cannot reveal from that 18 Q. Did he tell you why? 18 discussion. 19 A. No. 19 MR. DUERRING: What is the issue? 20 Q. When you gave your first interview to the agents from the 20 MR. SULLIVAN: That he was instructed not to FBI, did they instruct you not to talk to anyone about 21 what you had been interviewed --22 reveal his discussions with the U.S. attorney, is what I think is the issue. Will you allow me -- I 23 A. Yes. 23 know there's a question pending -- for purposes of 24 Q. Did they tell you why? 24 25 A. No. whether to assert a privilege or a need for a 25 Page 114 protective order or to ensure he doesn't violate 1 Q. Were you Mirandized in either one of these interviews? 1 2 A. I don't believe so. 2 something, will you allow me to converse with him while the question is pending? MR. DUERRING: May I have a moment with my 3 3 MR. DUERRING: I prefer not. 4 client? 4 MR. SULLIVAN: In that case, I would say that to (Recess taken.) 5 5 6 the extent the witness believes that he is under a BY MR. DUERRING: legal duty to not disclose the contents of that Q. Chief Horvath, after the two meetings that you had with 7 communication --the federal authorities, did you place any restrictions on 8 8 MR. DUERRING: I didn't ask for the contents. I the use of access of the recording system between the date 9 9 of the last interview and the date that Karen was 10 asked him whether or not he had a discussion. 10 MR. SULLIVAN: Read the question back. terminated? 11 (Read back.) 12 A. The only thing I know is that we were under federal orders 12 to maintain, safeguard, secure the digital system, and 13 MR. SULLIVAN: It's a yes or no question. And if 13 14 the witness feels that even answering that question 14 that at no time will any back-ups or anything or hard would somehow violate an understanding he has with 15 drives pertaining to that time period were to be purged as. 15 the authorities, it might subject him to some type of 16 a normal three-year cycle. So it was mainly to safeguard 16 any type of tapes, drives, etc. That was the only thing proceeding, then I would instruct him not to answer 17 17 that question. that I can think of. 18 18 19 A. No. 19 O. I understand that the federal authorities issued a BY MR. DUERRING: 20 subpoena with some restrictions on it or with some directives on it. I'm talking about after you had your 21 Q. So as I understand it, Chief, Assistant U.S. Attorney 21 interaction with the federal authorities that we Donald Schmid did not have a conversation with you with 22 22 23 respect to the wiretap complaint that you were being 23 described, did you come back to the department and as interviewed about? 24 chief of services issue any directives to Karen or anyone 25 A. He was tightlipped as to -- you asked about the 25 else in the communications department that would have

Page 120

Karen DePaepe -vs-City of South Bend and Pete Buttigieg, et al. restricted the access and/or use of the recording system? 2 A. Not that I remember at this time. 3 Q. Is there any place that you would be able to look to refresh your recollection? 4 5 A. No. I don't believe there was any written directives. 6 O. Was there any verbal -- were there any verbal directives? A. Not by myself, but there could have been verbal directives 7 from a multitude of other locations. Q. I'm only talking about you, and I'm only talking about 9 what you know. 10 A. As of right now, I do not have any knowledge of anything 11 that -- Karen was still the administrator of the system. 12 Q. After you had the meeting with the FBI and the federal 13 authorities as we discussed, did you in any way restrict 14 Karen's duties as it pertains to the recording system? 15 16 A. Not that I remember. I don't believe there was any restrictions. 17 Q. Between the date of your last interview or interaction 18 with the federal authorities as we discussed, and the date 19 Karen was discharged, were you aware of any policy changes 20 that occurred concerning Karen's dutics with the recording 21 22 system? A. As of right now, I just don't have any recollection of any 23 changes. 24

25 Q. During the period of time that you were chief of services

action against Karen? 1

2 A. No.

Page 117

Q. Did you participate in the disciplinary action that was 4

taken when Karen was terminated?

Q. You were still her direct supervisor, correct?

A. Yes.

8 Q. Do you know who took part in the disciplinary action?

9 A. Only from what I was told by Chief -- Chuck Hurley.

Q. And what were you told?

11 A. I was told that there was disciplinary action, I guess

i.e. firing of Karen DePaepe, and participating was Mike Schmuhl. He was just -- Chief Hurley really didn't have 13

14 any say-so about it is what he told me. And Rich Hill.

Q. And you say that Chief Hurley just basically told you he 15 really didn't have any say-so on it? 16

17 A. Right.

18 Q. Were you aware that Chief Hurley signed the termination

19

20 A. No.

23

Q. Were you consulted in any way prior to that termination 21

22 notice being served on Karen as to what disciplinary

action was appropriate?

A. No, not at all. 24

Q. And yet you were still her supervisor, direct supervisor,

Page 118

correct?

2 A. Yes, sir.

Q. Do you know who was consulted -- other than the

individuals that you just talked about, were you aware of 4 5

anyone else that was consulted?

6 MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, lack of foundation. Go ahead. And vague as to "consulted." You may answer.

A. I have no idea who was consulted as to her firing.

Q. Did you have any other discussions with Chief Hurley about 10

Karen's termination?

11 A. I believe it was the morning of the day that she was terminated was that Chief Hurley had said -- had told me 12

13 that she was needed at the mayor's office, or 14th floor,

14 I'm not sure which office it was going to take place, and

15 that they were requesting that she go up there for a meeting, and that he was going to be also part of the 16

17 meeting, and at that time she was going to be terminated.

terminated. That was the first time I heard about it.

18 Q. And when did you have that conversation?

A. I want to say it was the morning of the day that she was

21 Q. That was the first time you heard about it?

22 A. Yes.

20

23 Q. Did he tell you why she was going to be terminated?

24 A. No.

25 Q. Was that the only conversation you had with Chuck Hurley

1 and Karen DePaepe was director of communications, did you 2

ever have occasion to write any kind of disciplinary

3 notices as a result of failures that Karen had in

performing her duties? 4

5 A. No.

13

16

17

6 Q. Are you aware if anyone during that period of time would have written such a notice or taken such action based upon

Karen's failure to perform her duties? 8

9 A. What happened prior to, I would not have any --

10 Q. I'm talking about while you were there as chief of services, were you aware of anyone else taking any kind of 11 disciplinary action? 12

MR. SULLIVAN: Up until what time?

MR. DUERRING: Until the date she was disciplined 14 15

or --

MR. SULLIVAN: Are you including her --MR. DUERRING: Until the date she was fired.

18 A. Prior to myself being services division chief, I have no

19 knowledge whether it happened or not. I really don't

know. It would have to be a search of human resources. 20

BY MR. DUERRING: 21

22 Q. I'm only talking about while you were the chief.

23 A. You had asked about all the time.

24 O. I asked about anyone else. Were you aware of anyone else 25 during that period of time having to take disciplinary

CIL	y UI	South Denu and Pete Duttigleg, et al.			December 17, 2013
		Page 121			Page 123
1		regarding her termination?	1	O	Did you talk to Diane Scott about Karen's firing?
2	A.	As of right now, it's the only one I believe I had. There		_	. Yes.
3		may have been one afterwards, but it was definitely that			. And when did you have that conversation?
4		morning. And I believe he told me just vaguely, just in			. It was either the same day or the next day. I'm really
5		passing after the fact that she was officially terminated.	5		not sure when. But our main goal was to continue on and
6		That would have been the second time you would have talked	6		make sure that there was a smooth transition, not only of
7	ζ.	about it?	7		certain pass codes, passwords to systems, to e-mails, data
	Α.	Yes.	8		files, office keys, etc.
9		Was there anything else said about it, between the two of	9	0	. Well, what do you remember having talked about with Diana
10	ζ.	you?	10	~	Scott in particular regarding Karen's termination?
	Α	Only thing else that we had talked about was I guess I was		Α	I really don't remember much about the actual termination.
12	11.	surprised and trying to ask if there was any other avenue	12		It was mainly the fact that we had to continue on and had
13		for not having her terminated.	13		to make sure all bases and continuity and change of
ı	\circ	And you were talking with the chief?	14		administration of the communication center was going to be
		Chief Hurley.	15		in a smooth and orderly fashion. That was about it.
		And what was his response?	16	0	Okay. And when did line 245-6031, when was that removed
	-	He pretty much said the decision had been made and it was	17	~	from the recording system?
18	11.	out of our hands.		А	. I have no idea.
19	\cap	Did he indicate to you who the decision was made by?	19		Did you remain division chief of services during the time
	_	No.	20	×	that Karen was terminated?
		Did he indicate to you what his understanding was of why		Δ	. Yes.
22	Ų.	the decision was made?			. Has 245-6031 been removed from the recording system?
		We didn't get into that.		_	. I sure hope so.
		Did you have any other conversations with him?	24	11	MR. DUERRING: I don't have any other questions.
		Only other conversation was after the fact that she was	25		MR. SULLIVAN: No questions.
25	Α.	Only office conversation was after the fact that she was	دما		Wit. BOLDIVIII. IVO questionis.
-		. Page 122		_	Page 124
1		officially terminated.	1		THE REPORTER: Signature?
2	_	And have you already told me about that conversation?	2		MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.
-		Yes.	3		(The deposition concluded and witness excused at
	Q.	Is there anything more about that conversation that you	4		1:34 p.m.)
5		haven't told me?	5		* * *
		No, sir. Well, I mentioned as to who was in the room.	6		
7	~	Who was in the room?	7		
8	A.	I think I mentioned it was Mike Schmuhl, the chief, and	8		
9		Rich Hill. Whether that's only from what I got from	9		
10		the chief.	10		
		Right. From Chuck Hurley?	11		
12		Correct.	12		
13	Q.	Did you speak with anyone else at the department about	13		
14		referencing Karen's termination?	14		•
15		No. It was in confidence between the chief and I.	15		
16	Q.	Well, was there any talk in the department when Karen was	16		
17		fired about her firing, that you were aware of?	17		
18		MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, vague, lack of	18		
19		foundation, lack of timeframe. Go ahead.	19		
20		I'm sorry. I'm sure there was lots of talk, especially	20		
		among her people, the people that worked hand in hand with	21		
21		her, with her deputy director who was a good friend and	22		
21 22		= :			
l		loyal to her. And we had to move on and continue as we	23		
22		loyal to her. And we had to move on and continue as we needed to do 24/7 and keep moving in the right direction,	ŀ		
22 23		loyal to her. And we had to move on and continue as we	23		

- Lag - 0,	f South Bend and Pete Buttigieg, et al.	December 17, 2013
	Page 125	
1 2		
	CERTIFICATE	
3	I, Angela J. Galipeau, a Notary Public, in and for	
4	the County of Porter and State Of Indiana, do hereby certify:	
5	That GARY HORVATH appeared before me on Tuesday,	
6	December 17, 2013, and was duly sworn or affirmed to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the	
7	truth to questions propounded at the taking of the foregoing deposition in a cause now pending and	
8	undetermined in eaid court;	
9	That I further certify that I then and there reported stenographically the proceedings at the said time	
10	and place; that the proceedings were then transcribed from my original shorthand notes; and that the foregoing	
11	typewritten transcript is a true and correct record thereof;	
12	That I am not a relative or employee or attorney	
13	or counsel, nor a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel for any of the parties hereto, nor am I interested	
14	directly or indirectly in the outcome of this action;	
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand	
16	and affixed my notarial seal this 18th day of December, 2013.	
17		
18		
19		
20	Angela J. Galipeau, RFR, CSR Notary Public, State of Indiana	•
21	Residence: Porter County Commission Expires: 4-23-17	
22	•	
23		
24		
25		· ·
	Page 126	
1		
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA	
3	SOUTH BEND DIVISION	
4	KAREN DEPAEPE,	
5	Plaintiff,) .	
6	Vs) Case No. 3:13-CV-383	
7	CITY OF SOUTH BEND and PETE) BUTTIGIEG, Individually and in his)	
8	official capacity as Mayor of the) CITY OF SOUTH BEND,	
9	Defendants.	
10)	
11		
12	GARY HORVATH	
13	. I hereby acknowledge that I have read the foregoing	
14	transcription regarding the case of Karen DePaepe vs City of South Bend, et al., taken Tuesday, December 17, 2013,	
15	and that the same is a true and correct transcription of the answers given by me to the questions propounded,	
16	except for the edditions or changes, if any, as noted on the attached errata sheet.	·
17		
18		
19	GARY HORVATH	
20	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to	·
21	before me this day of A.D.	
22		
23	Notary Public, State of Indiana	
24	County of Residence: My Commission Expires:	
25	,	